# OWNERSHIP, EFFICIENCY AND IDENTITY: THE TRANSITION OF AUSTRALIA'S SYMPHONY ORCHESTRAS FROM GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS TO CORPORATE ENTITIES

# STEPHEN JOHN BOYLE

Bachelor of Music (Performance), University of Adelaide
Bachelor of Music (Performance) Honours, University of Adelaide
Graduate Diploma in Management (Arts), University of South Australia
Master of Business Administration, University of South Australia

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Economics)

Macquarie University – Department of Economics

July 2007



## HIGHER DEGREE THESIS AUTHOR'S CONSENT DOCTORAL

This is to certify that I, S	TEPHEN JOH	N BOYLE b	peing a candidate for the do	egree of Doctor of
higher degree theses as conta				
In the light of this policy a University Library for consu			a copy of my thesis to b	e deposited in the
Mesc			ine Offeyantle	
Signature of Ca	undidate	day of	Signature of Witness	20_0_7



The Academic Senate on 09 October 2007 resolved that Stephen John Boyle had satisfied the requirements for admission to the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

This thesis represents a major part of the prescribed program of study.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Abstract	
Statement from Candidate	
Acknowledgements	9
Chapter 1	10
Introduction	10
1.1 Statement of the Problem	10
1.2 Analytical Approach	13
1.3 Summary of Thesis	
Chapter 2	
Theoretical Background	
2.1 Introduction.	
2.2 Theory of Corporatisation	
2.3 Theory of the Nonprofit Firm	24
2.4 Symphony Orchestras as a Specific Type of Productive Enterprise	28
2.4.1 Organisational Structure	28
2.4.2 Objectives	
2.4.3 Demand / Pricing Relationship	
2.4.4 Production / Cost Relationship	
2.4.5 Programming	
2.4.6 Organisational Identity and Image	
2.5 Summary and Conclusions	
Chapter 3	
The Empirical Setting: The Australian Broadcasting Corporation's Orchestra	
3.1 Expected Roles of Symphony Orchestras in the Australian Environme	
3.2 Beginning: 1930s – 1970s	
3.3 A Time of Review: 1976 – 1992	
3.4 Corporatisation: 1994 - 2000	
3.5 The New Era: 2000 and Beyond	
3.6 Summary and Conclusions	
Chapter 4	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Model options	
4.2.1 Federally-based Institutional Structure	
4.2.2 State Government Authority	
4.2.3 Nonprofit Membership-based Organisation	
4.2.4 Player Owned Co-operative	بره م
4.2.6 A Hybrid Model: Subsidiary Nonprofit Firm	
4.3 Comparison and Discussion.	
4.4 Summary and Conclusion	
Chapter 5	
Modelling Organisational Change	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 A Model of Firm Behaviour	
5.2.1 Process Model	
5.2.2 Decision Model	
5.3 Organisational Change	
5.4 Hypotheses	
5.5 Empirical Testing to be Conducted	
5.5.1 Key Performance Indicators Change over Time	110

5.5.2 Musicians as a Key Input	111
5.5.3 Production and Efficiency Analysis	
Chapter 6	114
Empirical Analysis I – Performance Indicators	114
6.1 Introduction	114
6.2 Data Sources	
6.3 Major Variables	
6.3.1 Attendance and Performance Levels	
6.3.2 Revenues and Costs	
6.4 Efficiency Indicators	
6.4.1 Cost per attendance	
6.4.2 Earned Revenue per Performance	
6.4.3 Reliance on Subsidy	
6.5 Indicators of Structural Change	
6.5.1 Attendances and Performances	
6.5.2 Costs	
6.5.3 Earned Revenue	
6.5.4 Subsidy	
6.6 Quality	
6.7 Implications of Performance Indicators Results	
6.8 Summary	
Chapter 7	
Empirical Analysis II – Musicians' Behaviour and Attitudes	
7.1 Introduction	
7.2 Data Source	
7.3 The Musician Survey	
7.4 Organisational Identity and Image	
7.4.1 Musician responses to notions of identity and image	
7.4.2 Musicians' perception of organisational distinctiveness	
7.4.3 Summary	
7.5 Orchestral Activities	
7.5.1 Perceived Changes in Activities since Separation from the ABC	
7.5.2 Importance of Various Activities as Perceived by the Musicians	
7.5.3 Summary	
7.6 Perceptions of Quality	165
7.6.1 Quality as Perceived by the Musicians	166
7.6.2 Difference in Perceptions between Orchestras	168
7.6.3 Summary	170
7.7 Attitude to Organisational Change	171
7.8 Conclusions	172
Chapter 8	176
Empirical Analysis III - Production and Efficiency Analysis	176
8.1 Introduction.	
8.2 Estimating a Production Function for Symphony Orchestras	
8.2.1 Output	
8.2.2 Inputs	
8.3 Empirical Results of the Production Function	
8.4 Estimating a Demand Function for Symphony Orchestras	
8.4.1 Demand	
8.4.2 Quality	
8.5 Empirical Results of the Demand Function	
8.6 Data Envelopment Analysis	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

8.7 Summary and Conclusions202
Chapter 9
Synthesis and Implications of Findings
9.1 Introduction
9.2 Evaluating the change in structure in light of the empirical analyses205
9.3 Testing of the Hypotheses213
9.4 Further Effects of the Corporatisation of the ABC Orchestras: Trends and
Challenges217
9.4.1 There is a Constant Level of Activity but the Type is Changing217
9.4.2 Financial Aspects – Costs, Revenue and Government Funding are
Increasing218
9.4.3 Overall the Smaller Orchestras are More Susceptible than Larger Ones
219
9.4.4 Creating Sustainable Structures
Chapter 10225
summary and conclusion
10.1 Conclusions
10.2 Further Research
Appendix 1: The Symphony Orchestras in Australia – Time Line229
Appendix 2: The Corporatisation of the ABC Orchestras236
Appendix 3: Scree Plots for Factor Analysis of Musician Survey244
Appendix 4: Organisational Identity Descriptors as Perceived by Musicians:
Full list of criteria describing unique qualities that best describe the orchestra
245
Appendix 5: Operating Profile of Four ABC Orchestras by Year (2000 – 2004)
References 248

•

# **TABLE OF FIGURES**

Figure 4.1 Simple Organisational Models	81	
Figure 5.1: Orchestral Process		
Figure 5.2: Revised Orchestral Process	104	
Figure 5.3 Decision Making Model of a Symphony Orchestra		
Figure 6.1: Annual Attendance and Performance Levels		
Figure 6.2: Average Attendance (number of people) Per Performance 1979 -		
Tigure 0121 TV 01450 Time 1014 Time		
Figure 6.3: Average Attendance (as percentage of population) Per Annum		
Figure 6.4: Total Costs and Revenues in 2005 Dollars		
Figure 6.5: Government Funding and Earned Revenue as a Proportion of Tot		
Income		
Figure 6.6: Average Cost per Paid Attendance (in 2005 Dollars)		
Figure 6.7: Average Cost and Earned Revenue per Paid Attendance (in 2005)		
Dollars)		
Figure 6.8: Revenue Source per Attendance (in 2005 Dollars)	126	
1 iguie 0.6. Revenue bource per Attendance (in 2005 Donais)	120	
TABLES		
Table 4.1: Summary of key predicted observations under different structural options		
Table 6.1A: Aggregate Figures for the ABC Orchestras 1979 - 2002 (adjusted	d to	
constant 2005 prices)	117	
Table 6.1B: Aggregate Figures for the ABC Orchestras 1979 - 2002 (adjusted		
indexes base 1979 = 100)	124	
Table 6.3: Mean Statistics of Organisational Phases of Aggregated Orchestra		
for Selected Variables 1979 – 2002 (adjusted to 2005 Dollars)		
Table 6.4: Results of One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Effects o		
Organisational Phase on Key Indicators (Aggregated Orchestral Data)		
Table 6.5: Australian Works Recorded and Performed for Selected Years		
Table 6.6: Most Common Composers Programmed by 4 ABC Orchestras 200	)0-	
2004	133	
Table 6.7: Total Works and Composers Programmed by 4 ABC Orchestras	134	
Table 6.5: Summary of Changes in Performance Indicators between Phases		
Table 7.1: Descriptive Data of the Four ABC Orchestras Involved in this Res		
Table 7.2: Orchestra Profiles in Survey		
Table 7.3: Descriptive Statistics Breakdown		
Table 7.4: Years in orchestra		
Table 7.5: Comparison of Length of Service v Years as a Professional Music		
	143	
Table 7.6: Means, Standard Deviations and Factor Loadings from Principal	1 10	
Components Analysis with Varimax Rotation	144	
Table 7.7: One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Effects of Orchestra		
Membership on Selected Dependent Variables		
Table 7.8: Multivariate and Univariate Analysis of Variance for Orchestral	,	
Membership Effects on Professional Identity Measures	148	

Table 7.9: Mean Score and Standard Deviation for Professional Identity Meas	
	148
Table 7.10: Multivariate and Univariate Analysis of Variance for Orchestral	
Membership Effects on Organisational Image Measures	149
Table 7.11: Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for Organisational Image	
Measures	149
Table 7.12: Criteria describing unique qualities that best describe your orchest	
Table 7.13: Do you think other musicians would agree with you?	
Table 7.14: Do you think members of management would agree with you?	154
Table 7.15: Means, Standard Deviations and Factor Loadings from Principal	
Components Analysis with Varimax Rotation for Section 5	
Table 7.16: One-way Analysis of Variance for Effects of Orchestral Members	
on Selected Dependent Variables	158
Table 7.17: Multivariate and Univariate Analysis of Variance for Orchestral	1.50
Membership Effects on Organisational Structure Measures	159
Table 7.18: Structure Matrix for Discriminant Analysis of Organisational	1.50
Structure Measures	
Table 7.19 Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for Organisational Structure	
	159
Table 7.20: Multivariate and Univariate Analysis of Variance for Orchestral	1.60
Membership Effects on Artistic Choice Measures	100
Table 7.21: Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for Artistic Choice Measure	
Table 7.22: Descriptive Statistics for Importance of Activity	
Table 7.23: Frequency of Activity Listed as Most Important	
Table 7.24 Frequency Statistics for Responses to Quality Indicators	
Table 7.25: Mean and Standard Deviations for Quality Measures	
Table 7.26: One-way Analysis of Variance for Effects of Orchestral Members	
on Selected Quality Measures	-
Table 7.27: Frequency of Responses to 'In your opinion is the orchestra now	,
better off since it separated from the ABC?' by Orchestra	171
Table 8.1: Economic Models of Cultural Activity	
Table 8.2: Empirical Results of Estimating Symphony Orchestra Production	,
* * * * *	183
Table 8.3: Empirical Results of Estimating Production Incorporating Quality a	
Demand.	
Table 8.4: DEA Results for Orchestra Analysis (Input Oriented VRS)	
Table 8.5: Summary of Peers for Inefficient DMUs	
Table 8.6: Malmquist Index Summary	
Table 8.7: Malmquist Index Summary of Annual Means	
Table 8.8: Malmquist Index Summary of DMU Means	
Table 9.1 Results of analyses in relation to components of theoretical model o	
orchestral activity pre-corporatisation and post-corporatisation	
· · · · · · ·	

# **ABSTRACT**

In 1932 the Australian Broadcasting Commission (ABC) was created by an Act of Federal Parliament, with a charter to provide free-to-air radio broadcasting on a national scale. To provide musical content for their activities the organisation created a number of musical groups, ultimately leading to the creation of a network of six fulltime professional symphony orchestras. Between 1950 and 1996 the orchestras were structured as a government department but between 1997 and 2000 each was corporatised into individual corporate entities.

This study explores the development of the Australian symphony orchestras with particular focus on the changes in organisational structure from the mid 1980s to the present. The fundamental inquiry is to explore how factors such as organisational structure and ownership have impacted on the orchestras' activities and the professional identity of the musicians, as they have made the transition from a government department to separate corporate entities.

The general hypothesis proposed is that change in organisational structure will have a positive effect on the ability of the orchestras to achieve both cultural and economic objectives. This is empirically tested by capturing and analysing relevant data from the activities of the orchestras around the times of these changes and from surveying the views of those most affected by the changes – the musicians employed in the ensembles.

Results show that, in the case of economic objectives such as revenue generation, the process has been moderately successful. The orchestras have also been successful in developing stronger links with their own communities and achieving some levels of artistic success. However in regard to increasing attendances and maintaining high artistic levels, the results are not so clear. In particular, the orchestras of the smaller States have had greater difficulty in maintaining their levels of operation under the new structure.

# STATEMENT FROM CANDIDATE

This thesis is submitted to fulfil the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Economics) at Macquarie University, Australia. This work has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other university or institution. Ethics approval was granted for this research by Macquarie University Ethics Review Committee (Human Ethics) on 7 October 2004, Reference: HE27AUG2004-D03094.

Stephen John Boyle

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost I would like to acknowledge and thank my supervisor,
Professor David Throsby. I am truly indebted to Professor Throsby for his
willingness to supervise and guide me through the process of creating this thesis.
Throughout this time he has shown me great support and patience and generously
shared his knowledge, experience and wisdom without hesitation. I could not have
achieved this without him. I would also like to thank Dr Daehoon Nahm and Mr
Roger Tonkin of Macquarie University for their helpful comments and advice
with the econometrics components of this research.

I must also acknowledge and thank Tina Morganella for her professional editing assistance in the preparation of this thesis. Editorial work related to language usage, completeness and correctness, as defined in the Australian Standards for Editing Practice and inline with Macquarie University guidelines. Thanks Tina, your assistance was invaluable to me.

I would also like to thank the four Heads of School at the University of South Australia for whom I have worked over the last five years, who have encouraged and supported me in my doctoral studies. I would also like to thank Kellie Willason of the University of South Australia for her continued support and encouragement, as always. Thanks also to Dr Gigi Foster and Dr Bianne Hastie of the University of South Australia for their helpful comments, suggestions and practical assistance on the development of this work.

Finally I would like to thank my family and friends for their support during this journey. To Mum, Meredith, Lewis and Kate, I thank you for everything and owe you so much.