



HIGHER DEGREE THESIS AUTHOR'S CONSENT (DOCTORAL)

This is to certify that I,	GUO,,,,,	
being a candidate for the degree of Docto am aware of the policy of the University re	r of	
am aware of the policy of the University re	lating to the retention and use of I	
higher degree theses as contained in the	e University's Doctoral Rules	
generally, and in particular Rule 7(10).		
In the light of this melian and the melian of	the chave Dules I came to allow	
In the light of this policy and the policy of the above Rules, I agree to allow		
a copy of my thesis to be deposited		
consultation, loan and photocopying forthwi	ith.	
Ment Carbon	Guobin	
Signature of Witness	Signature of Candidate	
pignature of wintess	Signature of Calididate	

Dated this ______ 28 th day of Sevenhar 2007

Office Use Only

The Academic Senate on 12th April 2005 resolved that the candidate had satisfied requirements for admission to the degree of PhD

This thesis represents a major part of the prescribed program of study.

AN INTEGRATED GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE TAMWORTH BELT AND ITS BOUNDING FAULTS

By

Bin Guo

A thesis submitted for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

at

Macquarie University

NSW, 2109 AUSTRALIA

Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences
September, 2004

SUMMARY

This thesis presents new magnetic and gravity data for the Southern New England Fold Belt (SNEFB) and the Gunnedah Basin that adjoins to the west along the Mooki Fault in New South Wales. The SNEFB consists of the Tamworth Belt and Tablelands Complex that are separated by the Peel Fault. The Tablelands Complex to the east of the Peel Fault represents an accretionary wedge, and the Tamworth Belt to the west corresponds to the forearc basin. A total of five east-north-east trending gravity profiles with around 450 readings were conducted across the Tamworth Belt and Gunnedah Basin. Seven ground magnetic traverses of a total length of 60 km were surveyed across the bounding faults of the Tamworth belt, of which five were across the Peel Fault and two were across the Mooki Fault. The gravity data shows two distinct large positive anomalies, one over the Tamworth Belt, known as the Namoi Gravity High and another within the Gunnedah Basin, known as the Meandarra Gravity Ridge. All gravity profiles show similarity to each other. The magnetic data displays one distinct anomaly associated with the Peel Fault and an anomaly immediately east of the Mooki Fault. These new potential field data are used to better constrain the orientation of the Peel and Mooki Faults as well as the subsurface geometry of the Tamworth Belt and Gunnedah Basin, integrating with the published seismic data, geologic observations and new physical properties data.

Magnetic anomalies produced by the serpentinite associated with the Peel Fault were used to determine the orientation of the Peel fault. Five ground magnetic traverses were modelled to get the subsurface geometry of the serpentinite body. Modelling results of the magnetic anomalies across the Peel Fault indicate that the serpentinite body can be mostly modelled as subvertical to steeply eastward dipping tabular bodies with a minimum depth extent of 1-3 km, although the modelling does not constrain the vertical extent. This is consistent with the modelling of the magnetic traverses extracted from aeromagnetic data. Sensitivity analysis of

a tabular magnetic body reveals that a minimum susceptibility of 4000×10⁻⁶cgs is needed to generate the observed high amplitude anomalies of around 2000 nT, which is consistent with the susceptibility measurements of serpentinite samples along the Peel Fault ranging from 2000 to 9000 × 10⁻⁶ cgs. Rock magnetic study indicates that the serpentinite retains a strong remanence at some locations. This remanence is a viscous remanent magnetisation (VRM) which is parallel to the present Earth's magnetic field, and explains the large anomaly amplitude over the Peel fault at these locations. The remanence of serpentinite at other localities is not consistent enough to contribute to the observed magnetic anomalies. A much greater depth extent of the Peel Fault was inferred from gravity models. It is proposed that the serpentinite along the Peel Fault was emplaced as a slice of oceanic floor that has been accreted to the front of the arc, or as diapirs rising off the serpentinised part of the mantle wedge above the supra subduction zone.

Magnetic anomalies immediately east of the Mooki Fault once suggested to be produced by a dyke-like body emplaced along the fault were modelled along two ground magnetic traverses and three extracted aeromagnetic lines. Modelling results indicate that the anomalies can be modelled as an east-dipping overturned western limb of an anticline formed as a result of a fault-propagation fold with a shallow thrust step-up angle from the décollement. Interpretation of aeromagnetic data and modelling of the magnetic traverses indicate that the anomalies along the Mooki Fault are produced by the susceptibility contrast between the high magnetic Late Carboniferous Currabubula Formation and/or Early Permian volcanic rocks of the Tamworth Belt and the less magnetic Late Permian-Triassic Sydney-Gunnedah Basin rocks. Gravity modelling indicates that the Mooki Fault has a shallow dip (~25°) to the east. Modelling of the five gravity profiles shows that the Tamworth Belt is thrust westward over the Sydney-Gunnedah Basin for 15-30 km.

The Meandarra Gravity Ridge within the Gunnedah Basin was modelled as a high density volcanic rock unit with a density contrast of 0.25 tm⁻³, compared to the rocks of the Lachlan Fold Belt in all profiles. The volcanic rock unit has a steep western margin and a gently dipping eastern margin with a thickness ranging from 4.5-6 km, and has been generally agreed to have formed within an extensional basin.

The Tamworth Belt, being mainly the product of volcanism of mafic character and thus has high density units, together with the high density Woolomin Association, which is composed chiefly of chert/jasper, basalt, dolerite and metabasalt, produces the Namoi Gravity High. Gravity modelling results indicate that the anomaly over the Tamworth Belt can be modelled as either a configuration where the Tablelands Complex extends westward underthrusting the Tamworth Belt, or a configuration where the Tablelands Complex has been thrust over the Tamworth Belt. When the gravity profiles were modelled with the first configuration, the Peel Fault with a depth extent of around 1 km can only be modelled for the Manilla and Quirindi profiles, modelling of the rest of the gravity profiles indicates that the Tablelands Complex underthrust beneath the Tamworth belt at a much deeper location.

STATEMENT

I hereby certify that no part of this thesis has been presented to any other university or institution for the purpose of obtaining a higher degree.

Guo Bin

BIN GUO

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would firstly like to thank my supervisor Dr. Mark Lackie and co-supervisor Dr. Richard Flood at the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Macquarie University, for accepting me as their student, proposing this project, and for their constant help, advice and encouragement towards the completion of this study. I shall forever be grateful to them for their patience in reading all my thesis drafts. I would also like to thank Mr. D. A. Clark and Professor P. W. Schmidt and the CSIRO Division of Exploration and Mining for allowing access to their Rock Magnetism Laboratory. Thanks particularly go to Mr. D. A. Clark for his technical assistance.

I would like to thank Honour student Mr. Dan Nielsen, Ph.D candidates Mr. Brad Bailey and Mr. Mark Edmiston for their valuable help with the collection of gravity and magnetic data in the field even in extremely warm conditions. My study would not be the same without their help. Appreciation is also expressed to Mr. Nigel Wilson for the organisation of field equipment, Dr. Tara Dean for assistance in the interpretation of the seismic data, Dr. Dave Durney and Ph.D candidate Mr. Jason Berton for their valuable help on the classification of the rock samples.

I wish to thank Geoscience Australia and the NSW Department of Mineral Resources for providing me with geophysical data for the New England area. Thanks also goes to the owners of properties for allowing me to complete field work on their properties.

My sincere thanks go to the future Drs. Kathleen McMahon, Luke Milan and all the people of the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and GEMOC for making this such an enjoyable place to study. Thanks also to Dr. Kari Anderson for her and her family's friendship.

I would like to acknowledge financial support from Macquarie University for field work expenses and providing me a living allowance and the Australian Government for granting

me an International Postgraduate Research Scholarship. Thanks also go to the NSW branch of Australian Society of Exploration Geophysicists and Geological Society of Australia for my conference attendances.

Finally, I wish to thank my wife, Zhixin, for coming to Australia with me and giving me her valuable support and encouragement, thus allowing me to complete this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	ш
STATEMENT	v
ACKNOLEDGEMENTS	VI
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Statement	1
1.2 Aims and scope of this study	5
CHAPTER TWO: GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE NEW ENGLA	AND FOLD
BELT	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Tamworth Belt	11
2.3 The Hunter-Mooki Fault System	14
2.4 The Peel Fault System.	16
2.5 The Tablelands Complex and New England Batholiths	20
2.6 The Sydney-Gunnedah Basin	22
2.6.1 Structural subdivision of the Gunnedah Basin	23
2.6.2 Stratigraphy	25
2.6.3 The Tectonic evolution of the Gunnedah Basin	28
CHAPTER THREE: REGIONAL GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION	N30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 Reviews of Previous Geophysical Surveys	31
3.2.1 Magnetic Surveys	31
3.2.2 Gravity Survey	
3.2.3 Seismic Survey	34
3.3 Interpretation of Aeromagnetic	
3.3.1 The Mooki Fault System	
3.3.2 The Peel Fault System	
3.4 Geosciences Australia Gravity Dataset	47

CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION5	1
4.1 Introduction5	I
4.2 Gravity Surveying5	
4.2.1 Survey Equipment5	1
4.2.2 Surveying of Gravity Profiles5	4
4.2.3 Data Reduction5	5
4.3 Magnetic Surveying5	9
4.3.1 Introduction5	9
4.3.2 Magnetic Data Acquisition5	9
4.3.2 Data Reduction	51
CHAPTER FIVE: MODELLING AND INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC	
DATA OVER THE PEEL FAULT6	5
5.1 Introduction6	5
5.2 Rock Properties6	5
5.3 Modelling Results of Ground Magnetic Data over the Peel Anomaly7	2
Line Tarakan7	2
Line Manilla	6
Line Glendhu	30
Line Barraba	35
Line Nundle	38
5.4 Modelling Result of Aeromagnetic Data9	0
5.5 Sensitivity Analysis of the Susceptibility and Depth	14
5.6 Discussion of the Modelling Results9	7
5.7 Tectonic Significance of the Great Serpentinite Belt of NSW9	9
5.8 Tectonic Emplacement of the Serpentinite10	3
5.8.1 Scraped Model of Emplacement10	13
5.8.2 Alternative Tectonic Model10	6
CHAPTER SIX: MODELLING AND INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC	
DATA OVER THE MOOKI FAULT11	.1
6.1 Introduction	. 1
6.2 Rock Properties11	. 1
6.3 Modelling of the Ground Magnetic Transverses over the Mooki Fault11	5 X

Line Oakleigh	116
Line Breeza	120
6.4 Modelling of the Lines extracted from the Aeromagnetic Data	123
Line Ls_10	124
Line Ls_20	128
Line Ls_30	131
6.5 Discussion of the Modelling Results	133
CHAPTER SEVEN: GRAVITY MODELLING OF THE TAMWORTH BEL	$oldsymbol{\Gamma}$
AND GUNNADEH BASIN	136
7.1 Introduction	136
7.2 Previous Gravity Models	136
7.3 Interpretation of the BMR91-G01 Seismic Profile	139
7.4 Density Data	142
7.5 Gravity Models	145
7.5.1 Manilla Profile	146
7.5.2 Quirindi Profile	156
7.5.3 Tamworth Profile	162
7.5.4 Barraba Profile	169
7.5.5 Bingara Profile	174
7.6 Discussion of Results	177
7.4.1 Evaluation of the Modeling Results	177
7.4.2 Modelling Results of the Gunnedah Basin	180
7.6.3 Modelling Results of the Tamworth Belt and Its Bounding Faults	183
CHAPTER EIGHT: INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION	186
8.1 Introduction.	186
8.2 Structural Interpretation and Discussion of Gravity and Magnetic Models	186
8.2.1 The Peel Fault	186
8.2.2 The Tamworth Belt and the Hunter-Mooki Fault	190
8.2.3 The Gunnedah Basin	194
8.2.4 The Tablelands Complex	195

CHAPTER NINE: CONCLUSIONS	196
9.1 Conclusions	196
9.2 Suggestions for Further Study	200
REFERENCES	202
APPENDIX I	225
APPENDIX II	232