# PREDICTION OF RETURN TO PRODUCTIVITY THREE MONTHS FOLLOWING HOSPITALISATION FOR TRAUMA

By

Samantha Meeth

Bachelor of Psychology (Honours)

This thesis is presented as a partial fulfilment to the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Psychology (Clinical Neuropsychology)

Department of Psychology, Faculty of Human Sciences Macquarie University, Sydney Australia

Date of submission: 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2012

### Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to my supervisors, Dr Susanne Meares and Dr Jennifer Batchelor. They have provided guidance and support throughout this project and shared their extensive knowledge and expertise within the mTBI field. I would also like to thank Dr Meares for allowing me to participate in her larger study examining mTBI.

I would like to thank Dr Alan Taylor for his patience and knowledge in all things statistical.

I would also like to express my thanks to my wonderful husband Jay for his continued support, encouragement, and belief in me which has never wavered during my many years of study. I appreciate the sacrifices he has made which have enabled me to achieve this goal. I would also like to thank him for his technological help.I would also like to thank my beautiful daughter Lily for allowing me enough time to complete this project.

I would like to thank all the staff at Westmead Brain Injury Unit for their involvement.

Finally I would like to express my thanks to all the participants involved in this study, for their time and willingness to share their experiences.

## Table of Contents

Abstract	1
Chapter 1: Traumatic Brain Injury and Mild Traumatic Brain Injury	3
Definition	3
Epidemiology	5
Neuropathology of mTBI	7
The centripetal theory of injury severity.	7
The neurometabolic cascade.	8
Sequelae	10
Cognitive issues	10
Acute effects	11
Long-term (>3mths) effects.	12
Uncomplicated versus complicated mTBI severity.	13
Psychological/psychiatric issues.	14
Depression.	15
Anxiety.	15
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD).	16
Postconcussion Syndrome (PCS).	17
Headache and PCS.	19
Pain	20
Chapter 2: Litigation in TBI and mTBI	22
Incidence of Litigation and Compensation-Seeking in TBI	23
Demographic and Injury Variables Related to Litigation and Compensation-Seeking	24

Effect of Litigation and Compensation-Seeking on Return to Work	25
Pain, Litigation and Return to Work	27
Effect of Litigation and Compensation-Seeking on Cognitive Functioning	28
Litigation and compensation-seeking and tests of effort.	28
Litigation and compensation-seeking and neuropsychological tests.	30
Effect of Litigation and Compensation-Seeking on Subjective Report of Symptoms	32
Effect of Litigation and Compensation-Seeking on Emotional State	33
Conclusion	34
Chapter 3: Occupation and mTBI	36
Why Study Occupation?	36
Importance of employment for the non-injured population	36
Additional reasons to study employment after TBI.	37
What is Required for Successful Employment?	40
Chapter 4: Return to Work Following Mild TBI: A Systematic Review	44
Introduction	44
Method	47
Search strategy.	47
Results	52
Pre-injury variables.	62
Peri-injury variables.	64
Post-injury variables.	67
Discussion	70
Chapter 5: Overview, Aims and Hypotheses of the Current Study	73

Aims of the Study	73
Hypotheses	73
Chapter 6: Methods	76
Participants	76
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	77
Selection of the final sample.	80
Demographic and injury-related information of the mTBI sample	84
Demographic and injury-related information of the trauma control sample	86
Occupational status of the sample.	86
Litigation status of the sample.	87
Procedure	87
Measures	88
Outcome variable – return to productivity.	89
Pre-injury productivity.	89
Post-injury productivity	89
Pre-injury and injury measures.	91
Neuropsychological measures.	93
Chapter 7: Results	99
Univariate Data Analysis	99
Demographic and Injury Characteristics of Mild TBI and Trauma Control Groups	s100
Productivity Status Before and After Trauma	102
Effect of PTA on Return to Productivity	104
Unadjusted Bivariable Prediction of Return to Productivity	105

Multivariable Analysis of Return to Productivity	108
Chapter 8: Discussion	113
Changes in Productivity	113
Paid employment	113
Study hours	114
Home duty hours.	114
PTA Duration and Return to Productivity	115
Demographic Variables and Return to Productivity	115
Injury-Related Variables and Return to Productivity	117
Relationship between pain and return to productivity.	117
Length of hospital stay and return to productivity.	119
Neuropsychological Performance and Return to Productivity	120
Litigation and Return to Productivity	121
Understanding Return to Productivity	123
Limitations and Future Directions	123
References	126
APPENDIX A: Level of support for each pre-injury, peri-injury and post-injury variables	
examined	169
APPENDIX B: Study Interview Sheets	176
APPENDIX C: Preliminary Data Analysis	190
APPENDIX D: Unpaired t-test for WSRT List A and List B	192
APPENDIX E: Univariate Description of Sample and Normality Test Output	193

APPENDIX F: Results of the Chi-squared analysis of the relationship between PTA	duration and
return to productivity for mTBI group.	201
APPENDIX G: Macquarie University final ethics approval letter	202

## List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of the Employability Skills Profile (McLaughlin, 1995)4	2
Table 2: Scores for each study regarding methodological quality5	3
Table 3: Variables studied and results of individual studies rated as either "commendable" or	
"acceptable" (n = 12)5	4
Table 4: Variables studied and results of individual studies rated as "marginal" (n = 8)5	9
Table 5: Duration of PTA	5
Table 6: Demographic and injury characteristics of mTBI (n=56) and Control (n=57) groups 10	1
Table 7: Comparison of average employment, study, home duty hours and overall productivity	
hours for mTBI and trauma controls pre-injury and post-injury10	4
Table 8: Bivariate associations of mTBI (n= 56) and trauma controls (n= 57) participants with full	1
return to productivity at 3 months following hospitalisation10	7
Table 9: Final multivariable model with the predictor of full return to productivity (n=55) for	
mTBI and trauma patients at 3 months post-injury11	0

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Schematic Representation of the Process of Article Selection for Inclusion in Review.	.49
Figure 2: Flowchart depicting patients who did and did not meet criteria for current study	.81
Figure 3: Flowchart depicting final participant sample.	.82
Figure 4: ROC curve analysis of the final multivariable model.	111

#### List of Abbreviations

AAMI: Advancement of Automotive Medicine

ABS: Australian Bureau of Statistics

ACRM: American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine

AIS: Abbreviated Injury Scale

ANOVA: Analysis of Variance

BADS: Behavioural Assessment of the Dysexecutive Syndrome

CAVLT: California Auditory Verbal Learning Test

CDC: Centre for Disease Control

COWAT: Controlled Oral Word Association Test

CI: Confidence Interval

CT: Computerised Tomography

DFA: Discriminate Function Analysis

DSM-IV: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition

ED: Emergency Department

FLoPS: Frontal Lobe Personality Survey

fMRI: Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging

FT: Full Time

F/U: Follow up

GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale

HI: Head Injury

ICD 10: International Classification of Diseases, tenth revision

IQ: Intelligence Quotient

ISS: Injury Severity Scale

LOC: Loss of Consciousness

MHI: Mild Head Injury

MMPI-2: Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, second edition

mTBI: Mild Traumatic Brain Injury

MVA: Motor Vehicle Accident

NBRS: Neurobehavioural Rating Scale

NINDS: National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

NS: Non Significant

OR: Odds Ratio

PASAT: Paced Auditory Serial Attention Test

PCS: Post Concussion Syndrome

PTA: Post Traumatic Amnesia

PTSD: Post Traumatic Stress Disorder

Q-Q plot: Quantile-quantile plot

ROC curve: Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve

RTW: Return to Work

SD: Standard Deviation

SES: Socioeconomic Status

TAFE: Technical and Further Education

TBI: Traumatic Brain Injury

TC: Trauma Control

US: United States

WCST: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test

WHO: World Health Organization

WMS-R: Wechsler Memory Scale Revised

WTAR: Wechsler Test of Adult Reading

#### **Abstract**

**Objective**: The aim of the current study was to identify variables that could accurately predict return to full productivity three months post mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI). Return to productivity was defined as a full return to pre-injury employment, home duties and/or study.

Participants and Methods: Participants comprised 56 mTBI patients and 57 trauma controls (TC). Assessments were conducted at a mean of 5 days (SD 2.8) and again at 102 days (SD 14.2) post-injury. Logistic regression analyses were conducted to determine whether pre-injury, injury-related, post-injury and neuropsychological variables (including verbal learning, attention and information processing) were predictive of return to productivity.

Results: At three months post-injury, both groups reported a significant reduction in paid employment hours relative to pre-injury, with the TC group reducing their hours significantly more than the mTBI group (p = .026). Hours spent performing home duties were significantly reduced for both groups, with the TC group again reducing their hours significantly more than the mTBI group (p = .011). Neither group reported a significant reduction in the number of hours devoted to study post-injury. Multivariable analysis revealed that participants who reported higher levels of subjective pain were less likely to have returned to their pre-injury productivity by three months post-injury (OR: .75, 95% CI: .58-.98, p = .034). MTBI patients with a shorter length of hospital stay were more likely to report full productivity (OR: .57, 95% CI: .58-.98, p = .012), whereas for TC there was no significant relationship between length of hospital stay and productivity (OR: 1.69, 95% CI: 1.07-2.68, p = .607). With each unit increase in verbal learning, individuals with mTBI were 1.10 times more likely to report full productivity (95% CI: 1.02-1.19)

whereas for TC there was no significant relationship between verbal learning and return to productivity (OR: 1.01, 95% CI: .98-1.04). Participants involved in litigation or who were seeking compensation were significantly less likely to have returned to their pre-injury productivity levels by three months post-injury (OR: .14, .95% CI: .047-.435, p = .001).

**Conclusion**: Post-injury pain may preclude both mTBI and trauma patients from returning to full productivity. Within an mTBI sample length of hospital stay and verbal learning (as measured prior to discharge) may help predict return to early productivity. Involvement in litigation or compensation-seeking has a strong, negative relationship with return to pre-injury productivity level at three months post-injury.