

PALAEOENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES OF THE MIDDLE TRIASSIC
UPPERMOST NARRABEEN GROUP, SYDNEY BASIN: PALAEOECOLOGICAL
CONSTRAINTS WITH PARTICULAR EMPHASIS ON TRACE FOSSIL ASSEMBLAGES

by

Thann Naing, BSc. (Hons.), Rangoon University.
Dip. Appld. Geol. (Hons.), Rangoon University.
MSc. (Hons.), Rangoon University.

A thesis submitted to Macquarie University in
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
of Doctor of Philosophy

1990

Copyright in relation to this Thesis

Under the Copyright Act 1968 (several provision of which are referred to below), this material must be used only under the normal conditions of scholarly fair dealing for the purposes of research, criticism or review. In particular no results or conclusions should be extracted from it, nor should it be copied or closely paraphrased in whole or in part without the written consent of the author. Proper written acknowledgement should be made for any assistance obtained from this material.

Under Section 35 (2) of the Copyright Act 1968 'the author of a literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work is the owner of any copyright subsisting in the work'. By virtue of Section 32 (1) copyright 'subsists in an original literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work that is unpublished' and of which the author was an Australian citizen, an Australian protected person or a person resident in Australia.

The Act, by Section 36 (1) provides: 'Subject to this Act, the copyright in a literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright and without the licence of the owner of the copyright, does in Australia, or authorises the doing in Australia of, any act comprised in the copyright'.

Section 31 (1) (a) (i) provides that copyright includes the exclusive right to 'reproduce the work in a material form'. Thus, copyright is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright, reproduces or authorises the reproduction of a work, or of more than a reasonable part of the work, in a material form, unless the reproduction is a 'fair dealing' with the work 'for the purpose of research or study' as further defined in Sections 40 and 41 of the Act.

Section 51 (2) provides that "Where a manuscript, or a copy, of material of other similar literary work that has not been published is kept in a library of a university or other similar institution or in an archives, the copyright in the material or other work is not infringed by the making of a copy of the material or other work by or on behalf of the officer in charge of the library or archives if the copy is supplied to a person who satisfies an authorized officer of the library or archives that he requires the copy for the purpose of research or study'.

* Thesis' includes 'treatise', 'dissertation' and other similar productions.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this thesis has not been submitted
for a higher degree to any other university or institution.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Thann Naing', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Thann Naing

30th August, 1990

VOLUME 1A

TEXT

CONTENTS

VOLUME 1A		Page
Thesis title		i - xi
Certificate of originality		xii - xxi
Contents		xxii - xxvii
List of Text-Figures		xxviii - xxxii
List of Tables		xxxiii - xxxv
Abstract		
Acknowledgements		
PART 1	INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY	1
CHAPTER 1.	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	2
1.1.	Preamble	3
1.2.	Location and extent of the study area	5
1.3.	Geology and geological context of the study area	12
1.4.	Previous work and recent concepts regarding environmental reconstructions of the Triassic succession of the Sydney Basin	20
1.5.	Specific aims of this project	32
CHAPTER 2.	METHODOLOGY	34
2.1.	Geographic distribution of subareas within the study area	35
2.2.	Field logging and sampling techniques	35
2.3.	Laboratory procedures	39
2.4.	Sample curation and repository	41
CHAPTER 3.	CLASSIFICATION OF ICHNOFACIES AND LITHO-FACIES AS USED IN THE PRESENT STUDY	42
3.1.	Preamble	43
3.2.	Ichnofacies definition and classification	43
3.3.	Lithofacies definition and classification	53

	Page
CHAPTER 4. DEFINITION OF TRACE FOSSIL ZONES (INTERVALS, SUBINTERVALS AND LEVELS)	61
4.1. Introduction	62
4.2. Trace fossil zones of the study area	65
CHAPTER 5. GENERAL CLASSIFICATION OF THE PALAEOENVIRONMENTS AND SUMMARY OVERVIEW OF THE STRATIGRAPHIC AND GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF PALAEOENVIRONMENTS IN THE STUDY AREA	71
5.1. Introduction	72
5.2. Classification of depositional environments	72
5.3. General definition of the depositional environments	75
5.4. Summary overview of the stratigraphic and geographic distribution of palaeoenvironments in the study area	77
PART 2 SYSTEMATIC ICHNOTAXONOMY	82
IMPORTANT NOTES RELATING TO THE SYSTEMATIC ICHNOTAXONOMY	83
CHAPTER 6. LARGE DWELLING-BURROWS	85
6.1. Introduction	86
6.2. Proposed classification	87
6.3. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	97
<u>Turimettichnus conaghani</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov.	97
<u>T. conaghani</u> var. A	114
<u>T. conaghani</u> var. B	114
<u>T. conaghani</u> var. C	115
<u>T. webbyi</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov.	116
<u>Pytiniichnus trifurcatum</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov.	123
CHAPTER 7. U-SHAPED BURROWS	133
7.1. Introduction	134
7.2. Spreite-bearing vertical U-shaped burrows (diplocrateriids)	134

	Page
7.2.1. Definition and terminology	134
7.2.2. Proposed classification	137
7.2.3. Evaluation of criteria	141
Morphological and classification of spreite	144
Other accessory features	148
7.2.4. Discussion of the proposed classification	153
7.2.5. Diplocrateriids in the Lower Permian of the southern Sydney Basin	158
7.2.6. Diplocrateriids of the Sydney North-shore Triassic	161
7.2.7. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	161
<u>Diplocraterion</u> Torell, 1870	161
<u>D. parallelum</u> Torell, 1870	163
<u>D. parallelum</u> var. <u>parallelum</u> (Torell, 1870)	163
<u>D. yoyo</u> Goldring, 1962	166
<u>D. polyupsilon</u> Smith, 1893	172
<u>D. polyupsilon</u> var. <u>polyupsilon</u> (Smith, 1893)	172
<u>D. Polyupsilon</u> var. <u>corophioides</u> (Smith, 1893)	174
7.2.8. Application of Distance-to-nearest-neighbour methods in population density studies	178
Procedures of the method	179
Application of method in the spatial distribution of <u>Diplocraterion</u> in the study area	180
7.2.9. Relationship between <u>Diplocraterion</u> burrow width and depth, bed thickness and lithofacies	185
7.3 Spreite-bearing bedding-parallel U-shaped burrows (rhizocoralliids)	190
7.3.1. Introduction	190
7.3.2. Genetic interpretation of the rhizocoralliids	191
Rhizocoralliids produced by suspension-feeders	193
Rhizocoralliids produced by deposit-feeders	195
Interpretation of rhizocoralliid environments	196

	Page
7.3.3. Revised classification of the rhizocoralliids	197
7.3.4. Previous records of rhizocoralliids from Australia outside the Sydney Basin	202
7.3.5. Previous records of rhizocoralliids from the Permian of the Sydney Basin	203
7.3.6. Rhizocoralliids from the Triassic of the Sydney Basin	204
7.3.7. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	205
<u>Rhizocorallium</u> Zenker, 1836	205
<u>R. jenense</u> Zenker, 1836	205
Emendation of rhizocoralliid species	
<u>R. irregulare</u> Mayer, 1954	206
<u>R. uliarense</u> Firtion, 1958	207
<u>R. jenense</u> Zenker, 1836	207
7.4.1. Introduction	208
<u>R. jenense</u> var. <u>jenense</u> (Zenker, 1836)	
7.4.2. Diagnosis of <u>R. jenense</u> var. <u>retrorsus</u> var. nov.	212
<u>R. irregulare</u> Mayer, 1954	216
7.4.3. Diagnosis	
<u>R. irregulare</u> var. <u>irregulare</u> (Mayer, 1954)	217
7.4.4. Occurrence	
<u>R. irregulare</u> var. <u>bifurcatum</u> var. nov.	220
7.4.5. Occurrence	
<u>R. uliarense</u> Firtion, 1958	222
<u>R. uliarense</u> var. <u>planispirus</u> (Mayer, 1954)	222
7.4. Spreite-free simple vertical U-shaped burrows (arenicolitids)	225
7.4.1. Introduction	225
7.4.2. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	225
<u>Arenicolites</u> Salter, 1857	225
CHAPTER 8. VERTICAL CYLINDRICAL BURROWS	229
8.1. Introduction	230
8.2. Summary and taxonomic history	231
8.2.1. General definition of <u>Skolithos</u> Haldeman (1840)	231
8.3. Proposed classification	233

	Page
8.4. Sydney Basin examples	239
8.5. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	240
8.5.1. Non-branching (<u>Skolithos</u> -type burrows)	240
<u>S. linearis</u> Haldemann (1840)	240
<u>S. verticalis</u> (Hall, 1843)	243
<u>S. annulatus</u> (Howell, 1957)	245
<u>S. tigillites</u> (Rouault, 1850)	246
<u>S. monocraterion</u> (Torell, 1870)	249
<u>S. sp?</u>	251
8.5.2. Branching (<u>Barrenjoeichnus</u> -type) burrows	260
<u>Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov.	260
Description and population studies	261
CHAPTER 9. <u>THALASSINOIDES, OPHIOMORPHA, SPONGELIOMORPHA AND TURN-AROUNDS</u>	275
9.1. Introduction	276
9.2. Diagnosis of <u>Thalassinoides</u> , <u>Ophiomorpha</u> and <u>Spongeliomorpha</u>	283
9.3. Significant morphological features	284
9.3.1. Orientation of the burrows	284
9.3.2. Ornamentation on wall surface	285
9.3.3. Wall-lining	286
9.3.4. Size of the burrows	287
9.3.5. Internal structures	287
9.3.6. Type of branching and burrow outline	288
9.3.7. Population density of burrows	290
9.4. Summary of morphological criteria and revision of ichnotaxa	291
9.5. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	293
9.5.1. <u>Ophiomorpha</u> Lundgren, 1891	293
<u>O. nodosa</u> Lundgren, 1891	293
9.5.2. <u>Thalassinoides</u> Ehrenberg, 1944 (emended in Kennedy, 1967)	305
<u>T. suevicus</u> (Rieth, 1932), Type A	305

	Page
12.1. Synonym <u>T. paradoxicus</u> (Woodward, 1873), Type B	308
9.5.3. <u>Spongeliomorpha</u> Saporta, 1887, Type C	314
12.1. Synonym <u>S. Kalia</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov.	314
CHAPTER 10. PELLETS AND OVOID-SHAPED STRUCTURES	318
10.1. Introduction	319
10.2. Classification	321
10.3. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	324
Pellet type A (faecal pellets)	324
11.1. 10.1. Pellet type B (feeding pellets)	326
Pellet type C (excavation pellets)	329
Pellet type D (large coprolites)	332
11.1. 10.1. Pellet type E (inorganic ovoid-shaped structures)	332
CHAPTER 11. BEDDING-PARALLEL FEEDING- AND/OR DWELLING- STRUCTURES	335
11.1 Introduction	336
11.2 The classification	338
11.2.1. Classification of <u>Palaeophycus</u> Hall, 1847	340
11.1. 11.2.2. Classification of <u>Planolites</u> Nichol- son, 1873	342
11.3. Sydney Basin representatives	342
11.3.1. Southern Sydney Basin (Lower Permian)	342
11.3.2. Sydney Northshore (Triassic).	343
11.4. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	343
<u>Palaeophycus</u> Hall, 1847	343
<u>P. tubularis</u> Hall, 1847	343
<u>P. striatus</u> Hall, 1847	345
<u>P. sulcatus</u> Pemberton & Frey, 1982	347
<u>P. alternatus</u> Pemberton & Frey, 1982	349
<u>Planolites</u> Nicholson, 1873	350
<u>P. beverleyensis</u> Billings, 1862	351
<u>P. montanus</u> (Richter, 1937)	353
CHAPTER 12. DENDRITIC FEEDING-BURROWS	356
12.1. Introduction	357

	Page
12.2. Proposed classification of dendritic feeding-structures	361
12.3. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	365
Type. A var. 1, var. 2 & var. 3	366
Type. B	372
Type. C var. 1	373
CHAPTER 13. ROSETTE-SHAPED STRUCTURES	376
13.1. Introduction	377
13.2. existing classification of rosette-shaped structures	377
13.3. Interpretation of rosette-shaped structures	380
13.3.1. Resulting from feeding and grazing	382
13.3.2. Produced by resting and moving	384
13.3.3. Rosette-shaped of uncertain origin	384
13.3.4. Unnamed modern rosette-shaped structures	385
13.3.5. Incomplete rosette-shaped structures	385
13.4. Proposed classification of rosette-shaped structures	388
13.5. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	389
<u>Helikospirichnus veeversi</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov.	389
<u>H. veeversi</u> ichno. sp. nov.	389
<u>Asteriacites</u> von Schlotheim, 1820	396
<u>Phycodes</u> Richter, 1850	398
<u>P. bischoffi</u> ichno. sp. nov.	398
CHAPTER 14. ESCAPE-STRUCTURES	405
14.1. Introduction	406
14.2. Classification	408
14.3. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	419
<u>Adeiaichnus</u> ichno. gen. nov.	419
<u>A. kykleomotatus</u> ichno. sp. nov.	419
<u>A. alyxis</u> ichno. sp. nov.	428

	Page
<u>Hannibalichnus</u> ichno. gen. nov.	433
<u>H. amplius</u> ichno. sp. nov.	434
Collapse-structures	439
CHAPTER 15. TRACKS, TRAILS AND RESTING-TRACES	440
15.1. General introduction	441
15.2. Grazing-trails	441
15.2.1. Ichnotaxonomy	441
<u>Agrichnium</u> Pfeiffer, 1968	441
CHAPTER 15. Scribbling-, grazing- and feeding-traces	445
15.3. Resting-traces	446
15.3.1. Ichnotaxonomy	446
<u>Rusophycus?</u>	446
<u>Pelecypodichnus</u> Seilacher, 1953	452
15.4. Vertebrate trackways	454
15.4.1. Summary review of existing classifications	454
15.4.2. Proposed new classification of vertebrate trackways	456
15.4.3. Ichnotaxonomy	460
Trackway type A1 (without webbing)	460
Trackway type A2 (with webbing)	466
Trackway type B (running gait of small reptiles)	470
<u>Moodieichnus</u> Sarjeant, 1971	470
<u>M. didactylus</u> Sarjeant, 1971	470
<u>M. didactylus</u> var. <u>triassicus</u> var. nov.	470
<u>M. tridactylus</u> ichno. sp. nov.	479
CHAPTER 16. BODY FOSSILS AND ROOT-PENETRATION STRUCTURES	482
16.1. Introduction	483
16.2. Taxonomy of plant remains and root-penetration structures	484
16.2.1. Plant remains	484
16.2.2. Root-penetration structures	486
Taxonomy	490
Type A (root-mould)	490
Type B (root-tubules)	490
Type C (root-casts)	492

	Page
Type E (root- and Rootlet- petrification structures	493
16.2. Animal remains	495
Taxonomy	498
Decapod crustacean	498
Bivalve mollusc: Category A (parautochthonous)	499
Bivalve mollusc: Category B (autochthonous)	500
CHAPTER 17. MISCELLANEOUS	502
17.1. Introduction	503
17.2. Systematic ichnotaxonomy	
17.2.1. <u>Beaconites antarcticus</u> Vialov, 1962	504
17.2.2. <u>Bifungites</u> Desio, 1940	509
17.2.3. <u>Brookvalichnus obliquus</u> webby, 1970	513
17.2.4. <u>Colichnites howardi</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov.	515
17.2.5. Flask-, J-, and L-shaped burrows	523
17.2.6. <u>Fuersichnus communis?</u> Bromley & Asgaard, 1979	524
17.2.7. <u>Imbrichnus</u> Hallam, 1970	527
17.2.8. Network system of unknown producer	531
17.2.9. Ring-structures	532
Introduction	532
Proposed classification	533
Ichnotaxonomy	
Type A (small ring-structures without central column)	536
Type B (small ring-structures with column and rings developed in the periphery)	538
Type C (small ring-structures with central column and rings developed throughout the entire radius)	540

	Interpretation of the collected	Page
	Type D (Large ring-structures of inorganic or unknown origin)	542
	17.2.10. <u>Scalarituba</u> Weller, 1899	544
	17.2.11. <u>Scoyenia gracilis</u> White, 1929	550
	17.2.12. Straight horizontal filled burrows	552
	17.2.13. Stuffed burrows	553
	17.2.14. Unclassified (small bean-shaped burrows	554
PART 3	SYNTHESIS AND CONCLUSIONS	555
CHAPTER 18.	TRACE FOSSIL ASSEMBLAGES (SUITES) IN INTERVALS IC TO IF AND THEIR DISTRIBUTION IN THE STUDY AREA	556
18.1.	Introduction	557
18.2.	Distribution of trace fossil intervals	558
18.2.1.	Geographic distribution	559
18.2.2.	The trace fossil assemblage zones and their stratigraphic distribution	560
	<u>Turimettichnus</u> - <u>Ophiomorpha</u> assemblage zone (IC1 - IC4)	562
	<u>Skolithos</u> - <u>Diplocraterion</u> assemblage zone (ID1 & ID2)	563
	<u>Helikospirichnus</u> - <u>Veeversi</u> assemblage zone (ID5-6)	565
	<u>Rhizocorallium</u> - <u>Thalassinoides</u> assemblage zone (IE9 & IE10)	566
	Subintervals IF1 and IF2	567
	Interval IG	568
CHAPTER 19.	INTERPRETATION OF THE PALAEOENVIRONMENTAL AFFINITIES OF THE TRACE FOSSIL ZONES AND DEPOSITIONAL SETTING OF THE STUDY AREA	570
19.1.	Introduction	571
19.2.	Environmental and ichnofacies classifications	571

		Page
TEXT 19.3.0	Interpretation of the marine-influenced episodes	573
TEXT-FIG. 1.1	19.3.1. Turimetta Head Tongue (subintervals IC1 - IC4)	575
TEXT-FIG. 1.2	19.3.2. St. Michaels Cave Tongue (subintervals ID1 & ID2)	576
TEXT-FIG. 1.3	19.3.3. Bangalley Head Tongue (subintervals ID5, ID6 & IE1)	577
TEXT-FIG. 1.4	19.3.4. Palm Beach Tongue (subintervals IE9 & IE10)	578
19.4.	Depositional setting of the study area	579
CHAPTER 20.	PALAEOGEOGRAPHIC SYNTHESIS AND CONCLUSIONS	581
20.1.	Palaeogeographic synthesis	582
TEXT-FIG. 1.5	20.1.1. Introduction	582
	20.1.2. Sequence of palaeogeographic events	585
	Clifton Subgroup	585
	Gosford Subgroup	587
	Hawkebury Sandstone	591
	20.1.3. Palaeogeographic overview	592
20.2.	Conclusions	594

VOLUME 1B

Page

BIBLIOGRAPHY

596

APPENDIX. I

i - liii

VOLUME 2

Contents

Appendix II

Plates and plate captions

Index of ichnotaxa

VOLUME 3

Contents

Enclosures

LIST OF TEXT FIGURES: PART I (CHAPTERS 1 - 5)

TEXT-FIGURE		Page
TEXT-FIG. 1.1.	Geological map of the Sydney Basin.	6
TEXT-FIG. 1.2.	Geological map of the study area.	8
TEXT-FIG. 1.3.	Detailed locality map of Turimetta Head (area 2).	9
TEXT-FIG. 1.4.	Detailed locality map of the St. Michaels Cave area (area 5).	10
TEXT-FIG. 1.5.	Detailed locality map of Barrenjoey Head (area 1).	11
TEXT-FIG. 1.6.	Composite section of the uppermost Lower and lower Middle Triassic succession of the central-eastern Sydney Basin.	15
TEXT-FIG. 1.7.	Stratigraphic nomenclature and correlation of the Lower to Middle Triassic succession exposed in the study area and north of Broken Bay.	17
TEXT-FIG. 1.8.	Comparative stratigraphic nomenclature of the Triassic System and underlying Permian rocks in different parts of the Sydney Basin.	19
TEXT-FIG. 1.9.	Time-space transect of the Sydney Basin along line A - A' in Text-Fig. 1.1.	22
TEXT-FIG. 1.10.	Model of a foreland basin developing through a single cycle.	24
TEXT-FIG. 1.11.	Reconstructed soils, vegetation, and topography of the Sydney Northshore area during deposition of the Lower Newport Formation.	28
TEXT-FIG. 1.12.	Late Permian to Middle Triassic development of the Sydney Basin (from Cowan, 1985, fig. 6.2).	31
TEXT-FIG. 3.1.	Major categories of behaviour represented by trace fossils and their ethological definitions.	44
TEXT-FIG. 3.2.	Ethological classification of trace fossils with representative characteristic morphology and some examples from the study area.	45
TEXT-FIG. 3.3.	Toponomic (preservational) classification of ichnofossils.	48

TEXT-FIG. 3.4.	Alternative toponomic classifications of trace fossils. A. By Chamberlain (1971a). B. By Martinsson (1965, 1970). C. By Simpson (1957).	49
TEXT-FIG. 3.5.	Recurring ichnofacies set in a representative, but not exclusive, suite of environment gradients.	50
TEXT-FIG. 3.6.	Lithofacies classification and distribution of trace fossils relative to lithofacies in the study area.	56
TEXT-FIG. 3.7.	Schematic diagram of ichnofacies indices that can be related to infaunal population-density defined by degree of bioturbation of one or more ichnotaxa.	57
TEXT-FIG. 4.1.	Stratigraphic distribution of trace fossils, body fossils and plant remains in the study area.	64
TEXT-FIG. 4.2.	Geographic distribution of trace fossils, body fossils and plant remains in the study area.	66
TEXT-FIG. 4.3.	Stratigraphic distribution versus geographic distribution of the trace fossil subintervals in the study area.	68
TEXT-FIG. 5.1.	Palaeoenvironmental distribution of the trace fossils, body fossils and plant remains in the study area.	74
TEXT-FIG. 5.2.	Block diagram of palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of the study area during deposition of the Lower Newport Formation.	78

TEXT-FIG. 5.3. Stratigraphic distribution versus geographic distribution (cf. Text-Fig. 4.3) of trace fossils of the study area with interpreted marine-influenced episodes. 79

TEXT-FIG. 5.4. Diagrammatic stratigraphic cross-section of the Triassic strata exposed in the Sydney coastal area and the zones of marine-influence in the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation. 80

TEXT-FIG. 5.5. Aerial view of the Sydney coastal area showing the distribution of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.6. Interpretation of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation showing the distribution of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.7. Aerial view of the Sydney coastal area showing the distribution of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.8. Diagrammatic stratigraphic cross-section of the Triassic strata exposed in the Sydney coastal area and the zones of marine-influence in the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.9. Aerial view of the Sydney coastal area showing the distribution of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.10. Oblique view of the Sydney coastal area showing the distribution of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.11. Diagrammatic stratigraphic cross-section of the Triassic strata exposed in the Sydney coastal area and the zones of marine-influence in the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.12. Aerial view of the Sydney coastal area showing the distribution of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.13. Diagrammatic stratigraphic cross-section of the Triassic strata exposed in the Sydney coastal area and the zones of marine-influence in the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

TEXT-FIG. 5.14. Aerial view of the Sydney coastal area showing the distribution of the Bald Hill Claystone, Garie Formation and Newport Formation.

LIST OF TEXT-FIGURES: PART II (CHAPTERS 6 - 17)

TEXT-FIGURE	Page
TEXT-FIG. 6.1. Classification of large dwelling-burrows.	88
TEXT-FIG. 6.2. Interpretation and classification of bioglyphs.	102
TEXT-FIG. 6.3. Location of bioglyphs on the holotype specimen of the new ichnotaxon <u>Turimettichnus conaghani</u> .	103
TEXT-FIG. 6.4. Apertural and dorsal views of the new ichnotaxon <u>Turimettichnus conaghani</u> showing bioglyphs and orientation of the possible producer organism.	105
TEXT-FIG. 6.5. Interpretative diagram of developmental stages of the new ichnotaxon <u>Turimettichnus conaghani</u> .	107
TEXT-FIG. 6.6. Apertural and dorsal views of the new ichnotaxon <u>Turimettichnus webbyi</u> showing bioglyphs and orientation of the unknown producer organism with reference to <u>Turimettichnus conaghani</u> .	117
TEXT-FIG. 6.7. Interpretative diagram of developmental stages of the new ichnotaxon <u>Turimettichnus webbyi</u> .	119
TEXT-FIG. 6.8. Dorsal and basal views of the new ichnotaxon <u>Pytiniichnus trifurcatum</u> , showing bioglyphs.	124
TEXT-FIG. 6.9. Oblique apertural view of the new ichnotaxon <u>Pytiniichnus trifurcatum</u> , showing bioglyphs and orientation of the possible producer organism.	125
TEXT-FIG. 6.10. Reconstructed orientation of the inferred amphibian producer organism of <u>Pytiniichnus trifurcatum</u> during entry and exit from the burrow and reconstructed formation of trifurrowed bioglyphs in <u>P. trifurcatum</u> during excavation.	128
TEXT-FIG. 6.11. Comparative morphology of the bioglyphs of the three new ichnotaxa: <u>Turimettichnus conaghani</u> , <u>T. webbyi</u> and <u>Pytiniichnus trifurcatum</u> .	132
TEXT-FIG. 7.1. Definition diagram of the spreite-bearing U-shaped burrow <u>Diplocraterion</u> Torell, 1870, and definition of its various species.	135
TEXT-FIG. 7.2. Proposed classification of spreite-bearing U-shaped burrows.	139

TEXT-FIG. 7.3.	Assignment of some problematic ichno-genera in the proposed classification of vertical/subvertical spreite-bearing U-shaped burrows and their emended characteristics, together with unrecorded hypothetical forms.	147
TEXT-FIG. 7.4.	Diagram showing inferred influence of substrate erosion on the morphology of vertical spreite-bearing U-shaped burrows.	151
TEXT-FIG. 7.5.	Diagram of U-plane section of five burrows of varying depth (H) but uniform width (W) and hence with different but increasing W/H ratio downwards in the diagram.	152
TEXT-FIG. 7.6.	Diagram illustrating the definition and application of the distance-to-nearest-neighbour (DNN) method used in bedding-plane exposures of the vertical U-shaped burrows of <u>Diplocraterion</u> .	165
TEXT-FIG. 7.7.	Different cross-sections of <u>Diplocraterion yoyo</u> Goldring, 1962.	167
TEXT-FIG. 7.8.	Sigmoidally deflected nature of the U-plane in a vertically orientated U-shaped burrow reflected by opposing outwardly-inclined arms of the U in the vertical plane.	175
TEXT-FIG. 7.9.	Diagram illustrating three possible types of population dispersion.	184
TEXT-FIG. 7.10.	Plot of the width (W) of <u>Diplocraterion</u> burrows in the study area against the thickness (G) of the bed in which the burrows initially formed, and compared with similar data from Legg (1985) for <u>Diplocraterion</u> burrows from the Middle Cambrian of northern Spain.	187
TEXT-FIG. 7.11.	Anatomy of rhizocoralliid burrows as produced by suspension-feeders.	194
TEXT-FIG. 7.12.	Classification scheme for bedding-parallel/subparallel U-shaped rhizocoralliid burrows.	198
TEXT-FIG. 7.13.	Interpreted superimposition of preomission, omission and postomission trace fossil suites in subinterval IE9 at South Palm Beach (area 4b).	211
TEXT-FIG. 7.14.	Schematic drawing of spatially episodic protrusive spreite caused by sediment mining processes of a deposit-feeder.	211

- TEXT-FIG. 7.15. Schematic drawing illustrating tiering relationships between trace fossils in subintervals IE9 and IE10 of the Middle Newport Member at Mona Vale Head (area 14) 211
- TEXT-FIG. 8.1. Proposed classification of vertical cylindrical burrows and root-penetration structures. 234
- TEXT-FIG. 8.2. Map of bedding plane in sample 210/MU.44386 (illustrated in Plate 60 Fig. c) showing openings of vertical cylindrical burrows (Skolithos sp?). 252
- TEXT-FIG. 8.3. Histogram of measured diameters of vertical cylindrical burrows (Skolithos sp?) in sample 201/MU.44389 (cf. data from Table 8.4). 255
- TEXT-FIG. 8.4. Method of measuring the dip (burrow inclination) angle θ and azimuth or trend angle ϕ of steeply-inclined cylindrical burrows of Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli ichno. gen. sp. nov. 266
- TEXT-FIG. 8.5. 'Schmidt-net' stereographic projection of three hypothetical examples of inclined cylindrical burrows whose attitude is defined by dip angle θ and trend angle ϕ . 267
- TEXT-FIG. 8.6. Frequency histogram of burrow dip (inclination) angle θ of Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli in 10° intervals at outcrops 1 and 2, Barrenjoey Head (area 1). 270
- TEXT-FIG. 8.7. Population-density distribution of burrows of Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli in four logged sections (TF1 - TF4) at outcrop 1, Barrenjoey Head. 271
- TEXT-FIG. 9.1. Proposed classification of the crustacean burrows Ophiomorpha, Thalassinoides and Spongeliomorpha. 278
- TEXT-FIG. 9.2. Interpretation of the different types of turn-arounds in Thalassinoides with hypothetical reconstruction of the crustacean producer organisms. 280
- TEXT-FIG. 9.3. Reconstructed and partly schematic diagram of crustacean burrows exposed on the sole bedding-plane surface a large slab from trace fossil subinterval IE9.2 of the Middle Newport Member at South Palm Beach (area 4b). 282

- TEXT-FIG. 9.4. Reconstruction of the architecture of mammillated or pelletal wall structure of the burrow Ophiomorpha nodosa Lundgren, 1891. 295
- TEXT-FIG. 9.5. Modified diagrammatic seaward profile illustrating morphological change in the burrow Callianassa major/Ophiomorpha nodosa (based on field study by Frey et al., 1978) 301
- TEXT-FIG. 9.6. Reconstructed profiles showing relationship between coastal physiography, energy levels and apertural opening density (per square metre) of the burrow Ophiomorpha nodosa in the study area with reference to data in Pryor (1973), Frey et al., (1978), and Luter-nuer (1987). 303
- TEXT-FIG. 10.1. Proposed classification scheme of organically produced pellets (both coprogene and non-coprogene) and ovoid-shaped pellets of inorganic origin. 322
- TEXT-FIG. 10.2. Schematic east-west profile illustrating the mechanism of formation of ferruginous ovoid-shaped structures, clay pellets, and clay pebbles in the Garie Formation (= Lower Newport Member). 333
- TEXT-FIG. 11.1. Definition of and classification scheme for the ichnogenus Palaeophycus Hall, 1847. 339
- TEXT-FIG. 11.2. Definition of and classification scheme for the ichnogenus Planolites Nicholson, 1873. 341
- TEXT-FIG. 12.1. Proposed classification of varieties of the dendritic network feeding structure Chondrites Von Sternberg, 1833. 360
- TEXT-FIG. 12.2. Idealized tiering relationship of trace fossil suites associated with the ichnogenus Chondrites. 367
- TEXT-FIG. 13.1. Proposed classification of rosette-shaped trace fossils. 386
- TEXT-FIG. 13.2. Reconstruction of the multistage heli-coidal development of the new ichnotax-on Helikospirichnus veeversi. 391
- TEXT-FIG. 13.3. Overlay drawing from a photograph of the incomplete rosette-shaped struc-ture, Phycodes bischoffi, showing its broom- or flabellate-shaped form. 399

- TEXT-FIG. 13.4. Reconstruction of the half rosette-shaped burrow Phycodes bischoffi. 400
- TEXT-FIG. 14.1. Proposed classification of escape-structures. 409
- TEXT-FIG. 14.2. Schematic illustration of the similarity of a bivalve mollusc resting-structure and its escape-structure as viewed in horizontal transverse cross-section. 413
- TEXT-FIG. 14.3. Schematic illustration designed to explain the transitional relationship between a resting-structure and associated bilobed escape-structure as seen in both longitudinal and transverse cross-sections. 414
- TEXT-FIG. 14.4. Schematic illustration designed to explain the transitional relationship between an escape-structure (fugichnia), a resting-structure (cubichnia), and a crawling-trace (repichnia). 416
- TEXT-FIG. 14.5. Sketch made from a photograph of the type specimens of the new ichnotaxon Adeiaichnus kykleomotatus, and associated interpretive illustrations. 421
- TEXT-FIG. 14.6. Overlay sketch made from a photograph of the holotype (middle burrow) and paratypes (other two burrows) of the new ichnotaxon escape-burrow Adeiaichnus alyxis. 429
- TEXT-FIG. 14.7. Overlay sketches made from a photograph of the new ichnotaxon Hannibalichnus amplius which was probably produced by an arthropod or a fish, with hypothetical reconstructions of the likely producing organisms. 435
- TEXT-FIG. 15.1. Schematic representation of the dendritic branching grazing trail Agrichnium Pfeiffer, 1958, and of unbranched, loosely packed, scribbling/grazing traces. 442
- TEXT-FIG. 15.2. Reconstruction of a bilobed rusophysid-like resting trace. 449
- TEXT-FIG. 15.3. Proposed classification of vertebrate trackways and associated drag-marks produced by vertebrates. 457
- TEXT-FIG. 15.4. Reconstruction of imprint and semidigitigrade (walking and running) gait of the left pes of the large tetrapod type A trackway. 461

TEXT-FIGURE	Page
TEXT-FIG. 15.5. Reconstruction of imprint of the plantigrade (walking) gait of the left pes of the large tetrapod type B trackway.	467
TEXT-FIG. 15.6. Ethological interpretation of didactyl and tridactyl running reptilian trackways and reconstructed hypothetical reptile in saltatorial running gait.	471
TEXT-FIG. 15.7. Random pattern of the <u>Moodieichnus</u> didactyl trackways formed by the saltatorial running gait of small reptiles.	475
TEXT-FIG. 16.1. General classification scheme for root-penetration structures (viewed as trace fossils) and root-petrification structures (viewed as body fossils).	489
TEXT-FIG. 16.2. Dorsal view of the large decapod crustacean fossil collected from trace fossil subinterval IC3/4 of the Bald Hill Claystone at Long Reef Point (area 3).	497
TEXT-FIG. 17.1. Diagrammatic interpretation of the ichnogenus <u>Bifungites</u> (Desio, 1940) based on field observation of examples in the present study area and the description of other examples by Gutschick & Lamborn (1975).	510
TEXT-FIG. 17.2. Dimensional and angular measurements of the ichnogenus <u>Colichnites howardi</u> and their crossplot relationship with the same parameters of <u>Cochlichnus kochi</u> (Elliott, 1985).	516
TEXT-FIG. 17.3. Definition of ethological differences in different ichnotaxa produced by a bivalve mollusc based on the examples described by Seilacher (1953) and Hallam (1970), and those from the present study area.	529
TEXT-FIG. 17.4. Classification diagram of ring-structures based on Seilacher (1953), Häntzschel (1975), and specimens observed in the present study area.	534
TEXT-FIG. 17.5. Diagrammatic illustration of a scalariform burrow with definition of dimensional parameters documented in Table 17.2.	545

LIST OF TEXT-FIGURES: PART III (CHAPTERS 18 - 20)

TEXT-FIGURE	Page
TEXT-FIG. 20.1. Stratigraphy of the Triassic rocks of the study area showing stratigraphic position and extent of the four marine tongues and the stratigraphic location of the 'time-slices' depicted in the palaeogeographic reconstructions of Text-Fig. 20.2.	583
TEXT-FIG. 20.2. Latest Early and early Middle Triassic development of the central-eastern Sydney Basin in respect of 10 'time-slices' defined stratigraphically in Text-Fig. 20.1.	584
TEXT-FIG. 20.3. Time-space diagram of the Sydney Basin for the Late Permian to Middle Triassic, from Cowan (1985, fig. 6.1) with slight revision.	586

LIST OF TABLES: PART I (CHAPTERS 1 - 5)

TABLES		Page
TABLE 3.1.	Tabulation of typical trace fossil suites included in the recurring ichnofacies.	51
TABLE 3.2.	List of trace fossils present in the study area with interpretation in terms of their ethology, trophic level, possible producer(s) and their ichnofacies.	52
TABLE 3.3.	Definition of lithofacies and lithofacies codes used in this report.	59
TABLE 4.1.	Stratigraphic tabulation of trace fossil intervals and subintervals in the ichnologically known coastal exposures of the southern and central Sydney Basin.	63
TABLE 5.1.	Spectrum and classification of depositional environments related to the distribution of different trace fossils as shown in Text-Fig. 5.1 (environmental distribution chart).	73

LIST OF TABLES: PART II (CHAPTERS 6 - 17)

TABLES	Thickness	Page
TABLE 6.1.	Glossary of terms used in the description and classification of large dwelling-burrows.	90
TABLE 6.2.	Morphological criteria, characteristics and morphological inferences used in the proposed classification of large dwelling-burrows.	92
TABLE 6.3.	Details of large dwelling-burrows and large burrows suspected of being dwelling-burrows accommodated in the proposed classification.	96
TABLE 6.4.	Dimensional data of the two ichnogenera <u>Turimettichnus</u> and <u>Pytiniichnus</u> .	131
TABLE 7.1.	Glossary of terms and parameters used in description and classification of vertical spreite-bearing U-shaped burrows.	136
TABLE 7.2.	General diagnosis of all ichnogenera characterized by vertical spreite-bearing U-shaped structure.	138
TABLE 7.3.	Morphological features, dimensional parameters, and other criteria based on ethological value in the proposed classification of vertical spreite-bearing U-shaped burrows.	140
TABLE 7.4.	Original and revised ichnotaxonomic names of vertical spreite-bearing burrows in terms of the proposed classification of vertical spreite-bearing U-shaped burrows.	157
TABLE 7.5.	A. Representative measurements of the <u>Diplocraterion parallelum</u> and <u>D. yoyo</u> . B. Representative measurements of <u>Diplocraterion polyupsilon</u> .	170 171
TABLE 7.6.	Representative burrow depth measurements of <u>Diplocraterion parallelum/D.yoyo</u> in sample 1205/MU.44443.	181

TABLE 7.7.	Bed thickness "G" (see Text-Fig. 7.10) and lithology of the samples containing <u>Diplocraterion</u> burrows.	181
TABLE 7.8.	DNN measurements of <u>Diplocraterion</u> in sample 1205/MU.44443.	182
TABLE 7.9.	Glossary of terms used in the description of bedding-parallel/subparallel U-shaped burrows.	192
TABLE 7.10.	Morphological features used in the proposed classification of the rhizocoralliid burrows.	199
TABLE 7.11.	Revised nomenclature of the rhizocoralliid burrows in terms of the proposed classification of bedding parallel/subparallel U-shaped burrows.	200
TABLE 7.12.	Dimensions of rhizocoralliid burrows from the study area.	214
TABLE 8.1.	Significant features and other criteria of major and minor genetic value in the proposed classification of vertical cylindrical trace fossils (cf. text-Fig. 8.1)	235
TABLE 8.2.	Revised nomenclature of the non-branching <u>Skolithos</u> Alpert (1974) proper, and other branching <u>Skolithos</u> -like vertical cylindrical structures.	236
TABLE 8.3.	Distance-to-nearest-neighbour (DNN) measurements of numbered <u>Skolithos</u> sp? burrows in sample 201/MU.44386 (illustrated in Plate 60 Fig. c, and Text-Fig. 8.2).	253
TABLE 8.4.	Measurements of the diameters of the numbered vertical cylindrical <u>Skolithos</u> sp? burrows in sample 201/MU.44386 (illustrated in Plate 60 Fig. c, and Text-Fig. 8.2).	254

TABLE 8.5.	Details of statistical tests involved in the discrimination of various species of <u>Skolithos</u> from the study area and elsewhere on the basis of relative distance of inter-burrow spacing (i.e., population density) and burrow diameter.	258
TABLE 8.6.	Frequency distribution of burrow trend angle (ϕ) in <u>Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov. A. At outcrop 1, GR. 4520,8277 Barrenjoey Head (area 1), Broken Bay (9130-I-N). B. At Outcrop 2, GR. 4502,8275 Barrenjoey Head (area 2), Broken Bay (9130-I-N). C. Data pooled from outcrops 1 and 2.	268
TABLE 8.7.	Frequency distribution of burrow dip (inclination) angle (θ) in <u>Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli</u> ichno. gen. sp. nov. A. At outcrop 1, GR. 4520,8277 Barrenjoey Head (area 1), Broken Bay (9130-I-N). B. At Outcrop 2, GR. 4502,8275 Barrenjoey Head (area 2), Broken Bay (9130-I-N). C. Data pooled from outcrops 1 and 2.	269
TABLE. 9.1.	Rationale of approach and various features used at the different ichnotaxonomic levels in the proposed classification of <u>Thalassinoides</u> and <u>Spongeliomorpha</u> .	279
TABLE. 9.2.	Proposed revision of the crustacean burrows <u>Thalassinoides</u> , <u>Spongeliomorpha</u> , <u>Ophiomorpha</u> and closely related forms on the basis of morphological criteria.	292
TABLE. 9.3.	Results of 20 population-density counts of the apertural openings of <u>Ophiomorpha</u> in the upper part of the Bald Hill Claystone.	302

TABLE. 12.1.	Rationale of approach and features used at the different apparent ichnotaxonomic levels in the proposed classification of the ichnogenus <u>Chondrites</u> Von Sternberg, 1833.	362
TABLE. 12.2.	Diagnostic salient characteristics of various dendritic feeding burrows of "Chondritor" origin, together with their previous names or type designation and newly revised type designation in terms of the proposed classification illustrated in Text-Fig. 12.1.	364
TABLE. 13.1.	Ichnotaxonomic classification and interpreted ethology of rosette-shaped trace fossils based on the inferred taxonomic affinity of the producer organism.	278
TABLE. 13.2.	Terminology used in the proposed classification of rosette-shaped structures.	381
TABLE. 13.3.	Salient morphological features, attributes and ethological criteria used in the proposed classification of rosette-shaped trace-fossils.	387
TABLE. 14.1.	Rationale of approach, and various features used at the different ichnotaxonomic levels in the proposed classification of escape-structures (fugichnia).	411
TABLE. 14.2.	Tabulation of diagnostic salient characteristics of various structures inferred here and in the literature to be of animal-escape origin, together with their previous names (where applicable) and new revised names in terms of the proposed classification.	412
TABLE. 15.1.	Morphological criteria and ethological inferences used in the proposed classification of vertebrate trackways.	458

TABLE. 15.2.	Measurements of the didactyl reptilian footprints <u>Moodieichnus</u> in trace fossil subinterval ID1.2 at St. Michaels Cave (area 5).	473
TABLE. 15.3.	Comparative dimensions of the digits and interdigital angles in the trackways of <u>Moodieichnus</u> .	476
TABLE. 16.1.	Selected criteria for distinguishing rhizoliths from animal burrows.	487
TABLE. 17.1.	Dimensional and angular measurements of the new ichnotaxon <u>Colichnites howardi</u> and its clothoid curve and sine curve values used in Text-Fig. 17.1.	519
TABLE. 17.2.	Dimensional measurements of <u>Scalarituba</u> burrows from trace fossil subinterval IE2 of the Middle Newport Member at St. Michaels Cave (area 5).	547

ABSTRACT

The coastal exposures of the Triassic System in the Sydney Northshore area aggregate about 180 m in thickness and comprise the uppermost part of the Narrabeen Group (namely, in ascending stratigraphic order: the Bald Hill Claystone, the Garie Formation, and the Newport Formation, the latter divisible into Lower, Middle and Upper Members) and the overlying Hawkesbury Sandstone. With the exception of mainly allochthonous plant macrofossils and palynomorphs which occur sporadically and with varying abundance in the mudrock facies of these formations, environmentally-diagnostic body fossils are rare, and, where they occur, are nowhere unequivocally indicative of marine affinities. For this reasons, and because of the predominantly fluvial lithofacies characteristics exhibited by these formations throughout much of their stratigraphic extent and especially by their channel-form/channel-like sandstones lithosomes, most previous workers have interpreted these formations to be of fluvial or fluvio-lacustrine origin except possibly for several thin planar- and thinly-bedded fine-grained intervals encompassing the Garie and Newport Formations for which several lines of evidence, including lithofacies, equivocal palaeontological, and ichnological evidence, have prompted several workers to speculate a shallow-marine, possibility coastal lagoonal or estuarine origin.

Although trace fossils occur in reasonable abundance at various stratigraphic levels within these uppermost Narrabeen Group rocks and particularly within the Newport Formation, they have hitherto received very little systematic study. A comprehen-

sive study of this ichnofauna shows that it is relatively diverse, comprising almost 100 different ichnotaxa (including varietal categories) of predominantly invertebrate origin, and includes several new ichnogenera and ichnospecies among the more notable of which are: two large bioglyph-bearing dwelling-burrows of probable crustacean origin (Turimettichnus conaghani and T. webbyi) and one (Pytiniichnus trifurcatum) made either by a small reptile or an amphibian; a multi-stage spiral star-shaped feeding-trace (Helikospirichnus veeversi), probably made by a worm or worm-like deposit-feeder; several new species and varieties of Rhizocorallium (the first record of this ichnogenus in the Triassic of Australia); a new species and new variety of the saltatorial running vertebrate trackway Moodieichnus (an ichnogenus previously known only from the Late Permian of North America); and a new ichnogenus of vertical/steeply-inclined cylindrical branching dwelling-burrow (Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli).

An alternating stratigraphic pattern of trace fossil abundance and diversity characterizes the upper Narrabeen Group strata in the Sydney Northshore area, and involves four relatively thin separate assemblage zones of relatively diverse ichnofauna and thicker intervening assemblage zones which lack ichnotaxonomic diversity. The assemblage zones of diverse trace fossils contain some elements in common to two or more zones, notably: Thalassinoides, Skolithos, Ophiomorpha, Chondrites, Rhizocorallium, Palaeophycus, and Planolites, all of which are known to have unequivocal brackish- to shallow-marine palaeoecological affinities and which globally are characteristic of the Skolithos

ichnofacies. Additionally, each of these four diverse assemblage zones is characterized by one or more particular index ichnogen-
era which for convenience lend their name(s) to the zones as follows, in ascending stratigraphic order: Turimettichnus-Ophio-
morpha assemblage zone; Skolithos-Diplocraterion assemblage zone;
Helikospirichnus assemblage zone; and Rhizocorallium-
Thalassinoides assemblage zone. The intervening ichnotaxonomical-
ly less-diverse and relatively impoverished assemblage zones are
not similarly and separately named but are characterized by
Barrenjoeichnus mitchelli and some species of Palaeophycus,
Planolites and Skolithos as well as various plant-root petrifica-
tion structures, all of which are here argued to have predomi-
nantly non-marine palaeoecological affinities. These latter
assemblage zones can be referred to the Scoyenia-Teredolites
ichnofacies. This stratigraphic pattern of alternating ichnologi-
cally diverse and impoverished assemblage zones confirms the
suggestions of previous workers (notably Bunny and Herbert, and
Retallack) regarding the presence of brackish-/shallow-marine
palaeoenvironmental influence in these Lower and Middle Triassic
strata and allow for the first time the stratigraphic resolution
of the marine strata into four marine tongues which are here
named after their respective type localities. These are, in
ascending order: The Turimetta Head Tongue (2 m to 3 m thick;
extending from at least the middle part of the Bald Hill Clay-
stone almost to the top of this formation); the St. Michaels Cave
Tongue (4 m to 5 m thick; encompassing the Garie Formation and
the lower part of the lower Member of the Newport Formation); the

Bangalley Head Tongue (3 m to 5 m thick; extending from the uppermost part of the Lower Member into the lower part of the Middle Member of the Newport Formation); and the Palm Beach Tongue (3 m to 4 m thick; comprising the uppermost part of the Middle Member of the Newport Formation). The trace fossil assemblages in each of these marine tongues are indicative of a complex of brackish- to very shallow-marine low-energy palaeoenvironments typical of modern coastal lagoons or estuaries and imply the presence of a protecting coeval topographic barrier of some kind to the east or southeast. This lagoon is herein called the Newport (Coastal) Lagoon and its development in the central-eastern part of the Sydney Basin coincides approximately with the geographic and depocentral axis of the basin which trends NW-SE and intersects the present coastline in the Sydney metropolitan area. The non-marine affinities of the impoverished and less-diverse trace fossil assemblages in the intervening and overlying strata are consistent with the fluvial/fluvio-lacustrine environmental interpretations of these thicker and predominantly sandstone-dominant intervals made by many other workers. Palaeocurrent and petrographic data from these fluvial sediments show that the streams in which they formed debouched episodically into the Newport Lagoon variously from the northwest, west and southwest and were sourced variously from both the craton (Lachlan Fold Belt) to the southwest and the New England Orogen to the northeast.

With the exception of evidence of short-lived brackish-marine conditions at the base of the Narrabeen Group in the northeastern Sydney Basin and in the top of the Ashfield

Shale in the Wianamatta Group (above the Hawkesbury Sandstone) in the central part of the basin, the Triassic System of the basin is dominated by fluvial/fluviolacustrine sediments and the presently described marine tongues of the Newport Lagoon in the uppermost Narrabeen Group are the only other presently known record of marine conditions during the Triassic history of the basin. The development of the Newport Lagoon in the geographic and depocentral axis of the basin attests to the presence of a mild short-lived marine transgression in the latest Early and early Middle Triassic at the end of a period of declining piedmont clastic alluviation from the coeval New England Orogen to the northeast and immediately prior to the onset of a new phase of fluvial sedimentation sourced from the craton to the southwest and manifested by the deposition of the Middle Triassic Hawkesbury Sandstone.

The present discussion is based on the work of several researchers and

Nitchell for discussion of

my to all these

to put in

the policy, I am

their

by

in 1972.

My thanks are due to

Dr. A. Talent and

selection and

helpful

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Professor Anthony Hallam

I wish to thank Professors P.F. Howard, A. J. Rose, P. B. Hostetler, C. McA. Powell, and P. H. Curson, successive Heads of the School of Earth Sciences during my thesis candidature (June 1985 - June 1990) for provision of the facilities of the school.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. P.J. Conaghan, who suggested and supervised the project, gave it much material and logistical support, and financed its completion. I am also very much in appreciation of all of his sustained interest, enthusiasm and encouragement, and for many hours of stimulating discussion and constructive suggestions and advice regarding the project and the content of this report. I am indebted also to the following people, who contributed to the project in different ways: Dr. G. C. O. Bischoff for taxonomic criticism during the later part of the project; Associate Professor B. D. Webby (Sydney University) for several discussions and ichnotaxonomic advice and Dr. P. B. Mitchell for discussions concerning palaeosol problems. I extend my thanks to all these people for their everready generous assistance to me in respect of their individual expertise throughout the study. I also acknowledge my thanks to the late Dr. M. L. Thein, formerly Professor of Applied Geology at Rangoon University, Burma, who first introduced me to the subject of ichnology in 1973.

My thanks are also extended to Associate Professors J. J. Veevers, J. A. Talent, and to Dr. R. Mawson for their encouragement, discussion and helpful criticism. The project also benefited from helpful discussions with Mr. Chris Herbert, Pro-

fessor Anthony Hallam and Dr. J. E. Carey.

Ken Rousell and A. Aung (Ph.D student at the University of Queensland, St. Lucia) helped with the drafting of some of the large enclosures. J. Cleasby undertook close-up studio photography for some of the plates. Word processing of some of the thesis drafts was generously performed by Ms. Mary Yall, Mrs. Yvonne F. Warner, Mrs. Penny Dickson, Win Maung Maung, and Dr. P. J. Conaghan. Aung Thwin helped with computing problems, and Mr. Phil Ingram wrote the program 'Catalog' for curating the collected specimens. Mr. Tim Watson and Dr. Jim Forrest, successive school managers, helped with various administrative matters during the course of the project.

I also wish to thank to Dr. R. T. Way and his family, Dr. P. J. Conaghan, Dr. E. Veevers, Dr. H. M. Hawlader, Ms. Gulten Demirtas, Dr. D. Martin, Dr. H. Tucker and her Ryde Crisis Team and Mrs. E. Ward from the Ryde Family Support Service for their assistance and moral support in various ways during periods of my wife's ill-health and thereby contributing to the ongoing viability of the project.

This project was funded by Macquarie University during the tenure of a Macquarie University Postgraduate Research Award and associated grants-in-aid (June 1985 - November 1989) and subsequently through private funding by Dr. P. J. Conaghan and grants-in-aids by the School of Earth Sciences at Macquarie University. Additionally, I am grateful for the receipt of Research Awards from the Australian Museum, Sydney, in 1987, and the Linnean Society of New South Wales in 1987 and 1988. I ex-

press my sincere gratitude for all this financial support without which this project could not have been sustained and brought to completion.

Finally, but not least, I wish to thank my wife Marlar and my daughter Win Win for their homely support and forbearance and understanding of the demands that the successful completion of this project has made on our family life.