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## Abstract

The young Sco-Cen association provides a unique astrophysical laboratory for the study of many different stellar properties. In this thesis we present the results of our characterisation of the young OB association Scorpius-Centaurus via four different avenues; the stellar membership of the association, the multiplicity of the high-mass stars, the prevalence of circumstellar disks among Sco-Cen members, and age-dating the association with close binary systems. These are presented in the form of four chapters, two of which are journal publications.

In the first section we present an analysis of the WISE photometric data for 829 B , A and F-type stars in the Sco-Cen association, using the latest high-mass membership probabilities. We detect debris disks associated with 134 Sco-Cen stars, with a clear increase in IR excess fraction with membership probability. We determine that $41 \pm 5 \%$ of Sco-Cen BAF stars have IR excesses, compared to $1 \pm 4 \%$ of field stars, and do not see any change in excess fraction between the Sco-Cen subgroups. Within our sample, we have observed that B-type association members have a significantly smaller excess fraction than A and F-type association members.

In the second section we present a search for new low-mass members of the ScoCen association, focussing on the Upper Scorpius subgroup. We developed a Bayesian kinematic selection method to prioritise candidate members, and spectroscopically confirmed 232 new Upper-Scorpius G to M-type members via their Li absorption with the WiFeS IFU. Among these new members we also identify eight companions in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission using spectro-astrometric techniques, four of which are candidate wide gas-giant
planets. Additionally, we observed the wide gas-giant planet host GSC-6214-0210 to have a significantly reduced $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width of $-0.63 \AA$, compared to the previous observation of $-1.51 \AA$, suggesting that the rate of accretion onto the planetary companion has slowed or stopped.

In the third section we present the first multiplicity-dedicated long baseline optical interferometric survey of Sco-Cen. We have surveyed 58 Sco-Cen B-type stars with the Sydney University Stellar Interferometer and detected 23 companions at separations ranging from $7-130$ mas, 13 of which are new detections. We then apply a Bayesian analysis to all available information in the literature to determine the multiplicity distribution of the 58 stars in our sample, showing that the companion frequency is $F=1.35_{-0.20}^{+0.27}$ and the mass ratio distribution is best described by $q^{\gamma}$ with $\gamma=-0.46$, agreeing with previous Sco-Cen high-mass star work and differing significantly from lower-mass stars in Tau-Aur. Based on our analysis, we estimate that among young B-type stars in moving groups, up to $27 \%$ are apparently single.

In the final section we present the results of a Keck NIRC2 aperture-masking program of 7 G to M-type members of the Upper Scorpius subgroup of the Sco-Cen OB association. We present orbital solutions for the binary systems we have monitored, and also determine the age, component masses, distance and reddening for each system using the orbital solutions and multi-band photometry using a Bayesian fitting procedure. We find that the age of the Upper Scorpius subgroup is $7 \pm 2 \mathrm{Myr}$, with some members as old as $\sim 10 \mathrm{Myr}$. This is younger than the previous estimate of Pecaut et al. 2012, but supports the hypothesis that there is an age distribution among stars kinematically consistent with Upper-Scorpius membership stretching from $<5 \mathrm{Myr}$ up to $\sim 10 \mathrm{Myr}$. We propose that the current evidence for the age of Upper-Scorpius is consistent with the existence of two populations of stars in this part of Sco-Cen; one of $\sim 15 \mathrm{Myr}$, which formed with the rest of greater Sco-Cen, and a younger population of age $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$, including the clearly young stars $\tau$-Sco and $\omega$-Sco, which formed through supernova triggered star formation from a separate molecular cloud.
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## Introduction and Background

Young stellar associations provide a glimpse into the state of a group of stars directly after formation, and are a unique "age-calibrated" sample of stars used as astrophysical laboratories. This makes young stellar associations prime locations for studying stellar properties such as the multiplicity output of star formation, and circumstellar disk evolution, as well as searching for exoplanets. In order for young associations to provide the key astrophysical statistics to required to make real distinctions between models in these areas, detailed characterisation of the membership, multiplicity and group stellar properties, including age, substructure and motion is required.

### 1.1 The Scorpius-Centaurus-Lupus-Crux Association

O and B-type stars are hot, massive stars, generally larger than two solar masses, which can form in a number or ways. One such way is in a gravitationally unbound, loosely organised group, which is termed an OB association. The Scorpius-Centaurus-LupusCrux association (Sco-Cen, Sco OB2) is the nearest OB association to the Sun, and features many of the familiar stars of the Australian southern winter sky. Sco-Cen is extraordinarily young, with star formation occurring only 5-20 Myr ago (de Geus, 1992), young compared to, for example, our Sun at 4600 Myr . The young age and proximity of Sco-Cen makes it a useful probe into the conditions of a group of stars just after formation. For this reason, Sco-Cen is considered one of the best testing grounds for new star formation models which, among other things, predict proportions of single, double and triple stars and the angular momentum loss mechanism for single, massive stars. However, in order for Sco-Cen to be a useful test sample, both its membership and multiplicity must be well characterised.

Sco-Cen was first recognised as a moving group by Kapteyn (1914) during an investigation of the parallaxes of 319 bright OB stars in the region of sky occupied by Sco-Cen. Following this, other kinematic studies confirmed that Sco-Cen is indeed a moving group (Plaskett (1928), Blaauw (1946), Bertiau (1958), Petrie (1962), Jones (1971), de Zeeuw et al. (1999)). Since its discovery, Sco-Cen has been classically divided into three distinct sub-groups (see Figure 1.1): Upper-Scorpius (US), Upper-Centaurus-Lupus (UCL), and Lower-Centaurus-Crux (LCC) (Blaauw, 1946), with mean parallaxes of 6.9, 7.1 and 8.5 milli-arcseconds, respectively, or distances of 145,143 and 118 pc (de Zeeuw et al., 1999). UCL and LCC have little interstellar material associated with them, whereas filamentary material can be observed towards US which is connected to the Ophiuchus cloud complex, a region of ongoing star formation (de Geus, 1992). Photometry has demonstrated that the Ophiuchus cloud complex is on the near side of US at approximately 125 pc , and isochrone fitting gives ages for the sub-groups as 5 Myr for US, 13 Myr for UCL and 10 Myr for LCC (de Geus
et al., 1989). Within the moving group, there is a common velocity among members inherent to the original forming cloud (Jones, 1971), however there is also a velocity dispersion around this common motion. A study of the spatial distributions of young stars by Kraus and Hillenbrand (2008) estimates the internal velocity dispersion for Upper-Scorpius to be $\sim 3.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and it is expected that the corresponding value for the older subgroups is larger (Larson, 1995).

UCL and LCC have received significantly less attention than US over the last half century. This is primarily due to their relative lack of concentration on the sky and the closer overlap with the Galactic plane, which makes separation of members and field stars more difficult. Moreover, large portions of UCL and LCC are not observable from the northern hemisphere, where many early investigations were conducted. Additionally, early investigation into pre-main sequence stars focused on dark and reflection nebulae, which are less frequent in UCL and LCC (Preibisch and Mamajek, 2008). Only in the last two decades have true high quality measurements of star motions on the sky become available, which have allowed separation of UCL and LCC members from the field (de Zeeuw et al., 1999, Rizzuto et al., 2011) ${ }^{1}$.

The latest high-mass membership study of Sco-Cen was done by Rizzuto et al. (2011), who used modern Bayesian techniques, with proper motions, radial velocities and spatial positions, to provide the most complete membership of the association for stars bluer than $B-V<0.6$. This latest membership selects 531 members with probability of membership greater than $50 \%$, and demonstrated that the velocity structure of Sco-Cen can in fact be modelled as roughly linear in Galactic longitude, rather than with discrete values for each subgroup. The Rizzuto et al. (2011) membership study builds on the previous membership by de Zeeuw et al. (1999), rejecting many members from the latter and including a number of important bright, blue stars such as $\alpha$-Cru and $\beta$-Cru in the membership which had previously been considered separated from Sco-Cen despite their apparent position and clear young age. The latest high-mass membership for Sco-Cen is shown in Figure 1.1.

At this stage, the high mass (B, A and F-type) membership of Sco-Cen, which is

[^0]

Figure 1.1: The latest (Rizzuto et al., 2011) high-mass membership of the Sco-Cen OB association. The lines indicate proper motion vectors. Higher membership probability is indicated by darker blue symbols, with $50 \%$ being the lightest symbol plotted and $100 \%$ the darkest. Members in common with the older de Zeeuw et al. (1999) membership are shown as diamonds while new members are plotted as triangles.
certainly still contaminated by interlopers due to poor radial velocities, is significantly more complete than the late-type membership, though some G to M-type members, particularly in Upper-Scorpius, have been identified and used extensively in studies of various stellar properties. Initial Mass Function (IMF) extrapolation from the highmass membership, using any reasonable IMF law, produces an estimate of $\sim 10^{4}$ unidentified Sco-Cen G, K and M-type members, making the G to M-type spectral range the new frontier in Sco-Cen membership.

### 1.1.1 Upper Scorpius

Upper-Scorpius is the most heavily studied sub-group of Sco-Cen, due to its clear concentration and large separation from the Galactic plane ( $b=20$ degrees), making it the easiest subgroup to observe. The age of this subgroup has recently been subject to some interesting debate; the classical age of the subgroup ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) determined from the average position of the main sequence turn-off of the most massive stars in the association has recently been revised to $\sim 11 \mathrm{Myr}$ (Pecaut et al., 2012). This new age uses the PMS turn-on in the F-type stars region as well as a revised main-sequence turn-off age to approximate the age of the subgroup. The presence of unresolved multiplicity in the stars used to produce the age, combined with uncertain distances and photometric errors all contribute to the difficulty of age estimation with photometry alone. A much more reliable method is via the determination of the dynamical mass of a binary system known to be a member of a stellar population, which adds a third dimension with which to determine an age.

Despite the potentially very young age ( $5-11 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) and close proximity to the $\rho$ Ophiuchi molecular cloud, there are no indications of ongoing star formation in US. The majority of US members are concentrated in a small region of sky closely centered around $l=352^{\circ}$ and $b=20^{\circ}$, which is approximately $15^{\circ}$ in extent. The distance to US was determined using photometry to be $160 \pm 40 \mathrm{pc}$ (de Geus et al., 1989) and this is in agreement with the mean Hipparcos distance of the Rizzuto et al. (2011) and de Zeeuw et al. (1999) members, which is $145 \pm 2 \mathrm{pc}$. However, the errors on the parallaxes provided by Hipparcos are simply too large to resolve internal structure. The only conclusion drawn from the Hipparcos parallaxes is that the line of sight depth of US cannot be much greater than 70 pc (de Zeeuw et al., 1999).

A number of studies have attempted to reveal the low-mass population of US, though primarily they have been focused on small subsections of the subgroup and do not present a complete picture of the membership. An example of such work is Meyer et al. (1993), which identified 4 young objects from IRAS sources in a 80 by 80 arcsecond field near the known Upper Scorpius member $\sigma$-Sco. Pointed ROSAT X-ray studies (Martin et al., 1998, Sciortino et al., 1998) found several PMS candidates and
spectroscopically confirmed PMS stars in the US and $\rho$-Ophiuchi star forming region.
Systematic, wide field searches for low-mass US members began with Walter et al. (1994). The authors obtained photometry and spectroscopy for the optical counterparts of detected X-ray sources. This resulted in the classification of 28 low-mass PMS stars. These new PMS stars, when placed on the HR-diagram, showed a extraordinarily small age dispersion, which was taken as an indication that the formation of these PMS stars was triggered by some external event (as is the currently accepted star formation scenario for the high-mass US stars (Preibisch and Mamajek, 2008)). Another X-Ray based study (Preibisch et al., 1998) identified 32 ROSAT All Sky Survey (RASS) optical counterparts in US which can be classified as low-mass members of the subgroup, this survey was contained to a region which intentionally excluded the $\rho$-Oph region. Ardila et al. (2000) presented a deep search for sub-solar mass US members. They photometrically surveyed a 14 square degree area and identified $~ 100$ possible members. When combined with low-resolution spectroscopy for a subset of these candidates, 20 stars were classified as being likely association members due to their strong $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission. Eleven of these candidates were later confirmed with high-resolution follow-up spectroscopy (Mohanty et al., 2004b,a), which revealed five objects with masses $<0.1 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Martín et al. (2004) presented low-resolution spectra of some further candidates and identified 28 likely US members ranging as late as spectral type M9. In more recent years, Argiroffi et al. (2006) used XMM-Newton X-Ray observations and identified 22 stars as photometric member candidates, 13 of which were previously unassociated with US. Wide-field optical and near-infrared photometric survey work by Slesnick et al. (2006) led to the spectroscopic selection of 43 new low-mass members with masses less than $0.2 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Finally, Lodieu et al. (2007) used the UKIDSS Early Data Release and HR diagram fitting to identify $\sim 12$ new lowmass US candidates in a $9.3 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ region. This was continued using UKIDSS Galactic Cluster Survey data (Lodieu et al., 2007) revealing 129 photometric and proper motion members with estimated masses in the $0.3-0.007 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ range.

One very important search for PMS Sco-Cen members was done by Preibisch


Figure 1.2: Triggered star formation for Upper-Scorpius and the nearby star forming region $\rho$-Oph (Preibisch and Mamajek, 2008). The current paradigm for the Upper Scorpius star formation history revolves around the idea that the Upper Scorpius subgroup underwent triggered star formation, caused by a passing supernova shock originating in UCL. A subsequent supernova from Upper Scorpius is then thought to have triggered star formation in the $\rho$-Oph clouds. While this picture way be generally correct, there are outstanding issues including the possible superposition of Upper Scorpius and UCL stars and the details of the preceding star formation in greater Sco-Cen.
et al. (2002) using the 2 dF (two degree field) multi-object spectrograph on the AngloAustralian Telescope (AAT). This survey revealed 166 PMS, low-mass members, most of which are likely to be M-type dwarfs with unusually low surface gravities due to their young age. Preibisch et al. (2002) found the low mass members to be spatially
consistent with the high-mass members, with ages corresponding to $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$, agreeing with the original high-mass star age (Preibisch and Mamajek, 2008). This suggests that the low-mass members do not have an origin involving ejection from high mass multiples. Furthermore, there is no evidence for any significant age dispersion among the current US members, implying that these stars formed almost simultaneously. It has been suggested that star formation in Sco-Cen was triggered by the impact of the inner spiral arm shockwave with a large molecular cloud (Fernández et al., 2008), while the star formation process in US has thus been considered to be triggered process driven by shocks from older part of Sco Cen (Preibisch and Mamajek, 2008, Vanhala and Cameron, 1998). The triggering effect has been hypothesised to be the shockwave created by several supernova explosions in UCL which occurred approximately 12 Myr ago, compressing molecular cloud material to the critical density required for collapse. Figure 1.2 provides a graphical overview of the proposed mechanism. Observations of the kinematics of the large HI loops surrounding Sco-Cen suggest that the shockwaves passed US approximately 5 Myr ago, triggering star formation and subsequent dispersal of the cloud material. This triggered star formation is also thought to have created the young groups $\eta$-Cha, TW-Hydra, and CrA, which all show space motions indicative of an origin near UCL 12 Myr years ago (Mamajek and Feigelson, 2001b). A further sequence of Upper-Scorpius supernovae is then thought to have triggered star formation in the $\rho$-Oph star forming region and the Lupus clouds. The approximate time of crossing of the UCL supernovae shockwaves agrees with the youngest possible stellar age of Upper-Scorpius (de Geus, 1992), but shows a distinct difference to the newest age based on modern isochrones and photometry of B and F -type members (Pecaut et al., 2012).

### 1.1.2 Upper-Centaurus-Lupus and Lower-Centaurus-Crux

UCL is a much larger subgroup, both in number and extent on the sky, than its more northern sibling US, and it shows a greater level of diffusion of its members on the sky. UCL is the oldest subgroup in the association with an age of $\sim 18 \mathrm{Myr}$ and is spread across the large region of sky $\left(312^{\circ}<l<342^{\circ}\right)$. The selected members have
a mean distance of $140 \pm 2 \mathrm{pc}$, with an important feature of UCL being the clumping seen within the subgroup around $(l, b)=(338,15),(334,16)$ and $(338,10)$ degrees. Furthermore, there is a clear area completely absent of high-mass members between longitudes $\left(152^{\circ}<l<330^{\circ}\right)$ and latitudes $\left(0^{\circ}<b<10^{\circ}\right)$. This non-uniform distribution of members may suggest UCL has substructure, although no further elaboration has been made regarding this feature.

As mentioned above, UCL has been recognised as a potential site for the triggering of star formation in the younger subgroup US; de Geus (1992) calculated that the number of supernova explosions that have taken place in UCL is $6 \pm 3$, and demonstrated that energy imparted by the supernovae is consistent with the kinematics of the 100 pc expanding HI loops centered on UCL. This result was in agreement with the suggestion that massive UCL members destroyed the original Sco-Cen molecular cloud complex and dispersed the remaining gas not involved in star formation into what are now observed to be the large HI loops around Sco-Cen (Weaver, 1979).

The low-mass star population has only been the primary target of a single survey, by Mamajek et al. (2002), which identified 56 UCL (1.1-1.4 solar mass of GK-type) members by cross referencing proper-motion selected stars from Hoogerwerf (2000) with ROSAT X-ray sources from the All-Sky-Survey. The stars from this survey were classified as pre-main sequence by the strength of their Li lines. Mamajek et al. (2002) also measured the spectra of 18 GK-type stars selected from the Hipparcos catalogue by de Zeeuw et al. (1999), and confirmed 12 of these 18 as UCL members. There are likely to be $\sim 2000$ sub-solar mass stars still to be found in UCL (Mamajek et al., 2002), regardless of the choice of IMF used to make the prediction.

LCC is the nearest Sco-Cen subgroup to the Sun, with members showing a mean distance of $118 \pm 2 \mathrm{pc}$, which is significantly closer than UCL and US. LCC has an estimated age of $\sim 17 \mathrm{Myr}$ (Carpenter et al., 2009), which places it at approximately the same age as UCL. This closest subgroup is located almost entirely on the Galactic Plane, resulting in a more difficult velocity distinction from field stars. As with UCL, there is a non-uniformity in member distribution, suggesting an as yet unresolved substructure is present in the subgroup. The latest high-mass membership (Rizzuto
et al., 2011) included the previously rejected stars $\beta$-Cru and HIP 59449, both of which are thought to be binaries with $\geq 10$ yr periods, and so Hipparcos proper motions may not be representative of the true photocentre motion. Finally, the open cluster IC 2602, which can be seen at $(l, b)(290,-5)$, has been suggested as belonging to LCC (Blaauw, 1964); however, the combined search method of de Zeeuw et al. (1999) has identified IC 2602 as an entirely separate group, agreeing with the analysis of Rizzuto et al. (2011), which finds very low membership probability for IC 2602 members.

The star formation history of these two older Sco-Cen subgroups is considerably more complex than the supernovae-triggered mechanism proposed for Upper-Scorpius. Both subgroups appear to be of similar age $(15-18) \mathrm{Myr}$, which agrees with the orbital age of the double lined spectroscopic binary $\beta$-Cen (Ausseloos et al., 2006), with possible substructure visible in the high-mass membership of the subgroups (Rizzuto et al., 2011, de Zeeuw et al., 1999). A potential formation scenario involves sequential star formation triggering through expanding HII regions surrounding O and B-type stars (Elmegreen and Lada, 1977). A more complete membership of these subgroups, extending down into the M-type regime, will further illuminate the star formation history of the bulk of Sco-Cen.

### 1.1.3 Low-Mass Stars in UCL and LCC

The majority of searches for low-mass and PMS stars in the older two subgroups of Sco-Cen were often conducted together and hence, for brevity and to reduce repetition I describe the state of knowledge in these two subgroups in one section.

As was historically the case for the search for high-mass members of the association population, UCL and LCC have received significantly less attention that US in the low-mass regime. There is some justification for this; the daunting difference in scope when moving from the relatively compact US to the great expanse of UCL and LCC on the sky requires a larger survey effort to produce results of similar significance to the age and star formation history when compared to US. In addition, there is definite substructure within the older two subgroups, with no definite "centre" at which to begin a series of observations.

Prior to the commencement of ROSAT pointed observations and the ROSAT All-Sky-Survey (RASS) there were very few known G-type and later members of UCL, and no known late-type members of LCC. The first UCL late-type member was HD 113703B (Catchpole, 1971), followed by HD 12979B and HD 143939B (Lindroos, 1986, Huélamo et al., 2000).

The Lupus dark clouds, a region of ongoing star formation and the target of many ROSAT PMS surveys, are located within the same region of sky as the UCL subgroup near $(l, b)=(335,12)$. This has led to the indirect identification of a number of UCL candidate PMS members. Krautter et al. (1997) conducted a wide field survey for Xray luminous stars in a 230 square-degree section of sky around the Lupus dark clouds, resulting in the identification of 136 candidate T-Tauri stars. 89 of these objects were found scattered around a wide region with RASS. These "off-cloud" PMS objects were found to be significantly older than the age of the Lupus cloud members ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{Myr}$ vs. $\sim 2 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) (Wichmann et al., 1997a). A spectroscopic follow-up of a number of these objects identified 48 Li-rich stars, spatially consistent with UCL on the sky (Wichmann et al., 1997b). It was surmised that these objects were either ejected from the Lupus dark clouds, or part of the Gould Belt, with ages $<\sim 60 \mathrm{Myr}$. It is important to note that the Gould Belt, in this region of sky, is essentially defined by the high-mass members of the subgroups of Sco-Cen and the gas associated with the Lupus and Rho-Ophiuci clouds. The vast majority of the Krautter et al. (1997) stars are spatially consistent with $\lambda$-Lup and $\phi^{2}$-Lup, two B-type UCL members, and substructure within the highmass population of UCL, and hence it is commonly believed that these stars are likely to be UCL members based on a simple comparison of UCAC2 proper motions and the expected association velocity (some have been confirmed, while others have not). The Wichmann et al. (1997b) stars display a large range of inferred cluster parallaxes (90 pc to 200 pc ) which is consistent with the high-mass population distance measurements from Hipparcos (Rizzuto et al., 2011).

Park and Finley (1996) identified 6 X-ray variable sources near $\beta$-Crucis in a ROSAT pointing. Based on X-ray to optical flux ratios and spectral fits, it was hypothesised that these objects were T Tauri stars. Low resolution spectroscopy confirmed that
these stars were in fact Li-rich, and were thus the first identified late-type stars in the LCC subgroup of Sco-Cen (Feigelson and Lawson, 1997). Following this, Zuckerman et al. (2001), in an attempt to spectroscopically identify new members of the TW Hya association (which shares a region of the sky with LCC), found a population of 8 new T Tauri stars with optical and infrared fluxes inconsistent with the TW Hya association. Mamajek and Feigelson (2001a), Mamajek (2005) surmised after a kinematic investigation that these objects are very likely to be PMS LCC members.

The first wide-field spectroscopic survey with the explicit purpose of identifying new UCL and LCC PMS members was conducted by Mamajek et al. (2002). They selected candidates by cross referencing proper motions with X-ray sources from the RASS Bright Star Catalog, as well as 18 late-type candidates from the de Zeeuw et al. (1999) Hipparcos membership. Using the spectroscopic absorption features Li $6708 \AA$ to assign youth and $\mathrm{Sr} 4077 \AA$ to separate giants and subgiants, they identified 88 G and K-type PMS members of UCL and LCC. Mamajek et al. (2002) used these new members to produce the age estimates of $\sim 16$ and $\sim 17 \mathrm{Myr}$ for UCL and LCC respectively.

### 1.1.4 Binary Systems in Sco-Cen

The resulting multiplicity properties of star formation are an interesting tool for probing the star formation process, and are uniquely explorable in large, unbound, nearby associations such as Sco-Cen, and can provide valuable insight for our understanding of star formation mechanisms (Blaauw, 1991). For more than a decade it has been widely accepted that at least half of all stars form in binary pairs (Mathieu, 1994, Raghavan et al., 2010), though they are still a relatively poorly understood mode of star formation. One particular unknown aspect is the role of multiplicity in the redistribution of angular momentum during star formation (Larson, 2010). Observations have also revealed that $70-90 \%$ of stars form in clusters (Lada and Lada, 2003). This all points to the importance of a complete understanding of the star formation mechanisms, including multiplicity properties, of stars in young associations.

To test model predictions and to inspire further work into star formation, a detailed
knowledge of the multiplicity of a primordial stellar population would be the ideal. This would be a population of stars whose formation processes have finished and which have stopped accreting gas from their surroundings, but before dynamical interactions have altered the multiplicity distribution in any significant way. Young stellar OB associations, such as Sco-Cen, are the closest objects to these conditions and provide a large sample of young, newly formed stars for multiplicity studies.

A complete picture of the multiplicity properties of the Sco-Cen association is not currently well known, though there are a number of studies which reveal the multiplicity of certain mass-ratio and separation regimes for different parts of the association. Simulation of selection effects in observations of moving-groups has produced an estimate for the binary population of Sco-Cen which is approximately 70 percent for late B and A-type stars (Kouwenhoven et al., 2007).

In our first paper concerning the Sco-Cen OB association (Rizzuto et al., 2011), we produced an improved high-mass membership which included 436 stars bluer than $B-V=0.6$. This is a large sample of stars which are as young as 5 Myrs to survey for multiplicity information. The past decade has shown significant progress being made in characterising the binary population of the Sco-Cen association. A survey of 199 A and late B-type stars in Sco-Cen was done by Kouwenhoven et al. (2005) using the ESO 3.6 meter telescope at La Silla, Chile with the ADONIS/SHARPII+ instrument. They detected 74 candidate physical companions around primaries fainter than $V \sim 6$ magnitudes and with angular separations of 0.22 " to 12.4 ". Of these, 41 were previously unseen. Another study by Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002) examined 115 B-type stars in the Sco-Cen association for visual companions using the ADONIS nearinfrared coronograph, revealing 37 physical companions to Sco-Cen stars at separations of $50-900 \mathrm{AU}, 10$ of which were new detections, and provided a mass ratio distribution estimate of $q^{-0.5}$. A more recent study, which compiled observational data of Sco-Cen binary systems in a wide mass range covering both spectroscopic and wide imaging, has produced a binary fraction estimate of $>70 \%$, and a mass ratio distribution of $q^{-0.4}$ by simulating selection effects in moving group observations (Kouwenhoven et al., 2007).

There is some work still being done to identify companions to Sco-Cen stars in the spectroscopic regime; Jilinski et al. (2006) identified three new B-type Sco-Cen spectroscopic binaries among 56 targets using the FEROS echelle spectrograph. Most B-type Sco-Cen members have historically been observed spectroscopically with the intention of identifying companions. The study of Levato et al. (1987) contains an excellent summary of many of the known spectroscopic binaries among the highest mass objects in Sco-Cen. For intermediate mass spectroscopic binaries, Kouwenhoven et al. (2007) contains a full list of observational references regarding detected companions.

The general result that Sco-Cen intermediate and high-mass members have a large multiplicity fraction is indeed interesting, and considering that solar mass stars do not share this property ( $\sim 50 \%$ multiplicity), has important implications for stars formation in the high-mass regime which have not yet been fully elucidated. Improved knowledge of the primordial multiplicity distribution of stars in all mass ranges will be crucial to our understanding star formation history in young associations, and in constraining and guiding future star-formation models.

Between the imaging and spectroscopic companion separation regimes, there is a relatively understudied range of separations, approximately 7-100 mas which has been neglected due to the relatively small number of instruments capable of making such observations. For the Sco-Cen association, this corresponds to separations of 1-10 AU, an important range in constraining the multiplicity distribution of the association. Interferometry is ideal for conducting survey work in this key range, and the Sydney University Stellar Interferometer (SUSI) is placed ideally to carry out a survey of Btype stars with the goal of identifying new companions to Sco-Cen stars.

### 1.1.5 Interferometry

Interferometry makes use of the principle of superposition to recombine light from the same source in a way which will provide additional information about the source. A basic interferometer requires two apertures (such as telescopes or mirrors), a variable path length of delay line, and a beam combination system, which combines the light from the two apertures (see Figure 1.3). Young's double slit experiment is a simple
example of a basic interferometer.


Figure 1.3: A simple interferometer

An interferometer measures finite components of the spatial frequency spectrum of a source brightness distribution on the sky and the coherence of the light at the given spatial frequency. The observable is the squared fringe visibility $\left(V^{2}\right)$, which is a measure of the contrast of an interference fringe. In the most simple form, the squared fringe visibility can be defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2}=\left(\frac{I_{\max }-I_{\min }}{I_{\max }+I_{\min }}\right)^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{\max }$ and $I_{\min }$ are the maximum and minimum intensities of an interference pattern (Michelson, 1891). From Equation (1.1), it is clear that the fringe visibility is a dimensionless quantity which can take values between zero and one, with totally coherent light producing a visibility of one and incoherent light zero. Figure 1.4 gives a clear example of this. More importantly, the fringe visibility seen by the interferometer depends on the distance between the apertures (called the baseline), and the wavelength
of observation. This is expressed in the van Cittert-Zernike theorem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\vec{B})=\frac{\int I(\vec{\alpha}) e^{-i k \vec{B} \cdot \vec{\alpha}} d \vec{\alpha}}{\int I(\vec{\alpha}) d \vec{\alpha}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I(\vec{\alpha})$ is the intensity distribution of a source on the sky, $\vec{\alpha}$ is a two dimensional sky coordinate, k is $\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda}$ (wavenumber) and $\vec{B}$ is the baseline vector. Q is the complex visibility, and is related to the measured fringe visibility by $V=|Q| S$ where $S$ is the system visibility measured using a calibrator star (Lawson, 2000). What the van Cittert-Zernike theorem means is that the complex visibility is in fact the Fourier transform of the image source.

For application to the work in this thesis, namely, detecting binarity in members of the Sco-Cen association, it is important to know the expected squared visibility from two close sources. This can be calculated from the sum of two uniform disk sources with a separation between them (Hanbury Brown et al., 1967):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {binary }}^{2}=\frac{V_{1}^{2}+r^{2} V_{2}^{2}+2 r\left|V_{1}\right|\left|V_{2}\right| \cos \left(k \vec{B} \cdot s_{\text {binary }}\right)}{(1+r)^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are the visibilities of the two component stars of the binary system, $s_{\text {binary }}$ is the separation of the binaries on the sky (for example, 15 milliarc-seconds for SUSI targets), and $r$ is the brightness ratio of the two stars. To illustrate how Equation (1.3) is useful to an astronomer looking for binarity, it is helpful to take the example of two point sources of equal brightness. In this case $r=V_{1}=V_{2}=1$, which reduces Equation (1.3) to a sinusoidal oscillation of visibility against wavelength, with period dependent on the separation of the two point sources on the sky (see Figure 1.4). Hence, if the squared visibility of a source is measured over a range of wavelengths, and the source is resolved, then binarity can be detected and characterised based on the oscillations around the single-source curve.


Figure 1.4: The wavelength behaviour of the squared visibility of two equally bright point sources at two separations and brightness ratios as seen by a two element interferometer of baseline 30 m . The black curve is for two point sources with angular separation of 30 mas and brightness ratio 1:5, while the blue curve is for angular separation 10 mas and contrast of $1: 2$. The presence of oscillations differentiates between the presence of one point source and two point sources: In this picture, a single point source would display squared visibility of one for all wavelengths.

### 1.2 Youth Indicators for Low-Mass Stars

There are a number of useful youth diagnostics or indicators that have been employed in identifying members of young associations such as Sco-Cen. A number of these have been mentioned above, and we will now expand on some of these methods with a focus on identification of G, K and M-type members of Sco-Cen. As such, a discussion of kinematic based selection, for which astrometry of sufficient accuracy for definitive membership selection is only available for B, A and F-type stars, will be best left to the works of de Zeeuw et al. (1999) and more recently Rizzuto et al. (2011), which both provide the starting point for the kinematic work described below in Chapter 3.1. The most widely and definitively used indicator of youth for late-type stars is the presence of lithium absorption features, often in conjunction with chromospheric and accretion produced $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission in the stellar spectrum. In addition to lithium, X-ray emission and chromospheric activity are often used as indicators of youth, although only Xray emission has been used to identify PMS Sco-Cen members thus far. Figure 1.5
diagrammatically displays the spectral-type and age ranges over which these different techniques can be used.


Figure 1.5: The spectral-type and age ranges over which the commonly used age indicators for identification of young stars in associations like Sco-Cen function. For the most massive stars, their existence alone provides an upper age limit. For F to late K-type stars, X-ray emission and the Ca HK doublet provide youth information, while Lithium presence provide the most robust youth diagnostic for stars later than G-type. Note the gap in age indicating techniques in the A to early F-type star range.

### 1.2.1 X-Ray Emission

It is well documented that stellar rotation based emission, including X-ray emission, decays with age (Henry et al., 1996), according to empirical rotation-age-activity relations that are underpinned by stellar magnetic dynamo theory. X-ray emission is often used as a tracer for stellar surface magnetic fields, and for any given late spectral-type, a large proportion of pre-main sequence stars emit X-rays at luminosities $\sim 2-3$ orders of magnitude greater than stars on the main sequence, making this property a useful
youth indicator (Montmerle et al., 1983, Walter et al., 1988, Wichmann et al., 1997a, Neuhaeuser et al., 1997)

Typically, PMS stars in the age range of $\sim 1-100 \mathrm{Myr}$ show a slow decline in fractional X-ray luminosity (Preibisch and Feigelson, 2005), meaning that X-ray activity is a broad-stroke indicator for youth, and cannot be used to distinguish Upper-Scorpius ( $5-10 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) members from older $\sim 20 \mathrm{Myr}$ members of UCL or LCC, or even significantly younger members of $\rho$-Ophiucus ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{Myr}$ ).

In Sco-Cen, other than a small number of EINSTEIN pointed fields (Walter et al., 1994) the majority of X-ray candidate member selection was done using the ROSAT All Sky (RASS) data, and as mentioned above, the majority of this work was focussed on Upper-Scorpius. In the Upper-Scorpius region, the RASS count rate detection limit is approximately 0.02 counts $/ \mathrm{sec}$, which corresponds to an X-ray luminosity of $\sim 10^{30} \mathrm{ergs} / \mathrm{sec}$ at the typical distance of Upper-Scorpius stars ( $>100 \mathrm{pc}$ ), and for a typical plasma temperature for a PMS star (Preibisch et al., 1998). Preibisch et al. (1998) estimate that only $\sim 30 \%$ of Upper-Scorpius PMS stars would be detectable at this limit, and indeed, pointed, deep ROSAT observation of other regions reveal further X-ray sources with smaller X-ray luminosity (Preibisch, 1997).

What is clear is that the detection of an X-ray counterpart to a potential PMS star is an indicator of youth that often requires spectroscopic follow-up, because activity and rotation indicators such as X-ray emission or chromospheric Ca HK emission are not clear diagnostics of youth; some $\sim 50-100 \mathrm{Myr}$ young stars, such as the Pleiades members, are indistinguishable from $5-20 \mathrm{Myr}$ Sco-Cen members in terms of X-ray luminosity or chromospheric activity (Mamajek and Hillenbrand, 2008). Given the evidence that the Sco-Cen subgroups have undergone mixing over several degrees on the sky (Rizzuto et al., 2011), caution must be applied in using X-ray selected (or for that matter, Li selected) samples of Upper-Scorpius members to determine bulk physical properties of the subgroup.

Currently, the available X-ray data for Upper-Scorpius and most of Sco-Cen are published (Preibisch et al., 1998, Mamajek et al., 2002), and the collection of further X-ray data is significantly less promising than collecting spectra of vast numbers of
candidate Sco-Cen members.

### 1.2.2 The Calcium HK Doublet

The Calcium II H and K lines ( 3968.5 and $3933.7 \AA$ ) have been used extensively as a chromospheric emission indicator for quite some time (Vaughan et al., 1978b), and has been used historically, in the form of the $R_{H K}^{\prime}$ index, as an age estimator for field stars of approximately solar mass. The stellar magnetic dynamo is the generating source of chromospheric emission, the strength of which observationally scales with stellar rotational velocity (Noyes et al., 1984). Stellar rotation and chromospheric emission are both empirically constrained to decay as a star ages (Wilson, 1963, Skumanich, 1972, Soderblom et al., 1991, Henry et al., 1996). The primary Ca II observable is the Mount Wilson index $S_{M W}$, which is measured as the ratio of Ca HK core emission to continuum emission around the Ca II spectral region. This system was defined at Mount Wilson by Vaughan et al. (1978a) and has since been the standard system with which Ca HK emission is measured, and is a measurement of the Ca HK emission to total luminosity ratio. The $S_{M W}$ index is calculated as the band ratio measurement of two triangular bandpasses centred on the Ca HK line cores (traditionally with FWHM of $1.09 \AA$, though in practical use this width should be no smaller than the resolution in a given spectrum) and two $25 \AA$ wide red and violet continuum bands centred on $4000 \AA$ and $3900 \AA$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{M W}=\frac{H+K}{R+V} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S_{M W}$ index is strongly dependent on stellar temperature or spectral type and thus in itself is not a valid age indicator without further information (Noyes et al., 1984). Several studies have determined empirical conversions between $S_{M W}$ and the "chromospheric activity index" $R_{H K}$, all of which are based on a $B-V$ colour (Middelkoop, 1982);

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{H K}=1.340 \times 10^{-4} C_{c f}(B-V) S_{M W}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numerical factor absorbs both the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and a
normalisation factor. The Middelkoop (1982) conversion factor, $C_{c f}(B-V)$, is given by;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log C_{c f}=1.13(B-V)^{3}-3.91(B-V)^{2}+2.84(B-V)-0.47 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noyes et al. (1984) produced a correction to this conversion factor $\left(C_{c f}^{\prime}\right)$ by setting $\log C_{c f}^{\prime}=\log c_{c f}+\Delta \log C$ where;

$$
\Delta \log C= \begin{cases}0 & B-V>0.63  \tag{1.7}\\ 0.135 x-0.814 x^{2}+6.03 x^{3} & B-V<0.63\end{cases}
$$

with $x=0.63-(B-V)$.
The $R_{H K}$ index contains both the chromospheric and photospheric components of the stellar Ca II emission, and this is accounted for via a further colour-dependent conversion, which was empirically determined by Hartmann et al. (1984),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p h o t}=-4.898+1.918(B-V)^{2}-2.893(B-V)^{3} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

to use in the correction

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{H K}^{\prime}=R_{H K}-R_{\text {phot }} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to note that the above conversions are constrained only for stars with colour $B-V>0.4$. Note that with a properly calibrated spectrophotometer such as that which was used originally at Mouth Wilson, and a bolometric correction, this process of calibration with spectral measurements would be unnecessary.

Mamajek and Hillenbrand (2008) calibrated an empirical Ca HK activity-age relation, valid for stars with colour $0.5<B-V<0.9$ which corresponds to stars later than approximately spectral type F5. The colour range $0.42<B-V<0.5$ appears to be a transition region in which rotation-activity correlation breaks down, chromospheric activity diminishes and magnetic breaking becomes inefficient (Wolff et al., 1985, Garcia-Lopez et al., 1993). This corresponds to spectral types of approximately F3-F6. Previous Ca II activity surveys, particularly those which contain large numbers of field stars in the southern sky and known Scorpius-Centaurus association members,
have an early limit of approximately F5, and extend down to early M-type stars (Henry et al., 1996, Wright et al., 2004, Gray et al., 2006, White et al., 2007). Only a small number $(<10)$ of early F-type stars have a published $R_{H K}^{\prime}$ value (Noyes et al., 1984) and no A-type stars have measured Ca II activities.

### 1.2.3 The Relation Between Chromospheric Calcium II and X-Ray Activity

X-ray luminosity, or more specifically, the fractional X-ray luminosity $\left(\log \left(L_{X} / L_{b o l}\right)\right)$ has been shown to be quite well correlated with the Ca HK activity index $\left(\log R_{H K}^{\prime}\right)$ over a wide range of masses and ages for solar-type dwarfs (Sterzik and Schmitt, 1997). Studies of the solar-type dwarfs (the Sun included) show that coronal activity traces chromospheric activity over time. It is important to note that $R_{H K}^{\prime}$ varies by approximately a factor of ten from stars of T Tauri age $(<10 \mathrm{Myr})$ to field stars older than 4 Gyr , while the equivalent X-ray activity index $\mathrm{R}_{X}$ varies by approximately a factor of 30 (Mamajek and Hillenbrand, 2008). This implies that if available, the X-ray activity is a more appropriate age diagnostic than the chromospheric activity index, as it provides higher effective age resolution.

### 1.2.4 Lithium Depletion

The presence of lithium in a star, identified by the lithium $6708 \AA$ absorption feature in a stellar spectrum, is a decisive indicator of youth or PMS nature (D'Antona and Mazzitelli, 1994). The initial lithium content of low-mass PMS stars is depleted on a timescale of $\sim 100 \mathrm{Myr}$, meaning that members of young OB associations such as Sco-Cen, with ages $<30 \mathrm{Myr}$, still posseses the majority of their initial lithium, and this can be identified in their strong lithium line, while older field stars show no lithium absorption.

In Figure 1.6, we have plotted the lithium abundance as a function of $B-V$ colour from the Siess et al. (2000) PMS models for ages indicative of Sco-Cen and older


Figure 1.6: Lithium abundance for the Siess et al. (2000) models as a function of $B-V$ colour for ages 5 Myr (solid), 20 Myr (dot-dashed) and 100 Myr (blue). The figure illustrates that the presence of lithium for late F to mid M-type stars is a reliable indicator of youth, with the strongest diagnostic use being for early M-type stars.
stars. From the figure it is clear that lithium depletion occurs for a large range of spectral types, spanning late $\sim$ M5 stars to late F-type stars, and is strongest as an age diagnostic at spectral type M1. The study of Chen et al. (2011) illustrates this point further using empirical Li line strength measurements in Upper Scorpius. For stars earlier than mid F-type ( $B-V>0.5$ in Figure 1.6), Lithium ceases to function as a youth indicator due to the lack of a convective zone for stars of these temperatures.

Lithium $6708 \AA$ is significantly easier to use as a spectral age indicator for PMS stars when compared to the need for accurate flux calibrations, complicated conversions and empirical systems that are required for $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{HK}$ measurements. To utilise the lithium $6708 \AA$ line as an age diagnostic, one only needs to measure the equivalent width of the spectral line. Typically for Sco-Cen aged stars ( $<30 \mathrm{Myr}$ ), a lithium $6708 \AA$ equivalent width of more than $\sim 0.1 \AA$ would place a stars as pre-main sequence; hence, midresolution spectroscopy $(\mathrm{R}>1000)$ is required to obtain sufficient sampling of the line, and sufficient signal-to-noise is needed to obtain an accuracy of at least $0.1 \AA$, to resolve
the Li $6708 \AA$ line of the more depleted stars.

### 1.3 Bayesian Statistics

Bayesian statistics is an extremely useful tool which can be exploited to make better or more complete use of available data, and an invaluable aid in hypothesis testing. The potential applications for this type of analysis are quite diverse, however, the general approach is the same in all cases. A general description of the theory behind Bayesian analysis is given below.

The core of Bayesian statistics is Bayes' Theorem, (e.g. Sivia and Skilling (2007));

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(M \mid D)=\frac{P(D \mid M) P(M)}{P(D)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where M and D are two events which can either be true or false. In the context of astronomy and data analysis these might represent Data and a Model. The general theme of Bayesian analysis is that given some starting point, (the prior, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M})$ ), which is informed by previous evidence, we can then use the observed data to modify the prior and produce the posterior distribution $(P(M \mid D))$. This process can involve a large set of observed data, all of which serially modify the prior in turn. The power of Bayes' theorem lies in the fact that it directly relates the quantity of interest to other quantities which are much easier to assign or calculate. In (1.10), $P(M \mid D)$ is called the "posterior distribution" and $P(M)$ is called the "prior distribution" or simply "prior". Using Bayes' Theorem, the probability of a particular model can be calculated, or, for a large set of models (e.g. Gaussians with different means), the most probable model can be found by calculating $P(M \mid D)$ for every possible model.

In some real-world cases, the probability that the data ( $\mathrm{D)} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{true} \mathrm{given} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{model}$ (M) is not directly known, but instead the model will produce a set of one or more parameters which can be related to the data. This means that the calculation of $P(D \mid M)$ is not a straightforward process. This issue is resolved by applying marginalisation and
the product rule to the $P(D \mid M)$ term in Bayes' Theorem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(D \mid M)=\int P(D, \boldsymbol{\phi} \mid M) d \boldsymbol{\phi}  \tag{1.11}\\
P(D \mid M)=\int P(D \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}, M) P(\boldsymbol{\phi} \mid M) d \boldsymbol{\phi} \tag{1.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi$ is a parameter or set of parameters produced from the model (M). Inserting the above equation back into Bayes' Theorem (1.10) would allow, for example, the calculation of a probability that a model is true for a given range of model parameters and data. Note that these are not to be confused with parameters that define the model, but rather outputs from the model which can be compared to the data. An example of this can be taken from counting statistics: Assume that the expected number of counts is $5(\mathrm{M})$, then given this model, you can generate a parameter $(\phi)$ which is the number of counts with an associated probability $(P(\boldsymbol{\phi} \mid M))$. This can then be compared to an observed number of counts which is 4 (D) to determine the probability that the model is true. Integrating over all possible values of $\phi$ will then return the posterior probability $(P(M \mid D))$. This process would produce the probability that the model stating that the mean number of counts is 5 is true given the observation of 4 counts. Repeating the process for a different model, e.g. with mean of 3 counts, would yield a different probability and allow the inference of the most likely situation given the observed data.

In carrying out the sort of analysis described above, it is important to ensure that the choice of model really does appear to match the data that you are attempting to evaluate. In the above example, there is no real ambiguity as to the form the model should take, however, in more complex situations, a model may appear to reproduce the data but have no physical connection to the situation. The choice of model is in fact a "prior" in the Bayesian analysis: by choosing a particular type of model, you are assigning a probability of zero to all other types of model, which is equivalent to choosing a prior $P(M)=0$ for all models of a different form. Therefore, it is extremely important that some inspection of the data informs the choice of model before the analysis is carried out. Once a specific model form, or set of forms, is chosen, they can
all have different prior values $P(M)$ depending on their parameters and the specifics of their use. The prior value is then modified using the observed data to produce the posterior distribution $(P(M \mid D))$.

### 1.3.1 Hypothesis Testing and Model Likelihood Ratios

In some cases it is useful to use Bayes' Theorem to calculate the value of $P(M \mid D)$ for a large set of models (e.g. Gaussians with different means and standard deviations); however, in other cases, there is not a large set of models but only two mutually exclusive models ( $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ ). This might be a situation such as deciding whether or not a star is young, or in relation to the work described later in this text, whether or not a star is part of an association. In this case, Bayes' Theorem gives the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{P\left(M_{1} \mid D\right)}{P\left(M_{2} \mid D\right)}=\frac{P\left(D \mid M_{1}\right) P\left(M_{1}\right)}{P\left(D \mid M_{2}\right) P\left(M_{2}\right)}=\frac{P\left(M_{1}\right)}{P\left(M_{2}\right)} K \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is called the Bayes' Factor for simplicity, and $R$ is the model likelihood ratio. Note that $P\left(D \mid M_{1,2}\right)$ can both be marginalised as in equation (1.12):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{\int P\left(D \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{1}}, M_{1}\right) P\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{1}} \mid M_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{1}}}{\int P\left(D \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{2}}, M_{2}\right) P\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{2}} \mid M_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the case where the model yields some parameters which are compared to the data. This approach can be used to describe model probabilities relative to another specific model and completely removes the dependance on the often difficult to define $P(D)$ which can be extremely useful in a wide variety of applications. Additionally, this allows the issue of an appropriate choice of priors to be approached in a potentially simpler fashion, as the prior is now given by $P\left(M_{1}\right) / P\left(M_{2}\right)$ and is now the prior ratio. In some cases it may not be easy to define $P\left(M_{1,2}\right)$, but it may be easy to define the ratio of priors for the two models. The value of $P\left(M_{1}\right) / P\left(M_{2}\right)$ is then modified by the data to produce the posterior model likelihood ratio (R) ${ }^{2}$.

[^1]Once the model likelihood is found, the probability that either model holds true is straightforward to calculate. For $M_{1}$ it is given by $R /(R+1)$. Similarly, for $M_{2}$, replacing R with its inverse will provide the corresponding probability.

## 2

## WISE Debris Disks in the Young Sco-Cen

## Association

In this Chapter we present an analysis of the WISE photometric data for 829 stars in the Sco-Cen OB2 association, using the latest high-mass membership probabilities. We detect debris disks associated with 134 Sco-Cen BAF-type stars. There is a clear increase in IR excess fraction with membership probability, which can be fitted linearly. $41 \pm 5 \%$ of Sco-Cen OB2 BAF stars have excesses, compared to $1 \pm 4 \%$ of field stars. This is the first time that the probability of non-membership has been used in the calculation of IR excess fractions for young stars. We do not see any significant change in excess fraction for the older Sco-Cen subgroups (16, 17 Myr ) when compared to the youngest subgroup Upper-Scorpius ( 5 Myr ). Within our sample, we have observed that B-type association members have a significantly smaller excess fraction than A
and F-type association members. This work was published as Rizzuto et al. (2012), which can be obtained in electronic format online.

### 2.1 Introduction

Many young ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) objects of all types, ranging from tens of solar masses down to the smallest brown dwarves, and in all environments, are surrounded by circumstellar accretion disks (Strom et al., 1989, Lada et al., 2000, Carpenter et al., 2006). The current understanding is that debris disks arise through the grinding of planetesimals to grains under gravitational collision. These dusty debris disks are an indicator and potential diagnostic of planetary systems.

A variety of observations have provided us with an overall timeline of disk evolution. The inner portion of the disk ( $\leq 1 \mathrm{AU}$ ) dissipates by the age of 10 Myr in all but a small fraction of stars (Mamajek et al., 2004, Silverstone et al., 2006). From the age of 10 Myr onwards, there is an observed decline in the $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excess relative to the photosphere for stars of B to K type (Carpenter et al., 2009). It has been postulated that planetesimal stirring though stellar ages of 5 to 20 Myr could produce an increase in the strength of the $\sim 24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excess with age (Kenyon and Bromley, 2008), however the current data do not show a statistically significant increase (Carpenter et al., 2009).

The Sco-Cen association and its three subgroups - Upper Scorpius (US), Upper Centaurus Lupus (UCL) and Lower Centaurus Crux (LCC) - provide three important constant-age samples within which to study debris disks around young stars. The subgroups have ages of 5,16 , and 17 Myr respectively, and are located less than 150 pc from the Sun (de Zeeuw et al., 1999). Previous studies (Carpenter et al., 2006, 2009) have investigated IRAC, IRS and Spitzer photometric data ranging from 4.5 to $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the US subgroup. They identified 54 stars with $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excesses in their sample of 205 targets and found that disks around BAF-type stars appear to be comprised of dusty debris, while disks associated with K and M-type stars are likely optically thick primordial disks which are remnants of the star formation process. The older Sco-Cen subgroups, UCL and LCC, have received considerably less attention; only a handful of
studies have observed small numbers of UCL and LCC stars (summarised in Carpenter et al. (2009)). The recent study by Chen et al. (2011) reports the detection of 41 new disks around F and G-type Sco-Cen stars, increasing the late-type sample size.

New Bayesian membership probabilities for the high mass members (B to F-type) of the Sco-Cen association are now available (Rizzuto et al., 2011), and preliminary photometric data from the WISE mission have been released (Wright et al., 2010). Here we present an analysis of the WISE photometry for Sco-Cen members to search for debris disks in three constant-age samples.

### 2.2 Data Sample

In this study we take our sample from the Hipparcos Sco-Cen membership study of Rizzuto et al. (2011). All stars with membership probabilities of $5 \%$ and greater were cross-referenced with the WISE preliminary data release. This resulted in a sample of 829 stars with spectral types ranging from early B to late F ( $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V} \leq 0.6$ ), brighter than $9^{\text {th }}$ visual magnitude and within the area of sky bounded by $(285 \leq l \leq 360)$ and $(-10 \leq b \leq 60)$. Membership as described in Rizzuto et al. (2011), is based purely on kinematic and positional properties of the targets, and hence the selection is believed to be unbiased with regard to the presence of debris disks. Note that in previous papers, stars with membership probability $>50 \%$ were termed members. We have chosen to include the low membership probability stars in this study in order to determine if there is a relationship between membership probability and excess fraction, and hence to identify potential additional Sco-Cen stars by disk presence.

### 2.3 WISE Excesses

The WISE mission data provide photometry in four bands, $\mathrm{W}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{2}, \mathrm{~W}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{4}$, with central wavelengths of 3, 4.5, 12 and $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ respectively (Wright et al., 2010). In this study we present an analysis of three WISE colours: $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{2}, \mathrm{~W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{4}$. Inspection of the WISE photometry for band $W_{2}$ shows a clear bias at the bluest
end of our membership sample towards poorly fitted point-spread-functions, resulting in untrustworthy $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ photometry. For this reason the long wavelength colours were constructed with $\mathrm{W}_{1}$, which shows a uniform distribution over spectral type of poor photometry fits. Analysis of these three colours will provide three different classes of detected excesses: (1) excesses in all colours, (2) excesses in only the short wavelength filters, and (3) excess in only the longer or longest wavelengths. Since the aim of this study is to identify dusty debris disks, it is expected that a disk-produced excess detected at bluer colours will also be detected at longer colours. Hence, excesses in only the blue colours indicate contaminated photometry and will provide a valuable diagnostic in the identification of debris disks.

For stars without debris disks the three WISE colours are expected to vary linearly with the 2MASS ( $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{K}_{s}$ ) colour, and this line represents the photospheric emission in the particular filter. To determine the photospheric colours, we have applied an iterative fitting procedure. Objects which were clear outliers in the particular WISE colour were first removed and then the software package MPFIT was used to fit a line to the sample. Stars which were separated from the fitted line by more than twice the dispersion of the residuals were then identified as outliers and removed. The process was then repeated until no further objects were removed. This fitting procedure was carried out using only the stars with greater than $60 \%$ membership probability in order to ensure that the fitted photosphere line was that of the young Sco-Cen stars. During this fitting procedure, objects with a WISE photometric fit reduced $\chi^{2}$ greater than 4 in the relevant bands were excluded, as they are likely to be extended sources with poor photometry.

The criterion adopted for excess detection in the three colours was $8 \%$, for $W_{1^{-}}$ $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ and $30 \%$ for $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{4}$ above the expected photosphere line, plus the error on the WISE photometry. These detection thresholds were chosen conservatively such that the detections are likely to be significant even if the photosphere fit is underestimated by $10 \%$. Note that as mentioned previously, objects with photometric fit reduced $\chi^{2}$ greater than 4 in the relevant bands were not assigned an excess, irrespective of WISE colours. The colour-colour diagrams for the three WISE colours and the
fits can be seen in Figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1: The colour-colour diagrams for the three WISE colours defined above. The blue line represents the corresponding excess detection threshold above which objects are considered to have a detectable excess. The photosphere grouping is clearly seen in each colour with fitted slopes and intercepts of $(0.012,-0.18),(0.019,-0.018)$ and $(0.22,-0.016)$ respectively. Blue diamonds indicate stars with detectable excesses and black dots indicate stars without a detectable excess.

Given excess detections in the three WISE colours we can remove from the sample those stars with suspect photometry. There were 19 objects with a detected excess in $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{2}$ only, 3 in $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{3}$ only, and 3 in $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{4}$ only. The 19 objects which show an excess only in the $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{2}$ colour are likely caused by the saturation behaviour of the WISE photometry fits. When partial saturation occurs in band $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ (brighter
than 6.5 mags), the WISE photometry fitting procedure produces a systematic overestimation of the flux, which produces a smaller magnitude (Cutri et al., 2011). All 19 of these objects are in the $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ magnitude range where flux over-estimation is expected and hence we have included these objects in our sample as having no detectable excess. In addition, we remove those objects with poor photometry fits $\left(\chi^{2}>4\right)$ in the $W_{1}$ and $W_{4}$ bands, as the remainder of the analysis makes use of the $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{4}$ excess only, there were 95 such objects. HIP 77562 and 81891, which only show an excess in $W_{1}-W_{3}$ both have poor photometry fits in band $\mathrm{W}_{4}$, and so are removed from the sample.

The WISE images of the 173 objects with detected excesses were then visually inspected for the presence of close, unresolved companions and contamination from excess-producing nebulosity. This yielded 27 objects with excesses not likely to be caused by a disk. HIP 68413 , 79098 and 76063 , which have detectable excess in $\mathrm{W}_{1-}$ $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{4}$, but not $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{3}$, are included in the sample based on the image inspection. The lack of $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{3}$ excess associated with HIP 68413 is most likely due to a large error on $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{3}$ and the detected $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{2}$ excess associated with HIP 79098 was found to be caused by a clearly visible diffraction spike in the $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ image. HIP 76063 has a $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ magnitude of 5.6 , and so the $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{2}$ excess associated with this star is most likely also due to saturation. Finally, HIP 80897 shows a nebulous excess in $\mathrm{W}_{1-}$ $\mathrm{W}_{3}$ only, and so was removed from the sample. In total, we observe reliable excesses associated with 134 objects: 27 stars in US, 53 in UCL and 54 in LCC. Table A. 1 in Appendix A contains all the colour and WISE photometry for our sample, with the excess detections marked.

### 2.4 Discussion

The sources investigated in this study are BAF-type stars and hence the detected excesses are expected to be produced by dusty debris disks rather than primordial gaseous disks (Carpenter et al., 2009). A clear outcome of our analysis is that the excess fraction in the three subgroups is not uniform with respect to membership probability (p). We have investigated the excess properties of Sco-Cen stars in discrete membership
probability bins. Figure 2.2 displays the excess fraction in $10 \%$ membership probability bins with $\mathrm{p}>20 \%$. We have fitted linear trends to these data, which are indicated by the blue lines in Figure 2.2. Extrapolation to $100 \%$ membership probability (i.e. certain members) along the linear fits result in excess fractions of $0.36 \pm 0.1,0.33 \pm 0.08$ and $0.46 \pm 0.13$ for the three subgroups US, UCL and LCC respectively. The extrapolated excess fraction for US is significantly larger than observations have previously suggested. The $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excess fraction for B7-A9 stars in the US sample used by Carpenter et al. (2009) was found to be $\sim 0.3$, and $\sim 0.15$ for F-type stars. For associations at the age of UCL and LCC (16, 17 Myr ), Chen et al. (2005) reported a $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excess fraction lower-bound of $\sim 0.35$, which is consistent with the results of our analysis. In our sample, the extrapolated $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excess fraction was not found to be larger in the older subgroups (UCL, LCC) compared to the young US subgroup. Previous observations have provided some evidence for a peak in the excess fraction as stars age from $<10 \mathrm{Myr}$ to the $10-30 \mathrm{Myr}$ age range (Currie et al., 2008). However, the statistical significance of the peak remains an open question (Carpenter et al., 2009). Our analysis reveals that in the Sco-Cen association, the excess fraction does not increase as stars age from $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$ to the $10-30 \mathrm{Myr}$ age range.

Given the lack of statistically significant differences in excess fraction between the three Sco-Cen subgroups, the association as a whole can be explored. Figure 2.2d displays the linear excess fraction trend for the combined sample. Linear fitting to the data resulted in an extrapolated excess fraction of $41 \pm 5 \%$ for certain members, and $1 \pm 4 \%$ for field stars with $0 \%$ Sco-Cen membership probability, with a $\chi^{2}$ of 0.82 for the fit.

The aim of this study is to compare the disk properties of young association stars with those of older main-sequence stars. An important issue to address is the possibility of contamination in the sample from, for example, bright, distant giants which appear to be spatially consistent with the Sco-Cen association. Such a star, with an incorrect parallax placing it within the Sco-Cen area of space, would have small proper motions. The Rizzuto et al. (2011) membership classification scheme, which is based


Figure 2.2: Excess fraction as a function of membership probability for the three subgroups and the entire association.
on kinematics and distance, would select strongly against association membership in this situation on the basis of inconsistent proper motion, making a $20 \%$ membership probability extremely unlikely. Hence our $20 \%$ membership probability cut-off ensures that the sample used provides an accurate comparison between young stars and older main-sequence stars.

The correlation between the presence of a debris disk and membership of the ScoCen association is clearly demonstrated in this analysis. It is thus important to consider stars with a detectable $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excess which have lower membership probabilities ( $\mathrm{p}<50 \%$ ). In the latest Sco-Cen membership study (Rizzuto et al., 2011) a number

| HIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 51169 | 51203 | 53524 | 55616 | 55978 | 60183 | 62482 |
| 62488 | 63236 | 63395 | 72099 | 72685 | 75843 | 76223 |
| 76782 | 77315 | 77366 | 77523 | 78198 | 78357 | 78826 |
| 78943 | 80019 | 80458 | 80557 | 80921 | 81316 | 81639 |
| 81791 | 82154 | 82250 | 83232 | 84881 | 86853 |  |

Table 2.1: The 34 new members based on IR excess detections.

| Figure | a | b | $\chi^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US | $0.36 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.09$ | 0.4 |
| UCL | $0.33 \pm 0.08$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.06$ | 1.6 |
| LCC | $0.46 \pm 0.13$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.11$ | 3.5 |
| All | $0.41 \pm 0.05$ | $0.01 \pm 0.04$ | 0.8 |
| B | $0.18 \pm 0.08$ | $0.09 \pm 0.10$ | 0.1 |
| A | $0.45 \pm 0.11$ | $0.05 \pm 0.09$ | 4.1 |
| F | $0.47 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.04$ | 4.0 |

Table 2.2: The excess fraction fits for the seven graphs. The fitting was done to the equation $y=(a-b) p_{\text {mem }}+b$, where a and b are the excess fractions at $p_{\text {mem }}=0$ and 1.0 respectively.
of de Zeeuw et al. (1999) member stars were assigned low membership probabilities due to inconclusive proper motion data and the lack of a radial velocity measurement. Unresolved multiplicity has long been recognised as an important pitfall in kinematicsbased association membership selection methods (de Zeeuw et al., 1999). An equal mass binary system at the distance of Sco-Cen can produce a proper-motion offset on the order of $\sim 2$ mas from the true centre-of-mass motion. An offset of this size is roughly on the order of the uncertainties in the proper motion (van Leeuwen, 2007), indicating that binary association members can possibly be overlooked. The presence of a debris disk can then be used to indicate membership for stars spatially and photometrically consistent, but kinematically inconsistent, with the Sco-Cen subgroups. We thus propose that stars with membership probabilities between 10 and $50 \%$ which have a detectable excess can confidently be considered association members (see Tab.
2.1).

The subgroup LCC has an anomalous concentration of stars with detectable excess in the $5-10 \%$ membership probability range. Three of these stars, HIP 50612, 52867 and 53992, are known members of the young open cluster IC2602, which is on the far side of LCC (Robichon et al., 1999), and are thus expected to have low Sco-Cen membership probabilities. Confusion with young background sources for this subgroup, which is on the Galactic plane, further contributes to the anomalous high excess fraction.


Figure 2.3: Excess fraction against membership probability for A-type (Black), F-type (Red) and B-type (Blue) stars in our sample. The dashed lines represent the linear fits.

We have examined the excess fraction properties of our sample in three colour ranges: $(-0.3<\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}<0),(0<\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}<0.3)$ and $(0.3<\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}<0.6)$. These groupings correspond approximately to B, A and F-type stars according to the colour tables of Allen and Cox (2000). Figure 2.3 displays plots of the excess fraction against membership probability for the three spectral type ranges. We find the extrapolated excess fractions for the A and F-type stars to be $45 \pm 11 \%$ and $47 \pm 9 \%$, while the B-type stars in our sample show no evidence of a trend in excess fraction with membership probability. The study of Carpenter et al. (2009) also found that A and F-type stars have similar excess fractions at the age of Sco-Cen, with a small increase in excess fraction for the earlier spectral types. However, the earliest type star included in the Carpenter et al. (2009) sample is B7, and so no direct comparison can be made to the bluest end of our sample. Note that the lack of a clear trend for the B-type members could imply
that most of these stars are in fact young Sco-Cen members despite their kinematics. Further investigation is required to shed additional light on the excess properties of the bluest Sco-Cen stars.

### 2.5 Summary and Conclusions

We have analysed the available preliminary WISE photometry for the Sco-Cen stars of the Rizzuto et al. (2011) membership list and detected $13422 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excesses above the expected photosphere emission. We have used Sco-Cen membership probabilities to extrapolate an excess fraction for certain members, and observe that there is no clear increase in disk fraction between the young US subgroup and the older UCL and LCC subgroups. However, we report a significantly larger disk fraction than previously observed in the youngest subgroup US. These results agree with those of previous studies (Carpenter et al., 2009). Importantly we find that the excess fraction is significantly lower for the B-type stars in our sample compared to A and F-type association members, which is contrary to the trend seen by Carpenter et al. (2009). One possible explanation relates to multiplicity. B-type stars have a significantly higher multiplicity fraction compared to later type stars (Kouwenhoven et al., 2005). The presence of a companion can potentially truncate the inner regions of the debris disk through resonances (Artymowicz and Lubow, 1994), producing a smaller disk fraction. This has been observed in a recent study of binaries in Taurus-Auriga, particularly with close ( $<40 \mathrm{AU}$ ) companions (Kraus et al., 2011a). Among the highest probability members in our sample ( $>90 \%$ ) there are six close ( $<100 \mathrm{AU}$ ) multiple systems without disk detections and one close multiple system with a detected excess. A more comprehensive, comparison between disk presence and multiplicity information for the Sco-Cen B-type stars may shed light on this issue, but is beyond the scope of this study.

## New Low-Mass Sco-Cen Members

As mentioned previously, young OB associations like Sco-Cen provide an incredible laboratory, in the form of a primordial group of stars directly after formation, which can be exploited in the study of the output of star formation. The obvious prerequisite for such study is a level of completeness in the identification of association members that is currently not yet attained in Sco-Cen in any mass regime other than the most massive B-type stars. Sco-Cen contains approximately 150 B-type stars which are spatially concentrated into three subgroups: Upper-Scorpius, Upper-Centaurus-Lupus (UCL) and Lower-Centaurus-Crux (LCC) with only the B, A and F-type membership of Sco-Cen being considered relatively complete with some 800 members. Even in this high-mass regime, there is expected to be a $\sim 30 \%$ contamination by interlopers in the kinematic membership selections, mainly due to the lack of precision radial velocity measurements for these objects (Rizzuto et al., 2011). Additionally, in light of the
upcoming high-precision GAIA proper motions and parallaxes, a well characterised spectroscopically confirmed Sco-Cen membership will be instrumental in illuminating the substructure of the association.

Unfortunately, Sco-Cen is poorly characterised for its proximity, the reason for which is the enormous area of sky the association inhabits at low Galactic latitudes ( $\sim 80^{\circ} \times 25^{\circ}$ or $\sim 150 \times 50 \mathrm{pc}$ ). IMF extrapolation from the high-mass members implies, with any choice of IMF law, the Sco-Cen is expected to have $\sim 10^{4}$ PMS G, K and M-type members, most of which are, as yet, undiscovered. This implies that the vast majority of PMS ( $<20 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) stars in the solar neighbourhood are in Sco-Cen (Preibisch et al., 2002), making Sco-Cen an ideal place to search for young, massive planetary companions. Although, as described in Chapter 1, some work has been done in illuminating the lower-mass population of Sco-Cen, the late-type membership of Sco-Cen cannot be considered complete in any spectral-type or colour band. A more complete picture of the late-type membership of Sco-Cen is the primary requirement for determining the age spread, structure, and star formation history of the association, for illuminating the properties of star formation, and for embarking on further searches for young exoplanets.

A particular point is the contentious age of the Sco-Cen subgroups. Upper Scorpius has long been considered to be $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$ old, however recent work has shown that it may be as old as 11 Myr (de Geus, 1992, Pecaut et al., 2012). Similarly, B, A and F-type UCL and LCC members have main-sequence turn off/on ages of $\sim 16-18 \mathrm{Myr}$, while studies of the incomplete sample of lithium-rich G, K and M-type members show a variety of mass-dependent age estimates. The HR-diagram age for the known K-type stars in UCL and LCC is $\sim 12 \mathrm{Myr}$, the few known M-type stars indicate a significantly younger age of $\sim 4 \mathrm{Myr}$, most likely due to a bias produced by a magnitude limited sample, and the G-type members have an age of $\sim 17 \mathrm{Myr}$, which is consistent with the more massive stars (Preibisch and Mamajek, 2008, Song et al., 2012). There is also a positional trend in the age of the PMS stars of the older subgroups, with stars closer to the Galactic Plane appearing significantly younger than objects further north. This is almost certainly the result of as yet undiscovered and un-clarified substructure
within the older subgroups, which have a very complex star-formation history. These uncertainties and questions can be addressed with a more complete picture of the membership of Sco-Cen on an association-wide scale.

The above is clear motivation for the identification of the full population of the ScoCen association, a task that will require significant observational and computational effort to complete. In this section, we describe our spectroscopic search for new PMS members of the Upper-Scorpius region of the Sco-Cen association, which is currently underway and continuing beyond the scope of this thesis. This involved developing a new Bayesian kinematic selection algorithm to identify high probability candidate members of the association for spectroscopic followup, and spectral analysis of youth indicating features such as $\mathrm{Li} 6708 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$. This work was published as Rizzuto et al. (2015), which can be obtained in electronic format online.

### 3.1 Bayesian Membership Selection

Bayesian statistics is incredibly useful in distinguishing between members of a stellar association with a distinct kinematic profile and background interlopers. We have employed a new Bayesian selection algorithm to identify potential Sco-Cen low-mass members from the vast multitude of interlopers in the Sco-Cen field of sky, using the UCAC4 proper-motion catalogue, and RAVE radial velocities where possible. Both the 2MASS NIR J,H, and K magnitudes as well as APASS visible magnitudes were available for the majority of the candidate Sco-Cen members we have addressed. Using these data, the basic framework is that of the hypothesis testing-scenario involving two mutually exclusive models, which is described earlier in Section 1.3.1.

For application to moving groups and stellar associations, we consider the following two models: (1) A star is a member of the association ( $M_{g}$ ) and (2) A star is not a member of the association, but rather from the field $\left(M_{f}\right)$. Both of these models provide a variety of information, namely, position, distance, velocities, and a model
isochrone;

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{g, f}\left(l, C_{x}\right)=\left\{l, b, r, U, V, W, M_{x}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ and $b$ are Galactic longitude and latitude, $r$ is distance, $U, V$ and $W$ are the three components of a star's Galactic velocity ${ }^{1}, C_{x}$ is some colour and $M_{x}$ is an absolute magnitude in some filter. The model values of distance and velocity are dependent on the Galactic longitude of a candidate star, while the model absolute magnitude is dependent on the star's colour. For the application to PMS stars in the Sco-Cen subgroups, we have used Siess isochrones (Siess et al., 2000) of 6 Myr for US and 16 Myr for UCL and LCC for the group models $\left(M_{g}\right)$ and an older 1 Gyr isochrone for the field model $\left(M_{f}\right)$.

### 3.1.1 Kinematic Models

## Sco-Cen Association Kinematic Model $\left(M_{g}\right)$

The Sco-Cen association is a complex group of comoving objects, with both distinct and interspersed subgroups and hidden substructures. Despite this, the association is quite well described by a linear kinematic model in the three Galactic velocity components, with respect to Galactic longitude. This trend also holds true for the mean Galactic latitude of the association members, and the spread of association members about this mean Galactic latitude;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U, V, W, b, \sigma_{b}\right)=A l+B \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are different coefficients for each of the velocity components and latitude components, $b$ is the Galactic latitude, and $\sigma_{b}$ is the Galactic latitude spread of the association members. Similarly, the association mean distance is well described

[^2]by a linear model in space;
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=(A \cos l+B \sin l)^{-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where again $A$ and $B$ are the linear coefficients and $r$ is the model association mean distance. This, combined with a characteristic distance spread of 25 pc , produces a complete model of the Sco-Cen association kinematics which can be used in the selection algorithm to determine association membership. These linear kinematic trends were first described in a membership selection of the high mass B, A and F-type stars in the Sco-Cen association (Rizzuto et al., 2011). The values for the linear parameters are given in Table 3.1.

|  | A | $\mathrm{B}(l=0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $U_{g}$ | 0.13 | 50.9 |
| $V_{g}$ | 0.009 | -20.8 |
| $W_{g}$ | 0.019 | -12.3 |
| $b_{g}$ | 0.21 | -55.0 |
| $\sigma_{b_{g}}$ | -0.1 | 45.6 |
| $r_{g}$ | 0.0059 | -0.0072 |
|  | Distance |  |
| $\sigma_{r_{g}}$ | 25 pc |  |
| $\sigma_{i n t}$ | $3.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |

Table 3.1: The Sco-Cen association kinematic model parameters. The Galactic velocity component parameters $\left(U_{g}, V_{g}, W_{g}\right)$ are given in $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, the Galactic latitude mean and standard deviation ( $b_{g}$ and $\sigma_{b_{g}}$ ) is given in degrees, and the distance parameters in parsecs. The final two numbers are the standard deviations of the association model distance taken directly from the Rizzuto et al. (2011) membership, and the internal velocity dispersion $\left(\sigma_{i n t}\right)$ of the association, taken from Kraus and Hillenbrand (2007a).

The group kinematic models are normal distributions in each Galactic velocity component, the mean of which is dependent on the Galactic longitude of the particular
object in the way described by the linear models above. The model distance distribution for Sco-Cen is treated in a very similar way, with model distance defined as a normal distribution with mean given by a linear trend in Galactic longitude and a standard deviation which is constant across the association. Similarly, the model Galactic latitude is also treated as a normal distribution with both mean and standard deviation dependent on the Galactic longitude of the particular object of intrest, This produces a decreasing mean and widening range of possible latitudes going from the younger Upper-Sco association to the more dispersed older subgroups (from high to low Galactic longitude). Included in the model is also a pre-main sequence isochrone, taken from the Siess models (Siess et al., 2000), which will be used to convert photometry into distances.

| Parameter | Value $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $U_{f}$ | 9.0 |
| $V_{f}$ | -6.9 |
| $W_{f}$ | -7.0 |
| $\sigma_{U_{f}}$ | 19.8 |
| $\sigma_{V_{f}}$ | 12.8 |
| $\sigma_{W_{f}}$ | 8.0 |
| Galactic Latitude $^{\circ}$ |  |
| $b_{f}$ | 0 |
| $\sigma_{b_{f}}$ | 60 |

Table 3.2: The field model Galactic velocity mean and dispersion for each component, taken from the Galactic Thin Disk of Robin et al. (2003), and the field Galactic latitude normal distribution parameters in degrees.

Field Star Kinematic Model $\left(M_{f}\right)$
In the selection algorithm that will be described below, the Sco-Cen association model will be directly compared to a kinematic model describing non-association members,
or field stars. The parameters of this model are taken from the Galactic Thin Disk model of Robin et al. (2003), and describe a normal distribution for each Galactic velocity component, with significantly larger standard deviations than those described in the Sco-Cen association model. Spatially, we treat the field as a very wide normal distribution in Galactic latitude, with highest probability at the Galactic Plane. As with the association model, the field model includes a field main sequence isochrone, for converting between photometry and distance.

### 3.1.2 The Bayesian Algorithm

Once the field and association models are defined, it is then possible to evaluate the available data using a Bayesian framework. In this application, we use the hypothesis testing version of Bayes' Theorem, presented in Equation (1.12), with a marginalised Bayes' factor as in Equation (1.14);

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{P\left(M_{g} \mid D\right)}{P\left(M_{f} \mid D\right)}=\frac{P\left(M_{g}\right)}{P\left(M_{f}\right)} \frac{\int P\left(D \mid \phi_{g}, M_{g}\right) P\left(\phi_{g} \mid M_{g}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi_{g}}{\int P\left(D \mid \phi_{f}, M_{f}\right) P\left(\phi_{f} \mid M_{f}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi_{f}}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again, $M_{g, f}$ represents the association and field models, and $\phi_{g, f}$ represents the set of parameters, or a parameter vector derived from the models, which can be directly compared to the data $D$. The data are compiled from positions and proper motions taken from the UCAC4 catalog (Zacharias et al., 2013), and a photometric distance calculated from the APASS B and V band photometry (Henden et al., 2012) and 2MASS J,H and K photometry (Skrutskie et al., 2006), using the model isochrones. The first step in the analysis is to define a coordinate transform which will allow simple comparison of the models with the astrometric data.

## The Convergent Point Coordinate System

To determine the parameters in the model parameter vectors $\phi_{g, f}$ we must convert the Galactic velocities and distances taken from the model into proper motions. Rather than using the conventional Equatorial proper motion coordinates, we will use a new proper motion coordinate system defined by the "Convergent Point", i.e. the point
on the sky at which a star will appear to recede to due to its proper motion, given sufficient time. The actual position of the convergent point can be easily calculated from the Galactic velocity components:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tan \left(l_{c p}\right)=\frac{V}{-U}, \\
\tan \left(b_{c p}\right)=\frac{W}{\sqrt{\left(U^{2}+V^{2}\right)}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The benefit of using this coordinate system is that it is the natural coordinate system of a group of objects with a common velocity, with proper motion along the great circle joining a star and the Convergent Point $\left(\mu_{\|}\right)$containing all the on-sky motion of a perfect group member, a proper motion in the direction perpendicular to this $\left(\mu_{\perp}\right)$ being equal to zero for the perfect group member. Figure 3.1 provides a schematic explanation of this new coordinate system.

Conversion of the Equatorial proper motions available in the UCAC4 catalogue for candidate Sco -Cen members is done via a simple rotation by the angle between the North Pole (Equatorial) and the Convergent Point great circle, namely, the angle analogous to $\gamma$ in Figure 3.1 if the figure were displayed in Equatorial, rather than Galactic Coordinates. Calculating the new proper motions and radial velocity from the association and field Galactic velocities and distances is slightly more involved, and requires the definition of some new unit vectors:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\mathbf{p}}=\cos (\gamma) \hat{\mathbf{b}}+\sin (\gamma) \hat{\mathbf{l}}  \tag{3.6}\\
\hat{\mathbf{r}}=(\cos (l) \sin (b), \sin (l) \sin (b), \cos (l)),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ are the unit vectors in the direction of $\mu_{\text {parallel }}$ and radial velocity $\left(\nu_{r}\right)$, which depend on the position of the particular star on the sky, with $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ representing the Galactic longitude and latitude unit vectors, respectively. The angle


Figure 3.1: Diagramatic representation of the Convergent Point and the new coordinate system based upon it. $\lambda$ is the great circle angle joining the star and the Convergent Point, ( $l_{c p}, b_{c p}$ ) is the position of the Convergent Point on the sky, $\left(l_{\text {star }}, b_{\text {star }}\right)$ is the position of the star, and $\gamma$ is the angle between the Convergent Point great circle and the great circle joining the Galactic North Pole and the Star. The new proper motions based on this coordinate system will be $\mu_{\|}$, which points along the great circle $\lambda$, and $\mu_{\perp}$, which points perpendicular to this direction. With basic trigonometric identities, conventional proper motions can be directly converted to this system based on the angles $\gamma$ and $\lambda$.
$\gamma$ is given by;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sin (\gamma)=\frac{\sin \left(l-l_{c p}\right) \cos \left(b_{c p}\right)}{\sin (\lambda)},  \tag{3.7}\\
\cos (\gamma)=\frac{\left(\sin \left(b_{c p}\right)-\cos (\lambda) \sin (b)\right)}{\cos (b) \sin (\lambda)},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left(l_{c p}, b_{c p}\right)$ are the Galactic coordinates of the Convergent Point, $\lambda$ is the angular distance between the Convergent Point and the star, and $(l, b)$ are the stellar coordinates. Using the new unit vectors described in equation (3.6), model values of new velocity components can be calculated from any given set of velocity components
derived from a model $\mathbf{U}_{g, f}=\left(U_{g, f}, V_{g, f}, W_{g, f}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\|}=\mathbf{U}_{g, f} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}, \\
U_{\perp}=0,  \tag{3.8}\\
\nu_{r}=\mathbf{U}_{g, f} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $U_{\|}$is the model velocity towards the Convergent Point, which can be converted to proper motion units using a distance measure which is described below, and $U_{\perp}$ is the velocity perpendicular to the Convergent Point, which is by construction of the coordinate system equal to zero. Combining this new coordinate system with a distance measure will allow direct comparison of the association and field models with stellar data.

## Photometric Distance Measure

Using kinematic methods to identify low-mass stars in Sco-Cen presents a further challenge compared to the high-mass membership due to simply poorer quality measurements, namely, the absence of a directly measured parallax for candidate members. The only real distance measurement that can be made is to use photometry combined with appropriate isochrones to estimate the distance to candidate Sco-Cen stars. We have done this by comparing APASS B and V, and 2MASS J and K, and producing photometric distances using Siess pre-main sequence isochrones (Siess et al., 2000) in accordance with the association $\left(M_{g}\right)$ and field $\left(M_{f}\right)$ models which are defined above.

Included in our distance estimate was a multiplicity photometric bias correction. This estimate was based on the expected multiplicity statistics of G, K and M-type stars, taken from Kraus et al. (2011b), which indicates $\sim 50 \%$ of solar type stars have a companion. Combined with a standard initial mass function for the companion, this produces an average multiplicity bias of 0.2 magnitudes. Our photometric distances are thus calculated by adjusting the measured photometry by 0.2 magnitudes and then calculating a distance based on an interpolated isochrone magnitude. We define the uncertainty on the photometric distance to be $20 \%$, or $\pm 0.4$ magnitudes. This calculation is done for every star in our sample for both the association and field
models.

## Calculating Bayes' Factors

We now describe the calculation of the probabilities of membership using equation (3.4), which makes up the bulk of the selection algorithm. This will rely on the conversions and calculation described in the previous sections of this chapter. The calculation of the Bayes' factor from equation (3.4) involves multi-dimensional integrals over all possible values of the different model parameters $U, V, W$, Galactic latitude $b$ and distance $r$. Here, we can de-couple Galactic latitude from the integral, as it is completely independent from the other components, because it is not used in any conversions to new coordinate systems, and can hence be directly compared to the stellar data;

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(D \mid M_{f, g}\right)= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P\left(b \mid M_{g, f}\right) P(D \mid b) \mathrm{d} b  \tag{3.9}\\
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid M_{g, f}\right) P(D \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\theta},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\{U, V, W, r\}$ represents the remaining model parameters once Galactic latitude (b) is removed. Given the level of precision of the stellar positions, $P(D \mid b)$ is set to unity when Galactic latitude is equal to the stellar Galactic latitude ( $b=b_{\star}$ ), and zero otherwise. This leaves the remaining term describing the model Galactic latitudes, which as described above, is treated as a normal distribution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(b \mid M_{g, f}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{b_{g, f}}^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(b-b_{g, f}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{b_{g, f}}^{2}}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters of this normal distribution for the group and field models are described above in Tables 3.1 and 3.2. The four remaining parameters in the integral are coupled and are hence treated together. $P\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid M_{g, f}\right)$ is a further set of distributions. The Galactic velocity components are treated as normal distributions for both the field
and the association, though with different parameters (see section 3.1.1):

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left(U \mid M_{g, f}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{U_{g, f}}^{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(U-U_{g, f}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{U_{g, f}}^{2}}\right) \\
P\left(V \mid M_{g, f}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{V_{g, f}}^{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(V-V_{g, f}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{V_{g, f}}^{2}}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
P\left(W \mid M_{g, f}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{W_{g, f}}^{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(W-W_{g, f}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{W_{g, f}}^{2}}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where for the association kinematic model, the parameters describing the model are linearly dependent on the Galactic longitude of each star of intrest, and will thus vary across the association. The association distance is also treated as a normal distribution with its mean dependent on stellar Galactic longitude;

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(r \mid M_{g}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{r_{g}}^{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(r-r_{g}(l)\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{r_{g}}^{2}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.2: Field photometric distance distribution, showing features of the input catalog magnitude and colour cuts in the distance peak and tail, and the isochrone used in estimation of the distances.

The distance distribution for the field model is treated in a significantly different manner as it cannot be described with a simple normal distribution. Instead, we take the set of all photometric distances generated using the stellar photometry and field main-sequence, and use this to describe the field distance distribution; an example is shown in figure 3.2.

With these definitions of the model distributions, and the numerically generated field distance model, we generate $10^{5}$ random $(U, V, W, r)$ samples for the group integral in equation (3.4), and $10^{6}$ samples for the field integral. These values were chosen empirically such that the uncertainty on the resultant probabilities was typically $1 \%$. More samples are required for the field integral due to the significantly wider range of probable values for both the Galactic velocity components, and the field model distance. Sampling of the normal distributions for the Galactic velocity and group distance was done using inbuilt functions in IDL to generate random normal distributions. The field distance distribution is not analytically determined, and so sampling was done with the rejection sampling method (Neal, 2003), which samples an arbitrary random variable by uniformly sampling on the region described by its probability density function.

Using the randomly generated values, we then calculate the final terms in the Bayes' factor integrals. These remaining terms are those relating model parameters to the data, and are expressed in terms of the "Convergent Point" coordinates described above;

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(D \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_{g}\right) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{\mu_{\perp}^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\mu_{\perp}}^{2}}-\frac{\left(\mu_{\|}-\frac{A \nu_{\|_{g}}}{r}\right)^{2}}{2\left(\sigma_{\mu_{\|}}^{2}+\left[A \nu_{\|_{g}} \sigma_{\frac{1}{r}}{ }^{2}\right)\right.}-\frac{\left(\nu_{r}-\nu_{r_{g}}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\nu_{r}}^{2}}-\frac{\left(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{r_{g}}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\frac{1}{r}}^{2}}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=4.740470466 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{yr} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ is a constant used for unit conversion of absolute velocities to distance-relative proper motions, the value of which is given by the ratio of kilometres in one AU and seconds in one Julian year. With the addition of the above equations, the integrals in (3.4) can now be fully calculated, yielding a Bayes' Factor $(K)$ for each candidate member. Determining a model likelihood ratio $(R)$ from the Bayes' Factor requires additional prior information, which we describe in the following section

## Determining a Suitable Prior and Membership Probabilities

The prior probability $P_{0}=P\left(M_{g}\right) / P\left(M_{f}\right)$, which is defined for our case in (3.4), represents starting information about the models which will be altered by the application of observed data (the Bayes' Factors). The choice of prior is extremely important in determining robust membership probabilities. A uniform prior, which would state that, initially, a star is as likely to be a member of the Sco-Cen association as it is to be a field star, is an overly simple prior, and will grossly overestimate membership probabilities because the field distributions described above are so much broader than the group distribution.

A logical way to express the prior is as the expected ratio of Sco-Cen association members to field stars in the area of sky occupied by Sco-Cen, and in the particular magnitude and colour range being examined. Given the sparse nature of the current Sco-Cen low-mass star membership, it is difficult to determine this number directly. Instead, we first use an extrapolated initial mass function (IMF) fit to the B, A and Ftype membership of the most recent high-mass membership of Sco-Cen (Rizzuto et al., 2011). A simple extrapolated Salpeter IMF fit to the high mass membership produces a prior of $P_{0}=0.015_{-0.008}^{+0.004}$, when compared with the field density in the UCAC4 catalogue. This is smaller than the prior of 0.08 used in the high-mass membership selection (Rizzuto et al., 2011), which is not surprising considering the increasing field contamination expected for the cooler stars. Using the prior we can then compute model likelihood ratios (R) for each candidate member, and then determine robust membership probabilities directly from these ratios $P\left(M_{g} \mid D\right)=R /(R+1)$.

In summary, the general procedure is as follows:

1. Remove stars with colours or magnitudes outside the range in which a $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{K}$ or M-type Sco-Cen member is expected to fall.
2. Remove stars with suspect photometry and proper motions as described in the UCAC4, 2MASS and APASS catalogues.
3. Using APASS and 2MASS photometry, calculate association and field model distances for each star in the sample.
4. Generate a random sample of $10^{5}$ association model velocity and distance values, and $10^{6}$ field model values.
5. For each random value, use the conversions described above to calculate values of $\mu_{\|, m}, \nu_{r, m}$ and to rotate the measured stellar proper motions.
6. Compare the model proper motions to the stellar values using equation (3.4) to calculate a Bayes' factor.
7. Using the prior, calculate model likelihood ratios, and then membership probabilities.

### 3.1.3 Results of the Selection Algorithm

Here we discuss some characteristics of the membership probabilities produced by the Bayesian selection algorithm. We applied the selection to an input portion of the UCAC4 catalogue, which spanned the Upper-Scorpius subgroup of the Sco-Cen association, with a magnitude range of $10<V<14$ and a colour span of $0.3<B-V<1.3$. This colour-magnitude range encompasses young ( $<10 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) stars in the late G-type to early M-type range, or a mass spread of 0.5 to $1.8 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. This spectral type range represents the current frontier of membership completeness for Sco-Cen, which currently ends at the limit of the HIPPARCOS astrometry at approximately the early G-type members.

To determine the validity of the membership selection, we have compiled a list of previously known Sco-Cen members in the spectral type range used in our selection. These objects were compiled from the studies of Walter et al. (1994), Preibisch et al. (1998), Preibisch and Zinnecker (1999), Preibisch et al. (2001) and Preibisch et al. (2002), and comprise 87 objects which were included in our selection sample. We cross-matched the coordinates of these objects with the UCAC4 catalogue, and plot a histogram of their membership probabilities in Figure 3.3. We find that the vast majority of the known pre-main sequence members of Upper Scorpius included in our selection sample have membership probabilities $P_{\text {mem }}>0.7$, with the smallest
probability for a known member being $28 \%$. There were also 19 known non-members included in our Bayesian selection. Combining the known members and non-members (total 106 objects), the Bayesian probabilities predict that there will be 90 members, and 16 non-members. These estimates agree within the Poisson counting error of the actual number of members and non-members, and hence we are confident our analysis is producing meaningful probabilities.


Figure 3.3: Histogram of the Membership probability of the 87 known pre-main sequence UpperScorpius members included in our selection sample. Note the significant portion of the known members with membership probabilities larger than $70 \%$, indicating that the selection algorithm is providing a high-confidence prioritisation of potential Upper Scorpius candidate members.

Taking objects with greater than $30 \%$ probability of Sco-Cen membership according to our selection, we expect an interloper fraction of 30-40\%, depending on the choice of prior, which is unsurprising given the quality of astrometry that is available for these objects. Despite this, and given the high recovery rate of previously discovered Upper Scorpius members, we expect the membership selection described above to provide a robust prioritisation of candidate members for targeting with spectroscopic followup observations.


Figure 3.4: Histogram of membership probabilities produced by our Bayesian selection algorithm for the entire selection sample. For ease of viewing, we have omitted the smallest probability bin of the histogram $\left(0<P_{\text {men }}<0.05\right)$, which contains the largest number of objects.

### 3.2 Spectroscopic Membership Confirmation of ScoCen Low-Mass Stars

A purely kinematic selection of the low-mass members of Sco-Cen is not sufficient to assign membership to $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{K}$ and M-type stars because the quality of the astrometric data available would produce an interloper contamination much higher than would be acceptable for future studies using Sco-Cen as an age benchmark. In order to determine membership beyond any doubt, spectroscopic follow-up of the candidate members is needed to identify stellar youth indicators, which would place candidate members in the Sco-Cen association. Sco-Cen is a vast association, spanning thousands of square degrees, and hence, determining the compete low-mass membership of the association requires a large observing campaign. Our Bayesian selection algorithm provides a means of reducing the overall time required per identified association member, and in this section, we describe follow-up observations we undertook to identify new ScoCen members on the G, K and M-type mass range. Over the course of the last three years, we have been awarded 8 nights using the 6dF spectrograph on the UK Schmidt
telescope at Siding Spring Observatory; unfortunately none of these nights were useable due to poor weather. This instrumental setup would have been capable of observing 150 stars simultaneously, but was decommissioned in preparation for the FunnelWeb and TAIPAN surveys. We were also awarded 18 nights using the WiFeS integral field spectrograph on the ANU 2.3 m telescope, also at Siding Springs. Unfortunately, due again to poor weather, only 8 nights on the ANU 2.3 m had clear and usable time, most of them in 2014.

### 3.2.1 Young Stars and Gas Giants with WiFeS

The WiFeS (Wide Field Spectrograph) instrument on the ANU 2.3m telescope is an integral field, or imaging, spectrograph, which provides a spectrum for a number of spatial pixels across the field of view using an image slicing configuration. The field of view of the instrument is $38 \times 25$ arcseconds, and is made up of 25 slitlets which are each one arc second in width, and 38 arcseconds in length. The slitlets feed two $4096 \times 4096$ pixel detectors, one for the blue part of the spectrum and the other for the red, providing a total wavelength coverage of $330-900 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which is dependent on the specific gratings used for the spectroscopy. Each 15 micron pixel corresponds to $1 \times 0.5$ arcseconds on sky.

There are a number of gratings offered to observers for use with WiFeS. For identification of Upper-Scorpius members, we required intermediate-resolution spectra of our candidate members, with a minimum resolution of $\sim 3000$ at the Li $6708 \AA$ line, and so selected the R7000 grating for the red arm and the B3000 grating for the blue arm, which was used solely for spectral-typing. This provided $\lambda / \Delta \lambda \sim 7000$ spectra covering the lithium $6708 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ spectroscopic youth indicators. The blue spectra provided additional SED information and allow temperature, gravity, metallicity and spectral type fitting of the new members. To properly identify members, we required a $3 \sigma$-detection of a $0.1 \AA$ equivalent width lithium line, which corresponds to a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 30 per pixel. In order to achieve this, we took exposures of 5 minutes for $\mathrm{R}=13$ stars (approximately type M3 in Upper-Scorpius), and binned by 2 pixels in the $y$-axis, to create $1 \times 1$ " spatial pixels and reduce overheads. With

### 3.2 Spectroscopic Membership Confirmation of Sco-Cen Low-Mass

overheads we were able to observe 12 targets an hour in bright time, or $\sim 80-90$ targets per completely clear night.

In conjunction with identifying new Sco-Cen members, we also searched for GSC 06214-00210b analogs by making use of the WiFeS imaging capabilities and spectroastrometry. As mentioned above, these are wide ( $>100 \mathrm{AU}$ ), roughly planetary mass ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Jup}}$ ) companions to approximately $4 \%$ of young stars, the majority of which are accreting (Ireland et al., 2011a). These accreting exoplanets are expected to be found most often around the youngest stars, making Sco-Cen and extremely important hunting ground for these objects.

Detection of these wide orbit gas giants with WiFeS is possible due to the relative brightness in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission of these planetary companions compared to other wavelengths. Figure 3.5 displays Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations of GSC 06214-00210 in both narrow-band H- $\alpha$ and broad band filters. The stark difference in the star to planet contrast in the accretion-produced $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ compared to the broadband filter is clear from the image; in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$, the star to planet contrast is $5: 1$, while in the broadband visible it is $\sim 10,000: 1$ (Zhou et al., 2014).

Although there are no published visible spectra of these objects, we used 2M1207A ( $\sim 10$ times smaller accretion luminosity' Bowler et al. (2011), Herczeg and Hillenbrand (2008)) as a proxy for basic calculation of the expected detectability of these types of objects with the WiFeS spectrograph. The $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ luminosities quoted here are consistent with recently published HST measurements of several young accreting wide planetarymass companions (Kraus et al., 2014), and hence provided a reasonable estimate of detection possibilities for our WiFeS survey.

2M1207A has an average H-alpha flux of approximately $2 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ (Herczeg and Hillenbrand, 2008) in a $\sim 200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ width emission line at a distance of 52 pc (Ducourant et al., 2008). Such an object at the distance of the Sco-Cen association ( $\sim 150 \mathrm{pc}$ ) will have a flux in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ of approximately $2 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{ergs}^{-1}$. We searched for companions with fluxes down to $10^{-15} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, which thus included objects two times fainter than 2M1207 or $\sim 20$ times fainter than GSC 06214-00210b. Assuming $2 "$ seeing,


Figure 3.5: Hubble Space Telescope images of GSC 06214-00210 and its massive gas giant companion in both the F 625 W wide filter (top) and the narrow band $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ filter (bottom). In the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ the star to planet contrast is 5:1, making the planet extremely bright for a substellar object.
our survey was expected to detect GSC 06214-00210b analogues down to separations of $\sim 1$ ", using a spectroastrometric analysis which we will discuss below.

### 3.2.2 Sample Construction and Observations

The Bayesian membership selection method described above provides reliable membership probabilities, which can be used to separate mid and high-confidence Sco-Cen candidate members from the background and foreground interlopers which confuse the Sco-Cen area of sky and make observational identification of Sco-Cen members difficult.

## WISE IR Excesses as Youth Flags

In addition to the Bayesian selection of candidate members, we further catalogued WISE 22, 12 and $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excess emission for our candidates. As described above in Chapter 2, and in the study by Rizzuto et al. (2012), observed mid-IR emission in excess of the expected photosphere levels is often indicative of either a debris or gaseous
primordial disk. In the case of the G, K and M-type Sco-Cen candidates of interest in this section, IR excesses point to the presence of a gaseous hydrogen disk remaining after formation and actively accreting onto the central star, which is often associated with $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission (Carpenter et al., 2009). The timescale over which such a disk is expected to produce mid-IR emission above the stellar photosphere is very short, with excess emission strength, and hence the number of stars with observable IR excess reducing by a factor of $\sim 5$ by 100 Myr (Rieke et al., 2005).

Using HIPPARCOS based membership probabilities, we showed in Chapter 2 that $\sim 41 \%$ of B, A and F-type stars in Sco-Cen exhibit a mid IR excess in at least the $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ band, with the corresponding percentage of older field stars being consistent with $0 \%$ (Rizzuto et al., 2012). Other studies of lower mass Sco-Cen stars indicate a similar level of disk prevalence for K and M-type stars: Chen et al. (2011) determine that $32 \pm 5 \%$ of F and G-type Sco-Cen members have either debris or primordial gas disks, and Carpenter et al. (2009) conclude that $\sim 25-35 \%$ of Sco-Cen G and K-type members have excesses greater than $15 \%$ above the photosphere level, with only $\sim 5 \%$ of older, $>200 \mathrm{Myr}$ field G and K-type stars exhibiting a similar excess. The important point is that the presence of a mid-IR excess above the expected stellar photosphere is a strong indicator of the youth of the host stars, and can be used in conduction with the Bayesian kinematic selection to identify the high likelihood candidate Sco-Cen members for priority follow-up and confirmation.

The detection of excesses was done using public WISE mission data (Wright et al., 2010) which are available in an online database. The analysis was done in a way similar to that described in Rizzuto et al. (2012), which is presented above as part of this thesis. The WISE mission data consist of magnitudes in four bands, $\mathrm{W}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{2}, \mathrm{~W}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{4}$, centred on wavelengths $3,4.5,12$ and $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ respectively (Cutri et al., 2011). As in Chapter 2 we construct three colours from the WISE photometry and compare them to the expected linear relationship of the photospheric emission with $J-K$ colour (Carpenter et al., 2006). We fit to this photosphere emission in colour-colour space for each WISE colour described above, removing outliers, which presumably are stars with potential mid IR excesses, in an iterative method (see Chapter 2). Once the
photosphere emission is determined we select those objects in each WISE colour which are more than one-sigma above the photosphere level as displaying an excess. Although the infrared excesses were not deliberately used to prioritise observations, they were available in the observation table when choices were made as to which star to observe, so the excess fraction amongst the incompletely observed sample may not be a robust observable.

## Target Sample and Observations

We drew our targets from our Bayesian selection in a square region of Upper Scorpius bounded by $(l, b)=(343,10)$ and $(360,30)$, which covers the classical extent of the Upper Scorpius subgroup. We selected targets in this region of sky between 10th and 14th magnitude in the APASS V-band, in order to include late G-type to early M-type (M3) Upper Scorpius members. Finally, we excluded all objects with a Bayesian membership probability of $P_{\text {mem }}<5 \%$. This resulted in 2043 objects, with 207 displaying excesses in the mid-IR after the WISE excess analysis was applied. Our target sample table is displayed in Appendix B.


Figure 3.6: Proper motion plot of G, K and M-type potential members selected using our Bayesian selection algorithm. The blue points represent high-mass members taken from Rizzuto et al. (2011) (triangles), while the black arrows represent low-mass stars in our sample.

The initial intention for our survey was to observe Upper Scorpius member candidates with WiFeS, which would confirm membership, while simultaneously identifying wide-orbit gas giant companions; however, due to the increased likelihood of a prolonged period of poor weather on the afternoon of the first observing night in June 2013, we decided to include in the sample some objects already identified as pre-main sequence Sco-Cen members, so as to allow a better characterisation of the selection method and increase the probability of detecting a wide-orbit gas giant. In total, we observed 75 targets in our sample over the course of the first two half-nights of our observing time (19/06/2013 and 09/04/2014). These objects included GSC 6214-0210, GQ-Lup and 1RXSJ1609, the prototypical wide gas giant host, and known accretors.

## The Kepler K2-Field Sample

In early 2014, a collaboration, including Dr. Michael Ireland, Dr. Adam Kraus and the author, submitted a proposal to observe candidate Upper-Scorpius members in the K2 campaign. The proposed candidate members spanned spectral types ranging from A5 to M5, and were chosen from both the Bayesian selection described above, and a complementary selection method developed by Dr. Kraus using a combination of kinematic and photometric distance estimates derived from multiple all-sky catalogues, based on a similar method used previously for the Coma-Ber cluster (Kraus and Hillenbrand, 2007b). In that selection, if a candidate is placed above the main sequence based on the distance estimates, then it is deemed likely to be an Upper-Scorpius member. In the magnitude range where this new sample overlaps with the Bayesian sample described above, we typically have $>90 \%$ of the Bayesian selected stars included in the sample, although this selection method is more conservative and includes a larger number of candidates. The final sample was constructed from both selection methods.

For the second group of observations, spanning the dates 16/06/2014 to 23/06/2014, we used the combined sample, containing stars selected by the Bayesian process, as well as stars submitted in the Kepler K2 proposal, in order to obtain membershipconfirming spectra of as many of these objects as possible. We expected the new Galactic Archeology with HERMES (GALAH) survey, currently being carried out with
the new HERMES multi-object spectrograph at the Anglo Australian Telescope, to observe a large number of the $11<\mathrm{V}<14$ candidates, and so we deferred observing any of these targets until a clear picture of which are left unobserved is available. We thus observed as many targets as possible from the K 2 sample with Kepler interpolated $\mathrm{V}_{J K}$ magnitudes between 13.5 and 14.5.

In periods of marginal weather conditions, such as thin or intermittent cloud cover, we observed targets with Kepler magnitudes brighter than 11th magnitude, and kinematically selected high-mass (B, A, F and G-type) candidates that have poor or absent radial velocity measurements, with the goal of excluding any interlopers from the current high-mass membership. Table C. 1 in Appendix C provides a summary of our spectroscopic observations of candidate G,K and M-type Upper Scorpius members. Analysis of the high-mass member observations is currently ongoing and so discussion of these objects will be left to a later publication.

After learning about 2.3 m telescope operations from the two poor-weather runs at Siding Springs Observatory, the successful WiFeS observations were done remotely from Macquarie University using the TAROS remote user software for the 2.3 m telescope. This allows full control of the telescope systems from a distant location. We took a single 5 minute exposure of each target, using the "stellar" field of view option, which only reads out one half of the CCD for each arm of the WiFeS spectrograph. We also binned every two pixels in the Y-direction, which produces a spatial resolution of $1 \times 1$ arcsecond, as opposed to the standard $1 \times 0.5$ arcsecond resolution. The binning and field of view restriction reduced readout overheads by a factor of four, allowing a single exposure to be read out in less than 20 seconds. This yielded an extremely fast cadence for our observations, and ensuring that we always slewed to nearby targets, we were able to complete observations of a single target in $\sim 6-7$ minutes. We began our observations with 10 bias frames, a flat field, and an arc exposure ( NeCd ), and then continued to take one arc frame every hour throughout the night. In total, we observed $406 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{K}$ and M-type candidate members. The full sample of $\sim 700$ candidate members can be completely observed with spectroscopy over the course of 2015 with moderate time allocation and continued operation of the HERMES (GALAH) survey.

### 3.2.3 Data Reduction

The raw WiFeS data was initially reduced with a pre-existing Python data reduction software package called the "WiFeS PyPeline", which is provided to WiFeS observers. The purpose of the software is to transform the CCD image, which consists of a linear spectrum for each spatial pixel of the WiFeS field of view, into a data cube. This involves bias subtraction, flat-fielding, bad pixel and cosmic ray removal, sky subtraction, wavelength calibration, flux calibration, reformatting into the cube structure, and interpolation across each pixel to produce a single wavelength scale for the entire image. Once this process is complete, the user is left with a single cube for each object observed, with dimensions $25 " \times 38 " \times 3650$ wavelength units. For the grating resolutions and angles used in our observations, we obtained spectral coverage from $3200-5500 \AA$ in increments of $1.3 \AA$ in the blue arm, and $5400-7000 \AA$ in increments of $0.78 \AA$ in the red arm.

Following the standard WiFeS reduction procedure, we continued with a further custom reduction, the aim of which was to measure the centroid position of the target object in each wavelength, such that the presence of an H - $\alpha$-bright planetary mass companion could be detected by the measurement of a wavelength-dependent centroid shift. This consisted of determining a best fit point spread function (PSF) model for the spatial image in a clean section of the spectrum, and then measuring the centroid shift of this PSF at each wavelength along the spectrum. An additional benefit of this is a more accurate sky subtraction, and an integrated spectrum of each object, which can be used to measure equivalent widths of key spectral lines.

We first cut out a 10 " by 10 " wide window ( $10 \times 10$ pixels), centred on the target. The vast majority of the stellar flux is contained within the central 3 " by 3 " region of the windowed image, and so the adopted width of 10 " allows a clear region of background around the target; Figure 3.7b provides an illustration of the data. We then fit a Moffat point spread function (Racine, 1996) to a region of the spectral continuum which does not include any spectral features, but is close to the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line. This region consisted of 400 spectral units, spanning $6368-6544 \AA$. Figure 3.7a displays the spectral region used for the initial PSF fit, as well as the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Li} 6708 \AA$ lines for one target in our
sample, 1RXS J153910.3-264633, which shows strong indications of youth.


Figure 3.7: (a) Example spectrum for object 1RXS J153910.3-264633, a high priority target in our observation sample, which shows signs of youth such as $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission and Li $6708 \AA$ absorption. The region of the continuum used for the initial PSF fitting is bounded by blue lines. (b) Spatial image created for 1RXS J153910.3-264633 by adding the images at each wavelength of the PSF fitting region of the continuum.

The precise model that we fit to the spatial image is given by;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PSF}=\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{F} \frac{\left(2^{\frac{1}{\beta}}-1\right)(\beta-1)}{\pi w^{2}\left(1+\left(2^{\frac{1}{\beta}}-1\right)(\theta / w)^{2}\right)^{\beta}}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where S indicates the sky contribution to the flux, $\beta$ is an integer parameter that determines the strength of the wings of the Moffat PSF, $\theta$ is the distance from the centre of the profile, $w$ is the half width of the Moffat PSF, and F is the stellar flux. Given that we have a two dimensional PSF, and that each dimension has a different Moffat function half width, we require two different values of $w$. We create this two dimensional Moffat profile by scaling $\theta$ appropriately;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=w_{x}^{2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+w_{y}^{2}\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{x}$ and $w_{y}$ are the PSF width parameters in each dimension, $(x, y)$ is the position of a given point on the image, and $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is the image centroid. Inputting this value of $\theta$ into a Moffat function with width $w=1$ will thus produce the desired asymmetric two dimensional profile.

We found that $\beta=4$, a value which describes most telescope PSFs, yielded the closest fit to our data. We also attempted to fit a Gaussian profile to the spatial images, in the same format as the Moffat profile described in equation 3.14; however the Gaussian model produced consistently poorer fits to the data than the Moffat model, particularly in the wings of the PSF, with typical values of $\chi_{r}^{2} \sim 4$ for the Gaussian model fit and $\chi_{r}^{2} \sim 2$ for the Moffat model. On the basis of the goodness of fit difference, we adopted the Moffat model exclusively in our analysis. For each target observed, we used the continuum spectral region between 6368 - $6544 \AA$ to determine the parameters of the Moffat PSF that most closely reproduced the spatial images. We then fixed the half width parameters in each dimension, and fit our PSF model to each individual wavelength element image along the spectrum to determine $S, F$ and the centroid position for each wavelength. This process provides two useful characteristics, the first of which is the integrated spectrum $(F)$ of the target (see Figure 3.8), with the sky component $(S)$ subtracted out, and secondly the centroid position $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, for


Figure 3.8: The full WiFeS integrated spectrum produced by first processing with the WiFeS Pypeline, and then our spectro-astrometric analysis for the star USco 48, a known member of the Upper Scorpius subgroup.
each wavelength, which will be used to search for $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ bright companions.

## Equivalent Widths and Spectral Typing

Using the integrated spectrum of the object $F$, we calculate the equivalent widths of the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ and Li $6708 \AA$ lines in the usual way, namely, by first fitting a continuum flux level $F_{c}$ to the line, and then integration across the spectral line;

$$
\begin{equation*}
E W=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\lambda}}\left(1-\left(F_{i} / F\right)_{c}^{2}\right) \Delta \lambda, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{i}$ is the flux in a given wavelength element, $\Delta \lambda$ is the spectral resolution, and $1<i<n$ runs over the extent of the spectral line. The uncertainty on this measurement is given by;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{E W}^{2}=\sum_{n=i}^{n_{\lambda}} \Delta \lambda^{2}\left(\sigma_{F_{i}}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{F_{c}}\right)^{2}+\sigma_{F_{c}}^{2}\left(\frac{F_{i}}{F_{c}}\right)^{2}\right), \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{F_{i}}$ is the uncertainty in the spectrum, and $\sigma_{F_{c}}$ is the continuum fit uncertainty, which we take to be equal to the signal to noise ratio of the continuum on either side of the spectral line.

We also fit spectral types to our reduced spectra, using the Pickles (1998) spectral library as reference. This library contains low resolution spectra ( $5 \AA$ ) for each spectral type and luminosity class, with spectra spanning a wavelength range of $1150-25000 \AA$. We initially cut the library spectra at the wavelength limits of our observations (4000$7000) \AA$, removing the bluest part of each of our spectra, which is often significantly noisier than the rest of the data. The library spectrum is then interpolated onto the same wavelength scale as the data for comparison. We compare the library spectra to the data by calculating the normalised cross-correlation of the library and data spectra, and repeating the process for each library spectrum. The cross-correlation for a single spectrum is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{r}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{(D(\lambda)-\bar{D})(L(\lambda)-\bar{L})}{\sigma_{D} \sigma_{L}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$ are the data and library spectra, $\sigma_{D}$ and $\sigma_{L}$ are the standard deviations of the two spectra, and $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{L}$ are the average values of the spectra. When calculating the cross-correlation, we introduce a wavelength shift varying from -5 to $5 \AA$, and take the largest calculated cross-correlation for each library spectrum. We manually check that the maximum shifts for the output fits were at most 1 and 2 WiFeS pixels in the blue and red spectra, respectively. Through visual inspection of the estimated spectra, the spectral types listed here are accurate to within one spectral subtype. Table 3.3 lists both the $\operatorname{Li} 6708 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ equivalent widths, and the estimated spectral types of the young stars observed in our survey.

### 3.2.4 Survey Results

We display the Li $6708 \AA$ line equivalent widths for those stars with a lithium line in the 406 stars observed in our survey in Figure 3.9a and the colour magnitude diagram of the new members in Figure 3.9b. As mentioned above, due to the short timescale
over which Li is depleted (D'Antona and Mazzitelli, 1994), the presence of a strong Li line can robustly identify a star as young, and hence part of a young association. We defined any star with a Li $6708 \AA$ equivalent width greater than $0.1 \AA$ to be a young star. This low, but significantly above field, Li threshold is in general keeping with previous surveys, and is justified given the effects of episodic accretion on Li depletion in the latest models (Baraffe and Chabrier, 2010). In total we identify 252 stars as members based on their Li $6708 \AA$ absorption, 232 of which are new.

Table 3.3: Stars with measured Lithium absorption in our survey for new Upper Scorpius members. The first column lists either the UCAC4 catalogue unique source identifier if the object was taken from the Bayesian sample, or the adopted name if the target was taken from the Kepler sample. We also list the the spectral type fits, $\mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Li})$, and $\mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{H} \alpha)$, the equivalent widths of the Li $6708 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ lines respectively. For the object UCAC4-415856526, the spectral type could not be accurately determined due to the poor quality of the data.

|  | R.A. | Decl. | EW $(\mathrm{Li})$ | $\sigma_{E W(L i)}$ | $\mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{H} \alpha)$ | $\sigma_{\text {EW(Li) }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | $(\mathrm{J} 2000.0)$ | $(\mathrm{J} 2000.0)$ | $(\AA)$ | $(\AA)$ | $(\AA)$ | $(\AA)$ | SpT |
| UCAC-365072173 | 153906.96 | -264632.1 | 0.46 | 0.02 | -1.22 | 0.03 | K 7 |
| UCAC-408484365 | 154131.21 | -252036.3 | 0.40 | 0.01 | -2.70 | 0.04 | G 8 |
| UCAC-4549054 | 160200.39 | -222123.9 | 0.66 | 0.04 | -4.23 | 0.05 | M 2 |
| UCAC-4550645 | 160208.45 | -225459.1 | 0.67 | 0.03 | -3.50 | 0.05 | K 7 |
| UCAC-404499023 | 160042.76 | -212738.0 | 0.55 | 0.02 | -2.37 | 0.04 | K 5 |
| UCAC-404500621 | 160040.56 | -220032.2 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.02 | K 3 |
| UCAC-404425413 | 155812.70 | -232836.4 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.02 | G 8 |
| UCAC-404477376 | 155959.95 | -222036.8 | 0.59 | 0.03 | -4.64 | 0.05 | K 7 |
| UCAC-27473795 | 160013.30 | -241810.7 | 0.59 | 0.03 | -2.14 | 0.04 | K 7 |
| UCAC-408765529 | 154921.00 | -260006.3 | 0.44 | 0.02 | -0.09 | 0.02 | K 4 |
| UCAC-1285895575 | 155306.83 | -224717.4 | 0.66 | 0.03 | -4.28 | 0.06 | M 1 |
| UCAC-70157647 | 155502.14 | -214943.5 | 0.48 | 0.02 | -0.46 | 0.03 | K 5 |
| UCAC-1010064430 | 155734.31 | -232112.3 | 0.58 | 0.02 | -6.37 | 0.06 | M 1 |
| UCAC-426546698 | 155716.74 | -252919.3 | 0.67 | 0.04 | -2.44 | 0.07 | K 5 |
| UCAC-404411726 | 155655.46 | -225840.4 | 0.58 | 0.04 | -3.10 | 0.15 | M 0 |
| UCAC-415911029 | 160930.31 | -210458.9 | 0.49 | 0.02 | -0.76 | 0.03 | K 7 |
| UCAC-4644043 | 160521.57 | -182141.2 | 0.47 | 0.02 | -37.96 | 0.15 | K 7 |
| UCAC-415797428 | 160538.16 | -203947.0 | 0.59 | 0.02 | -1.09 | 0.03 | K 5 |
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| UCAC-50927093 | 160643.86 | -19 0805.5 | 0.59 | 0.02 | -8.57 | 0.07 | K7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC-50925875 | 160647.94 | -184143.8 | 0.49 | 0.02 | -0.92 | 0.04 | K5 |
| UCAC-415856526 | 160801.41 | -20 2741.6 | 0.33 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | F2 |
| UCAC-416412009 | 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 0.38 | 0.02 | -0.67 | 0.03 | K4 |
| UCAC-415852329 | 160740.06 | -21 4842.7 | 0.51 | 0.02 | -2.06 | 0.05 | K4 |
| UCAC-39419158 | 161206.68 | -30 1027.1 | 0.55 | 0.03 | -33.77 | 0.14 | M0 |
| UCAC-28097463 | 161533.11 | -27 0758.8 | 0.58 | 0.03 | -2.55 | 0.06 | K5 |
| UCAC-28091657 | 161535.86 | -25 2901.0 | 0.41 | 0.02 | -0.13 | 0.02 | K3 |
| UCAC-416165104 | 161559.86 | -23 2504.5 | 0.49 | 0.03 | -0.80 | 0.04 | K4 |
| UCAC-160814997 | 161651.30 | -24 3327.7 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 3.59 | 0.06 | K1 |
| UCAC-416241839 | 161731.38 | -23 0336.0 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 1.05 | 0.03 | G8 |
| UCAC-416272798 | 161819.98 | -20 0534.9 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 1.24 | 0.04 | G8 |
| UCAC-1312371465 | 154226.21 | -22 4746.0 | 0.46 | 0.04 | -3.08 | 0.07 | K7 |
| UCAC-408606874 | 154509.71 | -25 1243.0 | 0.61 | 0.02 | -2.02 | 0.04 | K7 |
| UCAC-1233680087 | 154710.64 | -1736 24.3 | 0.52 | 0.05 | -4.20 | 0.08 | K7 |
| UCAC-30182208 | 154743.31 | -18 1915.4 | 0.55 | 0.02 | -1.82 | 0.04 | K7 |
| UCAC-408774136 | 154925.09 | -28 4352.8 | 0.54 | 0.03 | -2.39 | 0.05 | M1 |
| UCAC-408935154 | 155403.58 | -29 2015.5 | 0.46 | 0.03 | -1.75 | 0.04 | K7 |
| UCAC-416412009 | 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 0.36 | 0.02 | -0.43 | 0.02 | K5 |
| UCAC-404419461 | 155647.69 | -19 5007.6 | 0.60 | 0.02 | -3.12 | 0.03 | M1 |
| UCAC-4548058 | 160224.61 | -22 0024.8 | 0.72 | 0.04 | -3.92 | 0.07 | K7 |
| UCAC-160764955 | 161602.92 | -24 3054.8 | 0.47 | 0.05 | -1.92 | 0.07 | M0 |
| UCAC-160759135 | 161617.20 | -26 0910.2 | 0.71 | 0.05 | -2.24 | 0.06 | K5 |
| UCAC-416235767 | 161722.98 | -21 2111.9 | 0.60 | 0.02 | -1.45 | 0.05 | K7 |
| UCAC-160911080 | 161914.74 | -25 3231.3 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.59 | 0.02 | K4 |
| UCAC-160921648 | 161931.39 | -25 1812.8 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 0.04 | M0 |
| UCAC-416333148 | 161945.38 | -21 4757.8 | 0.35 | 0.03 | -2.56 | 0.05 | M1 |
| UCAC-416355975 | 162027.24 | -21 2606.9 | 0.52 | 0.04 | -2.51 | 0.05 | M1 |
| UCAC-977055474 | 162045.79 | -28 4920.1 | 0.66 | 0.07 | -5.67 | 0.11 | M0 |
| US-07477 | 162359.02 | -27 3603.8 | 0.47 | 0.02 | -6.44 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-02400 | 155541.41 | -20 4315.1 | 0.64 | 0.01 | -6.10 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-02644 | 155806.95 | -26 2346.6 | 0.44 | 0.02 | -5.24 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-05370 | 161716.50 | -23 2757.1 | 0.61 | 0.02 | -8.59 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-07557 | 162411.77 | -19 5558.3 | 0.51 | 0.01 | -4.78 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-04997 | 161527.51 | -26 2728.1 | 0.46 | 0.04 | -4.09 | 0.06 | M5 |
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| US-05416 | 161729.95 | -24 5103.0 | 0.34 | 0.02 | -6.97 | 0.04 | M3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-07049 | 162254.79 | -21 3809.2 | 0.31 | 0.01 | -5.52 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-06595 | 162148.53 | -25 1726.6 | 0.48 | 0.01 | -1.21 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-06214 | 162032.46 | -22 5745.3 | 0.51 | 0.01 | -7.12 | 0.04 | M3 |
| UCAC-27777972 | 160729.43 | -25 4615.7 | 0.44 | 0.01 | -2.82 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-04100 | 160949.55 | -24 4446.8 | 0.55 | 0.01 | -4.75 | 0.11 | M2 |
| US-06707 | 162205.88 | -21 2155.7 | 0.30 | 0.01 | $-2.57$ | 0.02 | M2 |
| US-08856 | 162733.21 | -28 2109.7 | 0.13 | 0.01 | -4.93 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-02540 | 155703.68 | -23 0448.4 | 0.68 | 0.02 | -9.64 | 0.05 | M5 |
| US-02575 | 155723.92 | -20 5145.4 | 0.60 | 0.02 | -5.06 | 0.05 | M2 |
| US-03279 | 160338.30 | -18 5407.7 | 0.55 | 0.02 | -4.37 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-02451 | 155620.60 | -23 3610.0 | 0.45 | 0.02 | -4.63 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-04880 | 161449.89 | -21 3932.1 | 0.56 | 0.02 | -2.61 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-05791 | 161902.15 | -21 3809.8 | 0.43 | 0.02 | -6.13 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-04767 | 161403.80 | -24 5308.8 | 0.42 | 0.02 | -2.48 | 0.06 | M2 |
| US-04066 | 160935.75 | -21 3805.7 | 0.55 | 0.01 | -3.66 | 0.03 | M3 |
| US-02343 | 155505.13 | -20 2607.7 | 0.40 | 0.02 | -6.85 | 0.05 | M2 |
| US-02461 | 155624.92 | -25 4120.3 | 0.27 | 0.02 | -5.67 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-02355 | 155508.53 | -23 1851.1 | 0.55 | 0.01 | -8.07 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-04053 | 160931.09 | -20 4146.0 | 0.53 | 0.03 | -9.06 | 0.06 | M4 |
| US-06008 | 161948.37 | -22 1251.9 | 0.43 | 0.03 | -16.57 | 0.14 | M3 |
| US-06712 | 162206.58 | -21 2708.9 | 0.53 | 0.02 | -7.31 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-07459 | 162357.24 | -26 2024.5 | 0.33 | 0.02 | -7.36 | 0.05 | M4 |
| US-08026 | 162523.28 | -27 2731.5 | 0.49 | 0.03 | -8.88 | 0.06 | M4 |
| US-05868 | 161916.09 | -29 1512.6 | 0.52 | 0.02 | -4.94 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-06638 | 162155.94 | -27 0503.4 | 0.61 | 0.04 | -7.69 | 0.07 | M5 |
| US-02999 | 160113.99 | -25 1628.2 | 0.48 | 0.04 | -5.88 | 0.15 | M3 |
| US-06011 | 161948.80 | -22 2447.2 | 0.88 | 0.06 | -15.55 | 0.10 | M5 |
| US-04746 | 161356.63 | -24 5756.7 | 0.36 | 0.02 | -5.08 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-04329 | 161117.45 | -24 4120.3 | 0.63 | 0.02 | -5.77 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-08522 | 162634.95 | -25 1140.9 | 0.48 | 0.01 | -1.01 | 0.02 | M2 |
| US-02989 | 160108.97 | -26 4510.5 | 0.47 | 0.05 | -5.38 | 0.19 | M3 |
| US-06482 | 162129.53 | -25 2943.1 | 0.29 | 0.02 | -4.29 | 0.06 | M3 |
| US-05034 | 161536.43 | -26 2209.1 | 0.36 | 0.03 | -4.29 | 0.08 | M2 |
| US-04172 | 161014.45 | -19 5137.7 | 0.63 | 0.02 | -4.90 | 0.04 | M4 |

Continued on next page

| US-04613 | 161309.79 | -20 4459.1 | 0.57 | 0.03 | -6.11 | 0.04 | M4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-04655 | 161321.91 | -21 3613.6 | 0.53 | 0.03 | -21.99 | 0.20 | M2 |
| US-02889 | 160012.17 | -215703.3 | 0.43 | 0.03 | -4.37 | 0.14 | M1 |
| US-02395 | 155539.28 | -20 5307.2 | 0.81 | 0.04 | -6.91 | 0.07 | M5 |
| US-04682 | 161332.79 | -20 4441.4 | 0.48 | 0.02 | -1.96 | 0.03 | M3 |
| US-04721 | 161344.90 | -24 3414.4 | 0.47 | 0.02 | -7.23 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-05405 | 161726.15 | -24 5059.3 | 0.45 | 0.02 | -5.71 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-06004 | 161947.11 | -22 0311.3 | 0.49 | 0.04 | -8.67 | 0.07 | M4 |
| US-02611 | 155742.47 | -25 5135.5 | 0.59 | 0.06 | -9.58 | 0.10 | M4 |
| US-02576 | 155724.55 | -20 3838.2 | 0.52 | 0.04 | -3.48 | 0.09 | M1 |
| US-09976 | 163105.80 | -27 2546.0 | 0.38 | 0.04 | -9.05 | 0.11 | M4 |
| US-10272 | 163156.69 | -28 4612.7 | 0.17 | 0.04 | -9.99 | 0.10 | M4 |
| US-09628 | 162956.63 | -26 5918.2 | 0.52 | 0.03 | -8.00 | 0.08 | M4 |
| US-06412 | 162115.84 | -22 4004.6 | 0.52 | 0.03 | -4.73 | 0.08 | M2 |
| US-08259 | 162557.91 | -26 0037.4 | 0.21 | 0.11 | -0.12 | 0.14 | K3 |
| US-08259 | 162557.91 | -26 0037.4 | 0.37 | 0.03 | -0.08 | 0.04 | M0 |
| US-04527 | 161236.05 | -27 2303.2 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 0.99 | 0.06 | K7 |
| UCAC-416412009 | 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 0.32 | 0.05 | -0.67 | 0.11 | K4 |
| US-07978 | 162516.90 | -23 2203.1 | 0.74 | 0.07 | -3.75 | 0.19 | M2 |
| UCAC-415960223 | 161104.80 | -23 3316.6 | 0.43 | 0.06 | -1.80 | 0.17 | K7 |
| US-03844 | 160804.11 | -26 4044.9 | 0.33 | 0.10 | -7.58 | 0.77 | K7 |
| UCAC-27848808 | 160856.96 | -28 3557.4 | 0.47 | 0.15 | -10.31 | 0.31 | M5 |
| UCAC-27470462 | 155952.70 | -25 2629.2 | 0.38 | 0.03 | -1.63 | 0.10 | M1 |
| US-04973 | 161519.49 | -25 4012.0 | 0.51 | 0.07 | -6.57 | 0.33 | M2 |
| US-04771 | 161407.34 | -22 1732.1 | 0.51 | 0.07 | -4.84 | 0.25 | M1 |
| US-07545 | 162409.43 | -21 3407.6 | 0.49 | 0.27 | -5.02 | 0.70 | M3 |
| US-03292 | 160346.95 | -22 4524.7 | 0.38 | 0.06 | -3.48 | 0.17 | M2 |
| US-04455 | 161205.05 | -20 4340.5 | 0.58 | 0.07 | -12.04 | 0.36 | M2 |
| UCAC-416027053 | 161235.31 | -20 3434.0 | 0.51 | 0.06 | -2.39 | 0.12 | M1 |
| UCAC-1014105644 | 161126.03 | -26 3155.9 | 0.46 | 0.10 | -3.02 | 0.35 | M1 |
| UCAC-415927254 | 160939.70 | -22 0046.6 | 0.57 | 0.07 | -3.23 | 0.18 | M1 |
| UCAC-27567164 | 160301.77 | -26 2621.9 | 0.48 | 0.05 | -3.03 | 0.23 | K3 |
| US-04914 | 161500.60 | -29 1934.9 | 0.49 | 0.07 | -3.57 | 0.15 | M3 |
| US-04956 | 161512.40 | -23 1845.3 | 0.34 | 0.05 | -1.25 | 0.10 | M1 |
| US-04055 | 160931.65 | -22 2922.4 | 0.46 | 0.07 | -4.88 | 0.17 | M2 |
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| US-06727 | 162208.58 | -29 1506.3 | 0.56 | 0.05 | -3.24 | 0.14 | M2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-08117 | 162535.04 | -23 3255.0 | 0.67 | 0.06 | -2.62 | 0.13 | M0 |
| UCAC-450863417 | 162619.98 | -22 3302.5 | 0.48 | 0.03 | -1.24 | 0.04 | M2 |
| UCAC-379516528 | 162727.66 | -28 2150.4 | 0.56 | 0.03 | -5.38 | 0.15 | M2 |
| US-08204 | 162549.26 | -25 5437.2 | 0.47 | 0.02 | -1.40 | 0.03 | K7 |
| UCAC-160960929 | 162528.81 | -26 0753.8 | 0.55 | 0.02 | -2.86 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-07894 | 162502.37 | -23 2144.8 | 0.65 | 0.03 | -3.08 | 0.05 | M2 |
| US-02956 | 160049.73 | -23 3843.2 | 0.60 | 0.02 | -4.16 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-03015 | 160122.34 | -19 3722.3 | 0.45 | 0.02 | -2.59 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-06168 | 162021.64 | -20 0534.8 | 1.07 | 0.25 | -5.90 | 0.28 | M4 |
| US-03964 | 160856.29 | -214848.9 | 0.56 | 0.02 | -3.71 | 0.03 | M2 |
| UCAC-27638513 | 160406.71 | -26 3707.1 | 0.52 | 0.03 | -4.27 | 0.04 | M2 |
| UCAC-416412009 | 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 0.37 | 0.01 | -0.64 | 0.01 | K4 |
| US-07577 | 162415.52 | -25 4434.5 | 0.31 | 0.02 | -0.04 | 0.02 | M1 |
| US-05419 | 161730.32 | -24 3839.0 | 0.58 | 0.03 | -1.72 | 0.05 | M2 |
| US-06291 | 162050.96 | -22 5339.9 | 0.49 | 0.02 | -1.52 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-04951 | 161511.05 | -23 2242.6 | 0.56 | 0.03 | -3.39 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-04862 | 161441.25 | -25 5605.2 | 0.67 | 0.02 | -3.99 | 0.05 | M2 |
| UCAC-1264986286 | 160751.37 | -1718 23.2 | 0.54 | 0.03 | -4.09 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-02441 | 155612.17 | -23 5407.6 | 0.36 | 0.08 | -8.44 | 0.08 | M5 |
| US-02826 | 155938.07 | -26 0323.3 | 0.81 | 0.08 | -16.24 | 0.11 | M5 |
| US-05231 | 161633.46 | -25 5236.8 | 0.53 | 0.02 | -2.84 | 0.04 | M2 |
| UCAC-416124883 | 161452.70 | -23 0802.7 | 0.42 | 0.03 | -4.23 | 0.04 | M3 |
| US-10798 | 163334.97 | -18 3254.0 | 0.17 | 0.05 | -8.47 | 0.21 | M3 |
| UCAC-60508355 | 163438.27 | -28 3550.5 | 0.22 | 0.02 | -3.31 | 0.04 | M2 |
| UCAC-1253739312 | 162357.91 | -26 0229.9 | 0.39 | 0.02 | -1.01 | 0.03 | K7 |
| US-07114 | 162304.74 | -2759 25.3 | 0.37 | 0.01 | -1.83 | 0.02 | K7 |
| UCAC-450721096 | 162244.07 | -21 4222.2 | 0.53 | 0.04 | -3.36 | 0.05 | M4 |
| UCAC-70205421 | 155625.11 | -20 1615.8 | 0.61 | 0.07 | -10.16 | 0.08 | M5 |
| US-04782 | 161410.11 | -22 1723.6 | 0.62 | 0.04 | -3.09 | 0.04 | M4 |
| US-06824 | 162220.93 | -27 4709.5 | 0.58 | 0.02 | -3.88 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-05510 | 161755.02 | -27 1130.4 | 0.46 | 0.02 | -2.95 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-04793 | 161412.59 | -24 5428.8 | 0.49 | 0.02 | -2.06 | 0.03 | K7 |
| US-08483 | 162628.04 | -25 2647.8 | 0.52 | 0.02 | -2.00 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-04690 | 161336.45 | -23 2627.0 | 0.36 | 0.02 | -5.87 | 0.04 | M2 |
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| UCAC-416355791 | 162036.41 | -21 2312.0 | 0.65 | 0.04 | -13.01 | 0.09 | M5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-04482 | 161213.69 | -24 3136.9 | 0.31 | 0.03 | -4.25 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-04198 | 161026.25 | -22 0910.5 | 0.60 | 0.03 | -7.54 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-04046 | 160929.70 | -22 0058.0 | 0.59 | 0.02 | -3.34 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-04292 | 161105.67 | -214403.3 | 0.63 | 0.02 | -6.01 | 0.04 | M2 |
| UCAC-1253766409 | 162418.60 | -28 5447.5 | 0.37 | 0.02 | 0.85 | 0.03 | K3 |
| US-02963 | 160052.72 | -25 2342.6 | 0.46 | 0.07 | -4.11 | 0.10 | M2 |
| UCAC-1253727753 | 162332.34 | -25 2348.5 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.01 | K5 |
| US-03277 | 160337.77 | -184508.3 | 0.56 | 0.04 | -4.65 | 0.08 | M2 |
| US-06412 | 162115.84 | -22 4004.6 | 0.53 | 0.02 | -5.35 | 0.06 | M2 |
| US-04466 | 161208.14 | -25 4757.9 | 0.66 | 0.03 | -6.59 | 0.07 | M3 |
| US-08507 | 162632.77 | -26 2259.0 | 0.58 | 0.12 | -4.48 | 0.15 | M5 |
| US-03251 | 160325.99 | -26 2732.0 | 0.83 | 0.07 | -9.27 | 0.11 | M4 |
| US-04705 | 161338.40 | -24 4331.0 | 0.33 | 0.02 | -10.56 | 0.07 | M3 |
| US-05320 | 161659.84 | -2154 27.3 | 0.17 | 0.02 | -4.22 | 0.05 | M3 |
| US-02674 | 155818.85 | -19 1544.9 | 0.53 | 0.02 | -3.81 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-04524 | 161233.53 | -25 4328.1 | 0.69 | 0.06 | -8.52 | 0.22 | M4 |
| US-06729 | 162208.94 | -2140 37.2 | 0.39 | 0.03 | -4.48 | 0.06 | M3 |
| US-02774 | 155908.65 | -26 0054.5 | 0.49 | 0.05 | -7.27 | 0.35 | M3 |
| US-02878 | 160007.05 | -23 4048.7 | 0.61 | 0.04 | -20.54 | 0.16 | M5 |
| US-08727 | 162709.51 | -26 1854.9 | 0.48 | 0.04 | -8.58 | 0.10 | M5 |
| US-05144 | 161609.47 | -22 4343.8 | 0.39 | 0.02 | -4.15 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-04604 | 161306.28 | -26 0610.8 | 0.38 | 0.03 | -6.11 | 0.07 | M3 |
| UCAC-426463797 | 155529.81 | -25 4450.0 | 0.53 | 0.02 | -1.79 | 0.04 | M2 |
| US-02757 | 155858.21 | -23 0435.2 | 0.60 | 0.03 | -7.71 | 0.19 | M2 |
| US-02957 | 160049.89 | -19 2800.4 | 0.61 | 0.06 | -5.38 | 0.18 | M2 |
| US-03362 | 160418.93 | -24 3039.3 | 0.57 | 0.02 | -24.08 | 0.37 | M2 |
| UCAC-415950434 | 161005.02 | -21 3231.9 | 0.43 | 0.02 | -54.01 | 1.71 | M0 |
| US-04024 | 160920.63 | -22 2205.7 | 0.56 | 0.08 | -16.49 | 0.39 | M5 |
| US-05179 | 161618.94 | -25 4228.7 | 0.61 | 0.04 | -7.34 | 0.14 | M2 |
| US-08419 | 162619.64 | -21 3720.8 | 0.43 | 0.02 | -1.07 | 0.03 | M2 |
| US-07232 | 162324.54 | -17 1727.1 | 0.59 | 0.02 | -5.29 | 0.09 | M2 |
| US-08986 | 162757.94 | -25 2418.7 | 0.32 | 0.03 | -6.06 | 0.13 | M3 |
| US-11299 | 163506.26 | -20 2528.3 | 0.61 | 0.04 | -11.45 | 0.14 | M4 |
| UCAC-496677275 | 163002.76 | -27 2700.5 | 0.42 | 0.04 | -3.65 | 0.19 | M2 |
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| UCAC-160980130 | 162555.41 | -27 2124.3 | 0.73 | 0.04 | -8.91 | 0.23 | M5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-08560 | 162641.21 | -22 0009.5 | 0.35 | 0.02 | -2.80 | 0.08 | M2 |
| US-10821 | 163338.82 | -2150 26.3 | 0.73 | 0.02 | -1.70 | 0.03 | M2 |
| UCAC-416396644 | 162141.27 | -22 1205.6 | 0.54 | 0.04 | -7.42 | 0.15 | M5 |
| US-04648 | 161320.54 | -22 2915.9 | 0.38 | 0.02 | -4.18 | 0.07 | M2 |
| US-06179 | 162024.98 | -2150 24.1 | 0.47 | 0.02 | -4.61 | 0.09 | M2 |
| US-02699 | 155828.56 | -23 3419.1 | 0.42 | 0.02 | -5.07 | 0.13 | M3 |
| US-02412 | 155550.98 | -25 1939.4 | 0.60 | 0.03 | -5.05 | 0.11 | M3 |
| US-10031 | 163115.42 | -26 5715.1 | 0.45 | 0.02 | -2.12 | 0.06 | M2 |
| US-03282 | 160339.22 | -18 5129.4 | 0.59 | 0.03 | -2.28 | 0.14 | M3 |
| US-03080 | 160159.88 | -18 4345.7 | 0.36 | 0.02 | -4.25 | 0.10 | M3 |
| US-02947 | 160043.10 | -24 3050.3 | 0.38 | 0.06 | -10.46 | 1.23 | M4 |
| US-04841 | 161433.64 | -20 0429.9 | 0.55 | 0.02 | -4.44 | 0.08 | M3 |
| US-03305 | 160351.75 | -2140 15.5 | 0.55 | 0.04 | -14.20 | 0.64 | M6 |
| US-11110 | 163435.14 | -26 5803.0 | 0.54 | 0.05 | -27.10 | 0.70 | M5 |
| US-06090 | 162006.16 | -22 1238.5 | 0.60 | 0.03 | -2.49 | 0.06 | M3 |
| US-05108 | 161600.81 | -22 1419.3 | 0.51 | 0.04 | -9.47 | 0.33 | M5 |
| US-02492 | 155642.45 | -20 3934.0 | 0.54 | 0.02 | -3.76 | 0.10 | M3 |
| US-05410 | 161727.69 | -24 2102.6 | 0.55 | 0.04 | -7.42 | 0.66 | M4 |
| US-06012 | 161948.86 | -21 4036.0 | 0.57 | 0.04 | -4.93 | 0.13 | M3 |
| US-08744 | 162712.74 | -250401.8 | 0.53 | 0.02 | -9.14 | 0.12 | M2 |
| US-05390 | 161721.62 | -23 2500.4 | 0.64 | 0.03 | -2.00 | 0.07 | M3 |
| US-07184 | 162317.42 | -2159 06.8 | 0.61 | 0.03 | -5.03 | 0.35 | M3 |
| US-05359 | 161713.81 | -22 5158.4 | 0.58 | 0.04 | -7.15 | 0.20 | M4 |
| US-03235 | 160314.91 | -22 3445.5 | 0.48 | 0.05 | -15.50 | 9.06 | M5 |
| US-02642 | 155806.40 | -23 4041.8 | 0.44 | 0.03 | -3.46 | 0.11 | M4 |
| US-03877 | 160820.79 | -21 3123.5 | 0.70 | 0.04 | -5.62 | 0.19 | M4 |
| US-02762 | 155901.93 | -26 1633.0 | 0.57 | 0.08 | -10.57 | 0.61 | M5 |
| US-02719 | 155836.20 | -19 4613.6 | 0.70 | 0.04 | -4.02 | 0.10 | M4 |
| US-02666 | 155815.71 | -20 2136.9 | 0.35 | 0.07 | -9.36 | 0.31 | M5 |
| US-02479 | 155634.26 | -20 0333.3 | 0.63 | 0.06 | -12.93 | 0.35 | M5 |
| US-03031 | 160129.03 | -25 0906.9 | 0.34 | 0.03 | -129.46 | 13.94 | M4 |
| US-03718 | 160714.03 | -17 0242.5 | 0.71 | 0.04 | -3.70 | 0.09 | M4 |
| US-03131 | 160223.57 | -22 5933.3 | 0.49 | 0.09 | -13.91 | 1.23 | M6 |
| US-04892 | 161452.45 | -25 1352.3 | 0.16 | 0.03 | -6.54 | 0.50 | M3 |
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| US-04326 | 161116.87 | -26 3933.1 | 0.60 | 0.05 | -5.85 | 0.20 | M5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC-27891415 | 161003.12 | -27 2839.7 | 0.64 | 0.05 | -7.64 | 0.19 | M5 |
| US-04109 | 160952.88 | -24 4153.6 | 0.51 | 0.06 | -15.07 | 0.44 | M5 |
| US-05135 | 161608.56 | -20 4151.4 | 0.56 | 0.03 | -3.81 | 0.10 | M4 |
| US-04491 | 161217.24 | -28 3908.2 | 0.61 | 0.06 | -7.14 | 0.58 | M3 |
| US-06094 | 162006.86 | -22 4732.1 | 0.52 | 0.04 | -8.63 | 0.21 | M5 |
| UCAC-450863417 | 162619.98 | -22 3302.5 | 0.34 | 0.04 | -4.53 | 0.09 | M4 |
| US-04317 | 161113.95 | -20 1918.8 | 0.65 | 0.04 | -12.08 | 0.36 | M4 |
| US-08100 | 162532.74 | -26 1138.6 | 0.72 | 0.06 | -8.74 | 0.34 | M5 |
| US-10489 | 163235.87 | -16 1257.8 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.02 | M2 |
| US-08420 | 162619.96 | -22 5809.8 | 0.60 | 0.03 | -3.06 | 0.07 | M3 |
| US-04235 | 161039.78 | -20 3709.4 | 0.63 | 0.02 | -4.87 | 0.35 | M3 |
| US-05067 | 161547.33 | -19 1118.5 | 0.57 | 0.02 | -5.08 | 0.12 | M2 |
| US-04704 | 161338.34 | -215851.9 | 0.43 | 0.04 | -4.62 | 0.12 | M4 |
| US-04930 | 161506.21 | -25 0046.0 | 0.56 | 0.05 | -8.56 | 0.47 | M5 |
| US-07445 | 162355.09 | -23 3039.7 | 0.53 | 0.02 | -3.48 | 0.08 | M3 |
| US-09249 | 162846.05 | -27 1157.5 | 0.33 | 0.02 | -2.52 | 0.08 | M2 |
| US-05018 | 161532.20 | -20 1023.7 | 0.60 | 0.02 | -11.06 | 0.15 | M2 |
| US-10799 | 163335.04 | -27 1544.8 | 0.35 | 0.03 | -3.86 | 0.25 | M3 |
| US-05992 | 161943.10 | -22 1617.6 | 0.69 | 0.05 | -2.78 | 0.10 | M4 |
| US-05338 | 161706.06 | -22 2541.5 | 0.31 | 0.04 | -4.78 | 0.18 | M5 |
| US-06668 | 162159.76 | -27 0636.6 | 0.51 | 0.06 | -5.34 | 0.18 | M5 |
| US-08198 | 162548.09 | -21 5419.5 | 0.42 | 0.06 | -4.53 | 0.42 | M3 |
| US-03116 | 160214.89 | -24 3832.6 | 0.66 | 0.09 | -15.33 | 0.46 | M5 |
| US-03311 | 160354.05 | -25 0939.4 | 0.59 | 0.06 | -7.55 | 0.32 | M5 |
| US-03161 | 160244.48 | -25 4332.3 | 0.45 | 0.04 | -8.79 | 1.50 | M3 |
| US-06485 | 162129.62 | -21 2903.8 | 0.93 | 0.09 | -13.95 | 0.47 | M5 |
| US-04616 | 161310.09 | -24 3524.8 | 0.65 | 0.06 | -7.67 | 0.33 | M4 |
| US-03977 | 160900.52 | -27 4519.4 | 0.80 | 0.18 | -11.25 | 0.62 | M5 |
| US-11534 | 163545.74 | -27 1116.6 | 0.26 | 0.02 | -8.03 | 2.47 | M2 |

Table 3.3 lists the measured equivalent widths and estimated spectral types for the young stars identified. Given the number of new members discovered, we estimate a conservative member identification rate of $\sim 50 \%$. Of the targets observed for which


Figure 3.9: (a) This plot displays the equivalent width of the Li $6708 \AA$ line against V-K colour for the stars observed in our survey. Those stars with measured Li $6708 \AA$ equivalent widths more than 1- $\sigma$ above a threshold value of $0.1 \AA$ were deemed to be young association members, and are plotted in blue. (b) The (J-K,K) colour-magnitude diagram for the Upper Scorpius members identified among the potential members observed in our survey. The solid line represent a 6 Myr Padova isochrone (Girardi et al., 2002) at the mean distance of the Upper Scorpius subgroup ( $\sim 130 \mathrm{pc}$ ), the dashed blue line is the same isochrone reddened to $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V})=0.2$ using the Savage and Mathis (1979) extinction law.
we calculated Bayesian membership probabilities (103 in total), 68 were classified as members of Upper-Scorpius based on Lithium $6708 \AA$ line strength. Based on the Bayesian probabilities, we expected 76 members among the 103 observed stars. These numbers do not completely agree and have a spread of $\sim 1$ times the Poisson counting error. This is likely due to an over-estimated prior. We explained above that the prior used was $0.015_{-0.008}^{+0.004}$; using an adjusted prior of 0.012 , which is within the error on our prior estimation, produces complete agreement between the Bayesian selection and the observed number of members. The list of membership probabilities in Appendix B provides the corrected probabilities computed with the adjusted prior.

### 3.2.5 Spectro-astrometric Companion Detection

In addition to computing an integrated spectrum of each object observed with WiFeS, we also determine the spectro-astrometric centroid position of the image in each wavelength along the spectrum. Figure 3.10 displays an example centroid position shift as a function of wavelength for the region around the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line for the star UCAC4404477376 (USco 48), a star without a detected companion. If a star hosts an accreting companion, including both stellar and planetary companions, we would expect the spatial image centroid position to shift in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ when compared to the surrounding wavelengths. As mention above, based on contrast ratios of massive gas giant planets ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{M}_{\text {Jup }}$ ) orbiting young stars at wide orbits ( $>100 \mathrm{AU}$ ), we expected to be able to detect, using spectro-astrometry, the companion of stars like GSC 6214-0210, the companion of which is a massive accreting gas giant with a $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ contrast of $\sim 5: 1$ and an orbital separation of $>2$ arcseconds.


Figure 3.10: Centroid position shift in X and Y directions on the WiFeS detector at wavelengths surrounding the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line, for the star UCAC4-404477376. The black/blue lines are the X and Y positions respectively. Note that there is no significant centroid shift at any wavelength for this object.

| Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { R.A. } \\ \text { (J2000.0) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decl. } \\ (\mathrm{J} 2000.0) \end{gathered}$ | EW(H- $\alpha$ ) | X <br> (") | Y <br> (") | P.A. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\rho$ <br> r | (") |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US-10798 | 163334.97 | -18 3254.0 | $-8.47 \pm 0.21$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.14 \pm 0.05$ | $180 \pm 5$ | $0.18 \pm 0.10$ | $3.0 \pm 0.5$ | PC |
| US-07477 | 162359.02 | -27 3603.8 | $-6.44 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.066 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.03 \pm 0.1$ | $30 \pm 15$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | PC |
| US-05179 | 161618.94 | -25 4228.7 | $-7.34 \pm 0.14$ | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.104 \pm 0.004$ | $233 \pm 16$ | ... | $\ldots$ | PC |
| US-02492 | 155642.45 | -20 3934.0 | $-3.76 \pm 0.10$ | $0.115 \pm 0.005$ | $0.11 \pm 0.02$ | $136 \pm 5$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.7$ | PC |
| US-03031 | 160129.03 | -25 0906.9 | $-129.46 \pm 13.94$ | $-0.20 \pm 0.01$ | $0.004 \pm 0.005$ | $1 \pm 5$ | ... | $1 \pm 0.5$ | S |
| US-03362 | 160418.93 | -24 3039.3 | $-24.08 \pm 0.37$ | $0.035 \pm 0.008$ | $0.085 \pm 0.006$ | $122 \pm 12$ | ... | $\ldots$ | S |
| US-03187 | 160258.45 | -25 4529.8 | $-5.48 \pm 0.05$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.032 \pm 0.006$ | $199 \pm 15$ | ... | $\ldots$ | S |
| UCAC4-50927093 | 16643.86 | -19 85.55 | $-8.57 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.108 \pm 0.012$ | $-0.082 \pm 0.013$ | $217.5 \pm 5.5$ | ... | ... | S |

Table 3.4: Stars in our WiFeS membership survey sample for which a significant spectro-astrometric centroid shift was measured in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line. We tabulate the centroid shifts in X and Y , as well as the resultant companion position angle. Where detection of the companion is possible in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ spatial image, we also fit separations $(\rho)$ and contrast ratios (r). The final column indicates whether the companion is a planetary-mass candidate in need of follow-up confirmation (PC) or a stellar companion (S).


Figure 3.11: Centroid shifts and grids of possible contrast-separation values for three low-mass candidate companions to new Upper-Scorpius members US-03187, US-07477, and US-5179. Given the lack of any lithium absorption for US-03187, the companion is expected to be stellar.

When identifying a significant centroid shift, we first fit a linear function to the systematic centroid position as a function of wavelength, to remove any drift in the


Figure 3.12: Centroid shifts and $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ images for two spatially resolvable planetary mass candidate companions to new Upper-Scorpius members US-10798 and US-02492. (a), (c): The centred position shifts for the two stars respectively. (b): The centroid shift, and the WiFeS spatial image for US-10798 in a 5 spectral element band centred on the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line. The companion is clearly seen 3 " below the primary on the image. (d): The corresponding H- $\alpha$ image for US-02492 with the fitted PSF of the primary subtracted away to reveal the companion.
centroid position that may be caused by chromatic instrumental behaviour. We then calculate the RMS noise in the centroid position for both the X and Y directions. For the star given as an example in Figure 3.10, the RMS noise was 0.014 pixels and 0.017 pixels in the X and Y directions respectively. We then identify centroid shifts as a shift greater than twice the measured noise in a $10 \AA$ bandpass around the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line. In our sample of stars, we detected companions associated with 8 of the targets in our sample on the basis of significant spectro-astrometric centroid shifts in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line. These objects, the corresponding centroid shifts, and any estimated companion parameters are tabulated in Table 3.4.


Figure 3.13: Spectro-astrometrically detected companions to US-03031 and US-03362 which were determined to be stellar companions due to their $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line profiles, which show evidence of superposition of two similar brightness sources, or complexity due to winds and jets.

We further analyse the companion detections by applying the image PSF for each star, produced previously, during the spectro-astrometric analysis as a template star image. The general procedure involves creating a series of synthetic images at the calculated position angle, and at different separations and contrasts. We then apply the centroid fitting procedure detailed above and compare the centroid shifts of the synthetic images to the measured shifts from the data.

The first step is to determine a noise model for the spatial images, which can be used to construct the noise for the synthetic images. We do this by fitting a linear model to the noise array of the spatial image as a function of image pixel counts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=S a+c, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $c$ are the fitted parameters, $N$ is the noise array, and $S$ is the image array. Typically, the noise model produces synthetic uncertainties within $5 \%$ of the expected values. Figure 3.16 displays the data and model noise spatial values for the star UCAC4-50927093.

With the noise model determined, we then build synthetic images by adding shifted and scaled Moffat PSF's at different separation and contrast. For each point on the
contrast-separation grid, the primary PSF is first placed at the centre of the synthetic image, and then the secondary is scaled by the contrast ratio and shifted by the separation value in the direction of the position angle. Note that the background term in the fitted PSF is only added once. We use a separation grid of $0.2-3$ " in steps of $0.066^{\prime \prime}$, and contrast ratios of $0-1$ in steps of 0.03 . We display grids of the most likely values of contrast and separation computed as described above for our companion detections in Figure 3.11 for US-03187, US-07477 and US-05179. In addition to producing a measurable centroid shift, the companion to US-10798 was clearly resolvable in the spatial images. We coadded spatial images for a 5 spectral-element band centred on the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line, and then fitted separation and contrast ratio to the image. Figure 3.12 b displays the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ image. Similarly, for US-02492, we coadded spectral-elements and then subtracted the primary PSF determined in the original spectro-astrometric analysis to reveal the companion. The result of this can be seen in Figure 3.12d. The companions to US-03031 and US-03362 display clearly complex H- $\alpha$ lines, implying multiple stellar objects with significant accretion or complex winds and jets, and hence we designate them as stellar companions. Figure 3.13 displays the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ region spectra for these object. Finally, we discuss UCAC-50927093 individually below, because the companion has been observed previously in the literature and hence it provides a useful test case for the analysis.

To definitively determine whether or not these companions are in the planetarymass range, high-resolution follow-up observations with, for example, adaptive optics and aperture masking observations on 8 m class telescopes is required. This is because the centroid shift could also be produced by a close stellar companion, or a stellar companion orbiting an accreting primary. The star US-03187 did not display any measurable Li absorption, and so the centroid shift is expected to be caused by the latter scenario.

## UCAC4-50927093

UCAC4-50927093 (USco J160643.8-190805) is a known late-type member of UpperScorpius, with a Li 6708 equivalent width of $0.59 \pm 0.01 \AA$ and a $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ equivalent width
of $\sim 8.6 \AA$. Figure 3.14 displays the centroid position shift for this Sco-Cen member and the spectrum. We measured centroid shifts in (X,Y) to be ( $-0.108,-0.082$ ) pixels, with RMS noise of (0.012 and 0.013).


Figure 3.14: (a) Spectrum of UCAC4-50927093 in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ and Li $6708 \AA$ region. (b) Centroid position shift in X and Y directions on the WiFeS detector at wavelengths surrounding the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line, for the star UCAC4-50927093. The black/blue lines are the X and Y positions respectively. The estimated noise in the X and Y directions is 0.012 pixels and 0.013 pixels respectively, and the centroid shift at the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line is -0.108 and -0.082 pixels in X and Y .

The star has previously been observed with adaptive optics and aperture masking, with no companion detections between 0.3-1.0 arcseconds (Kraus et al., 2008, Ireland et al., 2011b). This indicates that the companion detected here is most likely a very close stellar companion closer than $\sim 500$ mas. Furthermore, we searched the Gemini archives and discovered observations of this source with the Gemini Near-IR Imager (NIRI); the image is shown in Figure 3.15. While this thesis was in preparation, a publication presenting this companion, and others was released which quoted a separation of $247 \pm 1$ mas and position angle of $222.68 \pm 0.12$ degrees for this system (Lafrenière et al., 2014).

The position angle of the companion can be directly calculated from the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ centroid shift in X and Y , and is found to be $217.5 \pm 5.5$ degrees, which agrees with the NIRI imaging value from mid-2008 within $1-\sigma$. While the position angle of the binary


Figure 3.15: NIRI@Gemini image of UCAC4-50927093 taken in July 2008. The measured separation and position angle are $247 \pm 1$ mas and $222.67 \pm 0.12$ degrees respectively. The system had a K-band contrast of 0.22 or K magnitude difference of $\sim 1.7$ (Lafrenière et al., 2014).
system can be directly calculated from the centroid shift, the separation and contrast ratio are highly degenerate. This is because multiple combinations of contrast $r$, and angular separation $\rho$, can produce the observed X and Y pixel centroid shifts. This degeneracy precludes definitive estimation of these parameters; however we can produce a set of possible values of contrast and angular separation by modelling the centroid shift behaviour of the data as a function of these parameters.

Figure 3.16c presents the most likely values of contrast and separation calculated from the synthetic images. We find a large span of possible contrast and separation values. Separations consistent with the previously measured values of $247 \pm 1$ mas are possible, and exhibit large $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ contrast ratios greater than 0.6 (secondary to primary).

## The Planetary Companion to GSC-6214-0210

GSC-6214-00210 (GSC-6214), as explained above, is the prototype host for the wide, massive gas-giant planets that we intend to study with WiFeS. We observed GSC-62140210 with the WiFeS IFU on four dates, 19/06/2013, 09/04/2014, 16/06/2014 and 17/06/2014, during our observing campaign to identify new Upper Scorpius members. The companion, GSC-6214-0210b, is expected to be detected in H-alpha due to its wide

(a) Real Noise

(b) Synthetic Noise

(c) Grid Value Results

Figure 3.16: ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) The uncertainty in the spatial image array taken from the data (a) and the generated uncertainty built from the fitted noise model (b). Both images are unscaled. Grid of contrast and separation for the UCAC4-50927093 primary and secondary. (c) Grid of contrast and separation for the UCAC4-50927093 primary and secondary. Brighter colour indicates that the synthetic centroid shifts at a given contrast-separation point more closely match the measured centroid shifts. The contrast ratios here are listed as secondary to primary.
orbit of $\sim 2$ ". Interestingly, we do not detect GSC-6214-0210b in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ spectroastrometry at any level, and we measure a significantly lower than expected, and variable, equivalent width of $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ for the system. The single previous $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width was $-1.51 \AA$ (Preibisch et al., 1998). Table 3.5 lists the Li $6708 \AA$ and H- $\alpha$ equivalent widths for our four observations. Over the four observations, the measured Li $6708 \AA$ equivalent width was measured to be $0.37 \pm 0.01 \AA$, and showed a very
small scatter of less than one sigma. These measurements agree with the discovery measurement of $\sim 0.38 \AA$ (Preibisch et al., 1998).

| Date | $\mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Li})$ | $\mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{H}-\alpha)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19 / 06 / 2013$ | $0.38 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.64 \pm 0.02$ |
| $09 / 04 / 2014$ | $0.36 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.43 \pm 0.02$ |
| $16 / 06 / 2014$ | $0.32 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.67 \pm 0.10$ |
| $17 / 06 / 2014$ | $0.38 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.63 \pm 0.02$ |

Table 3.5: Equivalent width measurements for the four observations of GSC-6214. The Li $6708 \AA$ equivalent widths are all consistent and agree with the discovery values of $0.38 \AA$ of Preibisch et al. (1998); however the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent widths vary significantly over the four nights. Note that the observations on the night of $16 / 06 / 2014$ was taken in poor weather conditions, which is reflected in the uncertainty.


Figure 3.17: Spectro-astrometric companion detection limits (1 and 3- $\sigma$ ) for the three observations of GSC-6214 which were not in poor weather conditions, determined using synthetic PSFs and noise models to compute model centroid shifts. The detection limits in this figure are computed for the expected position angle of the companion of $\sim 176^{\circ}$ (Ireland et al., 2011b).

We also compute companion detection limits based on the spatial image centroid position and using the PSF and noise model described above. These are presented in Figure 3.17. We find that at the expected $\sim 2 "$ separation and position angle of $176^{\circ}$ (Ireland et al., 2011b), the $3-\sigma$ detection contrast limits are all better than 10:1, and typically better than 20:1, which is significantly lower than the expected contrast of

5-10:1 observed in previous studies.


Figure 3.18: The four spectra of GSC-6214 that we have taken in 2013-2014 focussing on the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ and Li $6708 \AA$ region in (a) and more closely focussed on $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ in (b). Note that the region between $6675-6680 \AA$ has been masked out in the 2013-06-19 spectrum to remove a data reduction artefact resulting from non-ideal atmospheric conditions during observation.

As seen in Table 3.5, there is significant variation in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line equivalent widths, which is accompanied by a slight change in the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ line profile. This can be seen in the stacked spectra in Figure 3.18. The original and last observations show a distinct difference to the second observation in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$.

Near-IR adaptive optics observations of GSC-6214b in 2011 have revealed multiple signs of youth and strong Paschen- $\beta$ emission (1282 nm), indicating the presence of, and accretion from, a circumplanetary disk surrounding the companion planet with a luminosity of $\log L_{P a_{\beta}} / L_{\odot}=-6.14 \pm 0.08$ (Bowler et al., 2011). More recently Zhou et al. (2014) used HST photometry and PSF subtraction to measure a H- $\alpha$ luminosity of $\log L_{H_{\alpha}} / L_{\odot}=-5.03$ for the companion GSC 6214-0210b. Applying the relations between accretion and line luminosity of Rigliaco et al. (2012), these measurements produce an accretion luminosity of $\log L_{a c c} / L_{\odot}=-4.56 \pm 0.31$ and $4.6 \pm 0.5$ respectively.

The lack of a significant centroid shift in the spectro-astrometry we present, combined with the decrease in measured $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width from $-1.51 \AA$ to $<0.65 \AA$, suggests the possibility that the rate of accretion of material from the circumplanetary
disk onto the companion GSC-6214b has significantly slowed since the previous observations. To produce similar $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ and accretion luminosities as the above studies, we would require a change in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width of at least $0.4 \AA$. When compared to the original H- $\alpha$ measurement by Preibisch et al. (1998), we see that from July 1997 to June 2014, there has been a decline in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width of $0.9 \pm 0.1 \AA$. This also indicates that during the period of 2011-2012, accretion onto GSC 6214-0210b was most likely declining. Table 3.6 provides an overview of the accretion measurements over time.

| GSC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6214-0210b Accretion Timescale |  |  |
| Date | Observation | Accretion? |
| July 1997 | Preibisch et al. (1998) | Y |
| July 2010 | Bowler et al. (2011) | Y |
| Feb 2012 | Zhou et al. (2014) | Y |
| June 2013 | This Thesis | N |
| June 2014 | This Thesis | N |

Table 3.6: Accretion measurements over time for GSC 6214-0210b.


Figure 3.19: SNIFS spectrum of the primary GSC 6214-0210a. Panel (a) shows the full wavelength range, while (b) shows the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ line for GSC $6214-0210$. Despite the low spectral resolution ( $2.93 \AA$ ), an $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width of the primary can be calculated ( $0.6 \pm 0.2$ ), which agrees with our measurement for the system, and is consistent with termination of accretion onto the companion GSC 6214-0210b.

We have also obtained the SNIFS spectrum of the primary GCS 6214-0210a from Bowler et al. (2011), which can be seen in Figure 3.19. From this low resolution spectrum, we find that the $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width of the primary is $0.6 \pm 0.2 \AA$. This is consistent with our WiFeS measurements, and provides further evidence that the secondary GSC 6214-0210b has stopped accreting.

## 4

## Long-Baseline Interferometric Multiplicity

## Survey of Sco-Cen

In this chapter, we present the first multiplicity-dedicated long baseline optical interferometric survey of the Sco-Cen association, using the Sydney University Stellar Interferometer (SUSI). This work was published in MNRAS as Rizzuto et al. (2013b), the electronic version of which can be found online. Since the publication of this paper, the analysis has been updated, additional SUSI, and PIONIER data from VLTI have been taken on many of the targets, and the data have been re-calibrated. One of the closest, high contrast detections ( $\tau$-Sco) was subsequently determined to be an artefact of calibration, and follow-up observations are described as part of a following chapter (Chapter 5) to be submitted as a refereed paper. We have also removed the detection limits for additional companions for those systems with detected companions
from Table 4.1, as the methodology for this was not described in the original paper and was over-optimistic.

### 4.1 Introduction

Multiplicity properties of recently formed stars can provide valuable insight into the understanding of star formation mechanisms (Blaauw, 1991). For more than a decade it has been widely accepted that at least half of all solar-type stars form in pairs (Mathieu, 1994, Raghavan et al., 2010), though multiple systems are still a relatively poorly understood part of star formation. One particular unknown aspect is the role of multiplicity in the redistribution of angular momentum during star formation (Larson, 2010). Observations have also revealed that $70-90 \%$ of stars form in clusters (Lada and Lada, 2003).

Detailed knowledge of the multiplicity of a primordial stellar population would be the ideal. This would be a population of stars whose formation precesses have finished and which have stopped accreting gas from their surroundings, but before dynamical interactions and stellar evolution have altered the multiplicity distribution. Stellar OB associations are the closest match to these conditions, by virtue of their low density and youth, and provide a large sample of young, newly formed stars for multiplicity study.

We discussed in Chapter 1 that there has been significant progress in characterising the Sco-Cen binary population in both the visual binary range (28-1500 AU) (Shatsky and Tokovinin, 2002, Kouwenhoven et al., 2005) and in the spectroscopic regime (Levato et al., 1987, Brown and Verschueren, 1997, Jilinski et al., 2006). Between these two separation ranges, there is the understudied 1-10 AU separation range, spanning a regime where radial velocity measurements are not possible with current instrumentation. Binary systems with these separations include rapidly rotating and possibly pulsating B-type stars, and cover a regime in which radial velocity measurements are not possible with current instrumentation. The purpose of this Chapter is to present a survey of the Sco-Cen association for binary separations within this niche using the

Sydney University Stellar Interferometer, and to use our new observations, in conjunction with the knowledge in the literature, to determine the multiplicity properties of the young B-type stars in Sco-Cen. This will address the question of whether B-type stars form alone or as part of a double or multiple system.

### 4.2 Observations and Data Reduction

### 4.2.1 Target Sample

Our aim was to observe all stars within the area of sky occupied by Sco-Cen which were brighter than $5^{\text {th }}$ apparent visual magnitude and bluer than $B-V=-0.1$ magnitudes. There are 75 stars which fit these criteria, and of these we observed 58. The spatial distribution and proper motion of our observed targets, in relation to the Rizzuto et al. (2011) membership, is shown in Figure 4.1. The decision to observe all stars within the given colour and magnitude range, rather than just the 52 members in the Rizzuto et al. (2011) selection, was motivated in two ways. Firstly, the presence of undetected binarity can affect the Hipparcos proper motions upon which the membership determination was based (de Zeeuw et al., 1999). Hipparcos measurements were carried out over a period of 3.3 years. Hence, unresolved binary systems, especially those with periods greater than 3.3 years, can affect the observed centre of motion. The typical magnitude of this error has been shown to be $\sim 2{\text { mas } \mathrm{yr}^{-1} \text { (Wielen et al., }}_{\text {(W }}$ 1997), which is larger than the average Hipparcos proper motion uncertainty. Secondly, bright, blue high-mass stars in the region of space which is considered to be ScoCen are young and almost certainly formed as part of the association and have since undergone dynamical changes which affect a kinematic based membership. Indeed, applying our above magnitude and colour filter to the Hipparcos catalog bounded by $\left(285^{\circ}<l<360^{\circ}\right)$ and $\left(-10^{\circ}<b<40^{\circ}\right)$ clearly depicts a concentration of the bluest stars in the Sco-Cen subgroups and a paucity outside of these regions (Fig 4.1a). Similarly, Figure 4.1b demonstrates that none of the high-mass stars in the Sco-Cen region of the sky have large offsets from the expected member proper motions. Table
4.1 lists all stars observed with SUSI and the corresponding detection limits.

Table 4.1: List of observed stars and detection limits ( $\Delta m$ ) in different angular separation ranges. The spectral types are taken from the Henry Draper catalogue. We have omitted detection limits for those objects with a detected companion.

| Star | HIP | HR | SpT | $\begin{gathered} 7-10 \\ \text { (mags) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10-13 \\ (\mathrm{mags}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13-17 \\ \text { (mags) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17-20 \\ (\mathrm{mags}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20-80 \\ (\mathrm{mags}) \end{gathered}$ | 80-100 <br> (mags) | $\begin{gathered} 100-150 \\ (\mathrm{mags}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150-200 \\ (\mathrm{mags}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-Sco | 79404 | 6028 | B3 | 1.53 | 2.92 | 2.98 | 2.96 | 2.73 | 2.21 | 1.62 | 0.84 |
| 3 -Cen | 67669 | 5210 | B5 | 2.54 | 3.67 | 3.71 | 3.69 | 3.45 | 2.97 | 2.29 | 1.70 |
| 4 -Cen | 67786 | 5221 | B5 | 1.98 | 3.19 | 3.21 | 3.13 | 2.95 | 2.44 | 1.86 | 1.18 |
| 4-Lup | 76945 | 5839 | B5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\delta$-Sco | 78401 | 5953 | B0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G-Cen | 60710 | 4732 | B3 | 2.68 | 3.22 | 2.90 | 3.29 | 3.06 | 2.66 | 2.17 | 1.45 |
| a-Cen | 70300 | 5378 | B5 | 2.20 | 3.14 | 3.11 | 3.11 | 2.91 | 2.48 | 1.86 | 1.30 |
| $\alpha$-Lup | 71860 | 5469 | B2 | 2.86 | 2.42 | 2.94 | 3.19 | 3.01 | 2.68 | 2.24 | 1.45 |
| $\alpha$-Mus | 61585 | 4798 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b-Cen | 71865 | 5471 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta$-Cru | 62434 | 4853 | B1 | 2.29 | 1.97 | 2.33 | 2.61 | 2.47 | 2.12 | 1.60 | 0.85 |
| $\beta$-Lup | 73273 | 5571 | B2p | 3.08 | 4.05 | 4.04 | 4.09 | 3.79 | 3.24 | 2.65 | 2.11 |
| $\beta$-Mus | 62322 | 4844 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\chi$-Cen | 68862 | 5285 | B3 | 2.11 | 3.10 | 3.20 | 3.08 | 2.91 | 2.41 | 1.79 | 1.21 |
| $\delta$-Cen | 59196 | 4618 | B5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\delta$-Cru | 59747 | 4656 | B3 | 3.38 | 3.03 | 3.44 | 3.68 | 3.52 | 3.12 | 2.69 | 1.90 |
| $\delta$-Lup | 75141 | 5695 | B2 | 2.44 | 3.37 | 3.35 | 3.27 | 3.15 | 2.70 | 2.01 | 1.53 |
| d-Lup | 76371 | 5781 | B3 | 2.19 | 3.15 | 3.12 | 2.99 | 2.93 | 2.47 | 1.82 | 1.31 |
| e-Lup | 74449 | 5651 | B3 | 1.96 | 3.04 | 3.01 | 2.94 | 2.83 | 2.30 | 1.73 | 1.12 |
| $\epsilon$-Cen | 66657 | 5132 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\epsilon$-Lup | 75264 | 5708 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\eta$-Cen | 71352 | 5440 | B3p | 3.12 | 4.14 | 4.22 | 4.28 | 3.86 | 3.35 | 2.76 | 2.10 |
| $\eta$-Lup | 78384 | 5948 | B3 | 3.24 | 3.96 | 4.01 | 4.04 | 3.76 | 3.20 | 2.64 | 1.98 |
| f -Cen | 63945 | 4940 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma$-Lup | 76297 | 5776 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma$-Mus | 61199 | 4773 | B5 | 2.48 | 1.81 | 2.98 | 2.18 | 2.92 | 2.61 | 2.29 | 1.66 |
| ... | 57851 | 4549 | B5 | 2.67 | 1.54 | 3.29 | 2.90 | 3.34 | 2.98 | 2.53 | 1.88 |
| ... | 59173 | 4618 | B5 | 3.22 | 3.41 | 3.11 | 3.65 | 3.46 | 3.02 | 2.56 | 1.90 |
| ... | 62327 | 4848 | B3 | 2.92 | 2.59 | 2.98 | 3.23 | 3.09 | 2.70 | 2.28 | 1.56 |
| ... | 72800 | 5543 | B8 | 1.47 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.53 | 2.03 | 1.42 | 0.65 |
| $\cdots$ | 78655 | 5967 | B5 | 1.71 | 2.98 | 3.01 | 3.00 | 2.78 | 2.25 | 1.65 | 0.88 |
| $\iota$-Lup | 69996 | 5354 | B3 | 3.13 | 4.06 | 4.04 | 3.98 | 3.88 | 3.29 | 2.68 | 2.06 |
| j-Cen | 57669 | 4537 | B5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\kappa$-Cen | 73334 | 5576 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\kappa$-Sco | 86670 | 6580 | B2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xi^{2}$-Cen | 64004 | 4942 | B3 | 3.04 | 3.50 | 3.27 | 3.58 | 3.36 | 2.99 | 2.43 | 1.77 |
| $\lambda$-Cru | 63007 | 4897 | B3 | 2.99 | 2.55 | 3.08 | 3.33 | 3.18 | 2.85 | 2.32 | 1.55 |


| $\lambda$-Lup | 74117 | 5626 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu 01$-Cru | 63003 | 4898 | B3 | 2.46 | 1.32 | 2.70 | 2.75 | 2.65 | 2.29 | 1.84 | 1.19 |
| $\mu 02$-Sco | 82545 | 6252 | B2 | 2.53 | 3.53 | 3.60 | 3.59 | 3.49 | 3.13 | 2.81 | 2.32 |
| $\mu$-Cen | 67472 | 5193 | B2p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\nu$-Cen | 67464 | 5190 | B2 | 1.37 | 2.85 | 2.91 | 2.85 | 2.65 | 2.14 | 1.54 | 0.00 |
| $o$-Lup | 72683 | 5528 | B5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\phi^{2}$-Lup | 75304 | 5712 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\phi$-Cen | 68245 | 5248 | B3 | 2.12 | 3.04 | 2.90 | 2.79 | 2.90 | 2.34 | 1.82 | 1.15 |
| $\pi$-Cen | 55425 | 4390 | B5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pi$-Sco | 78265 | 5944 | B2 | 2.93 | 3.35 | 3.41 | 3.58 | 3.62 | 3.39 | 3.09 | 2.52 |
| $\rho$-Cen | 59449 | 4638 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rho$-Lup | 71536 | 5453 | B5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rho$-Sco | 78104 | 5928 | B3 | 1.95 | 3.24 | 3.31 | 3.35 | 3.08 | 2.59 | 1.93 | 1.33 |
| $\sigma$-Cen | 60823 | 4743 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sigma$-Lup | 71121 | 5425 | B2 | 3.04 | 3.76 | 3.68 | 3.60 | 3.58 | 3.11 | 2.54 | 1.74 |
| $\tau^{1}$-Lup | 70574 | 5395 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau$-Lib | 76600 | 5812 | B3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau$-Sco | 81266 | 6165 | B0 | 2.26 | 2.67 | 3.31 | 3.09 | 3.63 | 3.65 | 3.62 | 3.63 |
| $\theta$-Lup | 78918 | 5987 | B3 | 1.04 | 2.57 | 2.62 | 2.60 | 2.38 | 1.88 | 1.24 | 0.00 |
| $v^{1}$-Cen | 68282 | 5249 | B3 | 2.13 | 3.11 | 3.00 | 2.83 | 2.88 | 2.42 | 1.75 | 1.17 |
| $\zeta$-Cru | 60009 | 4679 | B3 | 2.64 | 2.96 | 2.97 | 3.08 | 2.88 | 2.50 | 1.97 | 1.19 |

### 4.2.2 Observations

The observations were performed with the Sydney University Stellar Interferometer (SUSI) on a 15 m baseline, using the PAVO beam combiner (Ireland et al., 2008). SUSI operates in 25 optical wavelength channels between 550 and 800 nm , and on the 15 m baseline has an angular resolution of 7 mas. The coherence length of each spectral channel of the PAVO beam combiner is $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which gives a detectable separation range of $\sim 7-200$ mas.

The observations were carried out over six half-nights between July $14^{\text {th }}$ and August $6^{\text {th }} 2010$. Target stars which were in close proximity to each other on the sky were sectioned into groups of four or five stars. This was done in order to keep constant air mass and seeing conditions between the targets so that later calibration would be made more accurate. This also reduced the time taken to slew between stars during the observation nights. Furthermore, each group of stars was observed twice, with


Figure 4.1: The on-sky locations of the Sco-Cen region high-mass stars observed in our survey. Blue squares indicate the Rizzuto et al. (2011) members and red circles indicate the stars in our sample. Note the lack of high-mass stars (blue circles) outside of the Sco-Cen regions. The second figure illustrates the proper motion vectors of the stars in our sample. Blue objects once again represent members in the Rizzuto et al. (2011) selection with greater than $50 \%$ membership probability. The lack of highly deviant proper motions highlights the possibility that multiplicity induced proper motion offsets might explain the exclusions.
sufficient time between them to allow the Earth's spin to rotate the baseline with respect to the targets and provide a new position angle for the second observation. This allowed a separation on the sky to be found rather than a projection along an individual baseline position angle. Given that we expect to observe new companions with periods of $\sim 1$ year and with orbital motions on the order of $\sim 1^{\circ}$ of position angle per day, we have ensured observations are either on the same night or neighbouring nights where orbital motion is insignificant compared to position angle uncertainties. Each observation consisted of recording 100 seconds worth of 3.5 ms exposures while an interference fringe pattern was locked on the camera.

### 4.2.3 Data Reduction and Calibration

## Data Reduction

The raw image frames recorded by the PAVO camera were reduced into squared visibility values for each of the 25 wavelength channels using a number of IDL programs written by the SUSI group. The pupil image frame was sectioned into an image for each wavelength channel, and these were used to calculate the squared visibility $V^{2}$. Without going into the fine detail or complexities of SUSI data reduction, the method of calculation is given by Equation (4.1). The pupil is Fourier transformed to yield the power spectrum, and the power of the fringe is totalled and divided by the total flux squared.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2}=\frac{\text { Fringe Power }}{(\text { Flux })^{2}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Individual frames taken during observations of single targets which showed anomalously low visibilities were rejected based on manual inspection. This is most important for nights where seeing was particularly bad (greater than $\sim 2.5$ "; ten Brummelaar et al. (1994)), intermittent clouds were present, or technical problems were encountered. The result of the data reduction is a squared visibility in 49 wavelength bands which are interpolated from the 25 wavelength channels observed by the PAVO beam combiner.

## Calibration

The visibility profiles provided by SUSI include the influence of various systematics, such as seeing effects, air turbulence in the beam combination enclosure, dust on optical surfaces and the response of detectors. These can be removed through calibration against another star which is assumed to have a well-characterised point-source-like visibility profile. This is often a star of small angular diameter. The basic assumption is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {measured }}^{2}=V_{\text {true }}^{2} V_{\text {system }}^{2}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\text {true }}^{2}$ is the true squared visibility of the target star, $V_{\text {measured }}^{2}$ is the measured squared visibility obtained from SUSI observations and $V_{\text {system }}^{2}$ is the system response factor (different for each wavelength channel) which must be removed from the data. The calibration is done by taking a star which is assumed to be described by a uniformly bright disk with a diameter that can be predicted by $B-V$ colour and V magnitude. This calibrator star must also be within a few degrees of the target it will calibrate, in order to calibrate for seeing effects. The error in this prediction due to the B-V uncertainty is inherently small because the calibrator diameters are very small (below the resolution limit of the instrument). A uniform disk model is then fitted to the predicted diameter, producing squared visibilities for each wavelength channel. This predicted visibility profile is taken to be the $V_{\text {true }}^{2}$ for the calibrator star, and using Equation (4.2) the system response $V_{\text {system }}^{2}$ is found. Hence, to calibrate a target, the measured visibility profile is divided by the system response found using the calibrator.

In usual SUSI observing, one or preferably more than one specific calibrator would usually be chosen prior to observation for each science target. However, for the purpose of detecting Sco-Cen binary companions, we have simply used those stars which did not display the characteristic signal of a binary star as calibrators for those that did. This worked well as the observations were done in groups of stars nearby in the sky, and calibrators were hence available nearby on the sky and at a very small time difference (often less than ten minutes). Observed targets with a clear oscillatory squared-visibility profile in the uncalibrated data were set aside and labelled as companion detections. For the remaining observations, many cross-calibrations were manually performed and inspected, allowing subtle detections, good calibrator observations, and suspect data to be identified among the observations.

Once good calibrators were identified, they were cross-checked with the available literature as a final precaution to ensure that they were not binary or multiple systems, or that they were multiple systems with companions well outside the SUSI coherence length limit or much fainter than the SUSI detection limits. In general, if a star has a companion with an angular separation greater than $1-2$ arcseconds, it can still
be a valid calibrator. A binary system with the secondary at an angular separation of $\sim 200$ mas has an optical path difference between central fringes which is just beyond the $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ coherence length of the SUSI/PAVO beam combiner and thus is not a suitable calibrator. Such a binary system will produce a systematically lower visibility than a corresponding single star. A uniform decrease in visibility across all wavelength channels in the calibrator can be problematic depending on what it is used to calibrate. There is no issue when calibrating an obvious binary which displays more than one visibility oscillation with wavelength, as the astrometry is not affected by a slight mis-calibration, however, in the case of a very-narrow binary ( $<20$ mas separation) a slight shift in $V^{2}$ up or down can affect the determination of the brightness-ratio of the system. In both cases, the measured separation is not affected. On average, each star with an identified companion had two calibrators with similar airmass, with some having more than two. In a small number of cases only one calibrator was available, though a reliable determination of the system parameters can still be obtained.

### 4.3 Companion Detections

## Fitting to the Data

Once the data have been calibrated a model binary system visibility is fitted to the data. In the fitting, each component in the binary system is treated as a point source. This approach is justified given the colour and magnitude constraints on our sample: we have only selected stars bluer than $B-V=-0.1$ and brighter than $5^{\text {th }}$ magnitude in V, placing all our objects firmly in the B-type range. This means that the bluest object $\beta$-Crucis, which has an angular diameter of $\sim 0.7$ mas (Hanbury Brown et al., 1974), is representative of the largest objects observed. This is well below the resolution limit of 7 mas of the 15 metre baseline at SUSI and hence the binary systems will be observed as two point sources. The equation that was fitted to the visibility profiles
was the following;

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2}=\frac{V_{p}^{2}+r^{2} V_{s}^{2}+2 r V_{p} V_{s} \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi \vec{B} \cdot \overrightarrow{s_{b}}}{\lambda}\right)}{(1+r)^{2}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the secondary to primary brightness flux ratio, $\vec{B}$ is the baseline vector projected onto the sky, $\overrightarrow{s_{b}}$ is the separation of the binary system on the sky and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of observation (Lawson, 2000). $V_{p}$ and $V_{s}$ are the primary and secondary star visibility profiles respectively. In the case of perfect system alignment and focus, these would both be equal to unity at all wavelengths (as is the case with point-sources). In order to remove the effects of any de-focus in the beam combination system, we modelled the primary and secondary visibility profiles as Gaussians;

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p, s}=\exp \left(-a\left(\frac{\vec{B} \cdot s_{p, s}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{p, s}$ is the separation on the sky of the primary or secondary from the stellar photo-centre, and $a$ is a coefficient to be determined. This adequately models coherence length degradation due to de-focus in the system, leaving close companion observations relatively unaffected and wide separation companions more difficult to detect. To determine the value of $a$ for our system we calibrated against the well characterised $\kappa$-Cen system, which has a $\Delta m=1.4$ magnitude companion at $\sim 100$ milliarcseconds separation. We find a value of $a=9.5 \times 10^{-3}$.

The fitting process yields both the brightness ratio and the baseline-separation product $\left(\vec{B} \cdot \overrightarrow{s_{b}}\right)$, which is the true separation of the binary system projected onto the direction of the SUSI baseline. Figure 4.2 presents some typical binary visibility profiles and corresponding fits, and all the fitted visibility profiles can be found in Appendix D.

With two observations separated by sufficient time, the sky rotates with respect to the baseline and so it is possible to find the true separation of the binary system on the sky at the epoch of observation. The observed separations fitted as described above are in fact the true separations in the north and east directions on the sky under a


Figure 4.2: Examples illustrating the typical characteristics of the survey data and the closeness of the binary fits. Figure 4.2 d displays the wide companion against which we calibrated for de-focus. The other three visibility profiles are detections of companions to the stars $\phi^{2}$-Cen and $\gamma$-Lup, and the non-detection of a companion to the star $\tau$-Sco. In these figures, the horizontal axis is the angular wavenumber.
simple rotation defined by the position angles of observation baseline:

$$
R\binom{\rho_{N}}{\rho_{E}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta_{1} & \sin \theta_{1}  \tag{4.5}\\
\cos \theta_{2} & \sin \theta_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\rho_{N}}{\rho_{E}}=\binom{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}
$$

where $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are the position angles, measured North through East, of the two observations, $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are the observed separations and $\rho_{N}$ and $\rho_{E}$ are the true separations in the north and east directions on the sky at the epoch of observation.

Inverting the matrix R and multiplying on the left gives $\rho_{N}$ and $\rho_{E}$. It is important to note that there is a $180^{\circ}$ uncertainty in the position angle of an observed binary system detected using SUSI; this is because a particular binary squared-visibility profile is independent of which star is the brighter component of the system. This means that a position angle of $0^{\circ}$ could in fact be $180^{\circ}$, or that $\rho_{N}$ and $\rho_{E}$ could actually be of the opposite sign. The uncertainty on these two separations can be calculated by transforming the covariance matrix in the standard way:

$$
\operatorname{COV}\left(\rho_{N}, \rho_{E}\right)=R^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{\rho_{1}}^{2} & 0  \tag{4.6}\\
0 & \sigma_{\rho_{2}}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(R^{-1}\right)^{t}
$$

where $\left(R^{-1}\right)^{t}$ is the transpose of $R^{-1}$ and noting that the covariances between the two observed separations are zero because they are completely independent observations. In the cases where more than two observations of a target were done, we used least-squares fitting to calculate the true separation. The observations used in the fits were generally taken on the same night, or over two nearby nights, so that even in the case of the closest and fastest moving companions detected, any orbital motion is insignificant. In the case of the close $\alpha$-Mus companion, which was observed twice in mid July and twice in early August of 2010, we have treated the two nights individually. We also note that the detection of previously known companions to targets 4-Lup and $\delta$-Cen are somewhat marginal (in particular 4-Lup), however the fitted binary parameters are consistent with the literature.

### 4.4 Detected Companions and Detection Limits

Among the 58 Sco-Cen targets we observed, companions were found to be associated with 23 of them, 13 of which are new detections. The fitted parameters, as well as the final combined contrast ratios and separations for each companion can be seen in Table. 4.2.

The completeness of this survey is dependent on two parameters, the resolvable
range of companion separations and the largest detectable primary to secondary brightness ratio. An upper bound for the former is given by the coherence length of the interferometer as discussed above, and is $\sim 200$ mas; however, this will be reduced by any de-focus in the system. The latter is not obvious directly from the data. Hence we have used a Monte-Carlo scheme to determine detection limits in different binary separation bands.

This was done by creating a sample of synthetic companions to each observed primary, with random contrast ratio and a random separation within the separation band. The faintest synthetic companion detectable at a three- $\sigma$ level (where $\sigma$ is the typical uncertainty in the calibrated squared-visibility profile) is taken as the detection limit.


Figure 4.3: Detection limits for four stars observed in our survey.

These limits are then converted into mass ratios using isochrones of the mean subgroup ages. Typical detection limits are shown in Figure 4.3. From the diagram, companions with mass ratios down to typically $q=0.3$ can be detected at separations of $\sim 7-100$ milliarcseconds, at which point the defocus in the system makes wider detections impossible at the smaller mass ratios.

Table 4.2: The table of observed companion details. Contrast ratios ( $\Delta m$ ) are in magnitudes, separations ( $\rho$ ) are in milliarcseconds, Obs refers to observation number, baseline position angles $\left(\mathrm{PA}_{B}\right)$ are in degrees and ${ }^{n}$ following a star name indicates a new companion. For each target, if more than one useable observation was taken we also provide a final contrast ratio $\left(\Delta m_{f}\right)$ and the separations in the North and East direction $\left(\rho_{N}, \rho_{N}\right)$ with their full covariance matrix (the final column is the correlation between the two separations), as well as a final, combined separation ( $\rho_{f}$ ) and position angle $\left(P A_{f}\right)$. The high correlations are due to the fact that the position angles differ by much less than $90^{\circ}$. Note that the position angles listed have a $180^{\circ}$ uncertainty, hence, we have chosen to provide all position angles in the $(0 \leq P A<180)$ range.

| Name | Date | Obs | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PA}_{B} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta m \\ (\mathrm{mag}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\Delta m} \\ (\mathrm{mag}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\rho} \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PA}_{f} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\sigma_{P A_{f}}$ <br> $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta m_{f} \\ (\mathrm{mag}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\Delta m_{f}} \\ (\mathrm{mag}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{f} \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\rho_{f}} \\ & \text { (mas) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{N} \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\rho_{N}} \\ & (\mathrm{mas}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{E} \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\rho_{E}} \\ & (\mathrm{mas}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{COR}_{N, E}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Lup | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 14.50 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 2.77 | 1.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\delta$-Sco | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 179.98 | 2.11 | 0.89 | 87.87 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15/07/2010 | 2 | 176.67 | 2.11 | 1.04 | 86.61 | 0.17 | 12.34 | 2.22 | 2.11 | 0.02 | 89.95 | 0.80 | 87.87 | 0.11 | 19.23 | 3.57 | 0.54 |
| $\alpha$-Mus ${ }^{n}$ | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 5.07 | 2.8 | 0.74 | 10.12 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 06/08/2010 | 1 | 30.7 | 2.7 | 0.15 | 15.7 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{Cen}^{n}$ | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 5.67 | 1.06 | 0.18 | 9.22 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta$-Mus ${ }^{n}$ | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 6.95 | 3.48 | 0.23 | 18.29 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14/07/2010 | 2 | 13.78 | 3.72 | 0.88 | 13.19 | 0.58 | 120.58 | 3.49 | 3.50 | 0.12 | 45.62 | 4.37 | 23.21 | 0.61 | -39.27 | 4.89 | -0.99 |
| $\delta$-Cen | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 18.23 | 3.45 | 0.87 | 11.63 | 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\epsilon$-Cen ${ }^{n}$ | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 11.62 | 2.59 | 0.33 | 109.11 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15/07/2010 | 2 | 10.50 | 2.54 | 0.30 | 106.53 | 0.18 | 61.83 | 1.86 | 2.56 | 0.03 | 170.50 | 11.22 | 80.48 | 2.60 | 150.31 | 13.37 | -1.0 |
| $\epsilon$-Lup | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 8.71 | 1.69 | 0.15 | 49.25 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14/07/2010 | 2 | 39.54 | 1.23 | 0.11 | 49.49 | 0.09 | 24.63 | 0.21 | 1.53 | 0.23 | 51.22 | 0.11 | 46.55 | 0.11 | 21.35 | 0.22 | -0.77 |
| $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{Cen}^{n}$ | 26/07/2010 | 1 | 24.34 | 2.34 | 0.56 | 8.44 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26/07/2010 | 2 | 28.62 | 1.24 | 0.27 | 8.61 | 0.22 | 41.69 | 21.29 | 2.10 | 0.55 | 8.84 | 2.94 | 6.60 | 2.65 | 5.88 | 5.07 | -0.99 |
| $\gamma \text {-Lup }$ | 26/07/2010 | 1 | 9.35 | 32.86 | 0.36 | 62.84 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14/07/2010 | 2 | 8.77 | 1.37 | 0.16 | 59.13 | 0.09 | 89.61 | 0.38 | 2.63 | 0.98 | 371.48 | 15.95 | 2.53 | 2.52 | 371.47 | 15.96 | -1.0 |
| $\text { j-Cen }{ }^{n}$ | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 30.64 | 2.38 | 0.35 | 40.40 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26/07/2010 | 2 | 26.67 | 3.24 | 0.55 | 45.72 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26/07/2010 | 3 | 32.25 | 3.43 | 0.80 | 36.64 | 0.36 | 145.38 | 7.18 | 3.14 | 0.32 | 95.61 | 10.53 | 78.68 | 6.69 | -54.32 | 11.69 | -1.0 |


| $\kappa$-Cen | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 10.14 | 1.56 | 0.20 | 113.63 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14/07/2010 | 2 | 5.85 | 1.25 | 0.12 | 110.01 | 0.12 | 31.33 | 1.05 | 1.40 | 0.16 | 121.88 | 1.29 | 104.10 | 0.36 | 63.38 | 2.70 | -0.96 |
| $\kappa$-Sco | 06/08/2010 | 1 | 170.36 | 4.23 | 0.65 | 14.61 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda \text {-Lup }$ | 26/07/2010 | 1 | 18.36 | 0.93 | 0.06 | 55.14 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27/07/2010 | 2 | 177.07 | 1.73 | 0.06 | 16.94 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27/07/2010 | 3 | 3.53 | 1.25 | 0.04 | 28.75 | 0.02 | 78.31 | 0.14 | 1.49 | 0.23 | 109.87 | 1.21 | 22.25 | 0.17 | 107.60 | 1.24 | -0.56 |
| $\mu$-Cen ${ }^{n}$ | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 8.50 | 3.15 | 0.37 | 33.13 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14/07/2010 | 2 | 2.99 | 3.22 | 0.53 | 23.36 | 0.19 | 80.20 | 0.21 | 3.17 | 0.04 | 105.55 | 2.40 | 17.96 | 0.30 | 104.01 | 2.46 | -0.92 |
| $o$-Lup | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 16.25 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 42.62 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\phi^{2}$-Lup ${ }^{n}$ | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 15.74 | 2.56 | 0.53 | 16.84 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15/07/2010 | 2 | 18.81 | 2.05 | 0.17 | 16.72 | 0.08 | 9.94 | 11.77 | 2.17 | 0.26 | 16.92 | 1.03 | 16.67 | 1.21 | 2.92 | 3.63 | -1.0 |
| $\pi$-Cen | $14 / 07 / 2010$ | 1 | 6.12 | 1.35 | 0.13 | 26.64 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14/07/2010 | 2 | 11.19 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 34.16 | 0.09 | 78.94 | 0.16 | 1.29 | 0.48 | 90.22 | 1.33 | 17.31 | 0.21 | 88.54 | 1.38 | -0.96 |
| $\rho$ - $\mathrm{Cen}^{n}$ | 15/07/2010 | 1 | 19.72 | 1.10 | 0.20 | 54.12 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rho \text {-Lup }{ }^{n}$ | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 7.28 | 1.28 | 0.07 | 15.40 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14/07/2010 | 2 | 6.21 | 1.98 | 0.10 | 15.99 | 0.08 | 123.33 | 5.61 | 1.82 | 0.35 | 35.07 | 4.94 | 19.27 | 0.69 | $-29.30$ | 5.67 | -1.0 |
| $\sigma$ - Cen $^{n}$ | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 14.33 | 2.59 | 0.58 | 88.11 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tau^{1}-\operatorname{Lup}^{n}$ | 26/07/2010 | 1 | 21.88 | 2.60 | 0.32 | 18.84 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27/07/2010 | 2 | 1.18 | 2.97 | 0.36 | 18.04 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27/07/2010 | 3 | 7.67 | 2.82 | 0.65 | 18.58 | 0.21 | 18.30 | 0.15 | 2.83 | 0.11 | 18.88 | 0.02 | 17.93 | 0.01 | 5.93 | 0.06 | -0.74 |
| $\tau$-Lib ${ }^{n}$ | 14/07/2010 | 1 | 5.58 | 2.85 | 0.58 | 12.10 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.5 Wide Companions with All-Sky Data

A primary goal of our study is to create the best possible picture of the multiplicity of the highest-mass stars in the Sco-Cen association. We have moved closer to this goal in the close companion regime with our interferometric survey described above. Conventional and coronagraphic imaging studies complement our work by producing a very complete picture out to $\sim 6$ arcseconds. Beyond these separations, proximity to the primary becomes a rather poor indicator of physical association with the primary. Indeed, any detection beyond $\sim 10^{3} \mathrm{AU}$ is likely to be a background or foreground contamination. This means the multiplicity catalogs such as the Washington Double Star catalog (Mason et al., 2011) are not strictly reliable for separations beyond $\sim 5$ arcseconds. With the availability of all-sky photometry catalogs in numerous bands, such as 2MASS and APASS, it is possible to produce a clearer picture of the wideseparation companion regime.

We undertook a search about our 58 survey targets in the 2MASS (Skrutskie et al., 2006) point source catalog out to a maximum separation of $10^{4} \mathrm{AU}$. The 2MASS point source catalog has a resolution of $\sim 5$ arcseconds, meaning that there will be no overlap between our closer companions and the new companions found here. This search yielded 670 such possible companions brighter than the $K=14$ 2MASS completeness limit with sufficient near-infrared photometry to allow placement on a colour-colour diagram. We then cross-matched these objects with the APASS (Henden et al., 2012) catalog to obtain B and V band magnitudes for the brighter candidates in the sample, and UCAC4 (Zacharias et al., 2013) to obtain proper motions. We found 55 of the objects had UCAC4 proper-motions and APASS photometry.

We then calculated photometric distances to our companions assuming that they are members of the Sco-Cen association. This was done using Siess isochrones (Siess et al., 2000) of age 6 Myr for US members and 16 Myr for members of UCL and LCC. For the brightest candidates, which are expected to fall on the main sequence, we used a Padova main sequence (Girardi et al., 2002) to calculate the photometric distances. Distances were calculated for for $(J-K, K),(H-K, K)$ and $(B-V, V)$ where available,
and averaged. Photometric distance uncertainties were conservatively estimated to be $\sim 10 \%$. If a Hipparcos parallax measurement was available, this was of course used in place of the photometric distances and uncertainties. A candidate was then deemed a true companion only if the photometric distance and available proper motions showed agreement with the Hipparcos proper motion and distance of the primary at the $3 \sigma$ level.

We have identified 15 companions in this way, 7 of which had proper-motions, and exclude the other 655 potential companions. The new companions are presented in Table 4.3. We note that due to the fact that there are potentially nearby Sco-Cen members to all of the primary stars in our sample, combined with the uncertainty of the photometric distances, there is some chance that association members have been identified as companions. The frequency of spurious companions increases dramatically with the separation, and so we consider companions out to $10^{4} \mathrm{AU}$ to be reliable, while beyond this limit there is almost certainly significant contamination from other Sco-Cen association members as well as background and foreground objects.

### 4.6 The Multiplicity Distribution of the Sco-Cen High-Mass Stars

With the addition of our survey results to the literature, it is possible to study the outcome of multiple star formation among high-mass stars. First we compile all available multiplicity information on the stars in our survey sample from the literature and combine them with our own observations. We then recast the data in terms of separation in astronomical units binary mass ratio, rather than angular separations and magnitude differences. We then inspect the distributions of these parameters as a starting-point for a Bayesian analysis of the data, which will provide the most robust determination of the parameters which describe the multiplicity distribution of our Sco-Cen sample. In the Bayesian analysis of the multiplicity distribution which follows, we combine these detection limits with those of Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002).

| Primary | Sep (") | $\delta \mathrm{K}$ | PA $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$-Lup | 25.68 | 6.97 | -127.72 | CD-46 9501B |
| $\beta$-Cru | 42.56 | 7.45 | -34.12 | HD 111123B |
| $\beta$-Mus | 94.78 | 6.87 | 35.36 |  |
| $\epsilon$-Lup | 26.29 | 3.85 | 168.70 | CD-44 10066C |
|  | 40.02 | 7.72 | -170.03 |  |
| f-Cen | 37.84 | 5.85 | 31.22 |  |
|  | 11.53 | 3.43 | 77.82 | HD 113703B |
| $\gamma$-Lup | 53.42 | 10.11 | -67.59 |  |
|  | 39.10 | 10.57 | -150.46 |  |
| J-Cen | 61.53 | 6.93 | -74.63 |  |
| $\kappa$-Sco | 52.84 | 9.75 | -168.68 |  |
|  | 55.34 | 8.96 | 17.97 |  |
| $\mu^{1}$-Cru | 35.02 | 0.78 | 17.03 | $\mu^{2}$-Cru |
| $\mu^{2}$-Sco | 25.38 | 7.92 | 16.17 |  |
| $\sigma$-Lup | 26.27 | 6.11 | -156.49 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3: Companions to our target sample identified from 2MASS and APASS all-sky data.

Table 4.4: Mass ratios and separations for our sample. Typical uncertainty of the mass ratios is better than $10 \%$, and the separations in AU for the SUSI companions is typically $10 \%$. For the wider companions, the uncertainy is of the order of the uncertainty of the 2MASS positions, which are typically $1 \%$. The source references are (1) this work, (2) Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002), (3) Mason et al. (2011), (4) this work, all-sky search (5), wide spectroscopic companion, see Table 4.5, (6) the SUSI study of Tango et al. (2009)

| Primary | q | $\rho(\mathrm{AU})$ | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-Cen | 0.49 | 693.43 | 2 |
| 4-Lup | 0.95 | 0.3 | 1,5 |
| $\delta$-Sco | 0.45 | 11.08 | 6 |
| $\alpha$-Lup | 0.08 | 4316.02 | 4 |
| $\alpha$-Mus | 0.01 | 459.23 | 2 |
|  | 0.35 | 2.64 | 1 |
| b-Cen | 0.65 | 1.0 | 1 |
| $\beta$-Cru | 0.06 | 4601.61 | 4 |
|  | Continued on next page |  |  |


| $\beta$-Mus | 0.86 | 95.42 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.03 | 9044.19 | 4 |
|  | 0.29 | 4.35 | 1 |
| $\delta$-Cen | 0.31 | 12.12 | 1,3 |
| d-Lup | 0.49 | 279.63 | 3 |
| $\epsilon$-Cen | 0.46 | 19.64 | 1 |
| $\epsilon$-Lup | 0.65 | 46.37 | 3 |
|  | 0.19 | 4062.64 | 4 |
|  | 0.02 | 6185.01 | 4 |
|  | 0.64 | 7.92 | 1 |
| f-Cen | 0.21 | 196.83 | 2 |
|  | 0.05 | 4801.44 | 4 |
|  | 0.21 | 1463.15 | 4 |
|  | 0.43 | 1.12 | 1 |
| $\gamma$-Lup | 0.72 | 139.13 | 3 |
|  | 0.01 | 9289.89 | 4 |
|  | 0.01 | 6799.89 | 4 |
|  | 0.39 | 64.61 | 3 |
| HR 4549 | 0.44 | 165.98 | 3 |
| HR 4848 | 0.03 | 850.24 | 2 |
| HR 5543 | 0.13 | 123.20 | 2 |
| j-Cen | 0.03 | 8666.47 | 4 |
|  | 0.32 | 13.47 | 1 |
| $\kappa$-Cen | 0.02 | 661.16 | 2 |
|  | 0.71 | 20.14 | 3 |
| $\kappa \text {-Sco }$ | 0.01 | 7516.23 | 4 |
|  | 0.01 | 7871.50 | 4 |
|  | 0.26 | 2.08 | 1 |
| $\lambda$-Lup | 0.03 | 82.29 | 3 |
|  | 0.57 | 13.70 | 1 |
| $\mu^{1}$ - Cru | 0.71 | 4052.72 | 4 |
| $\mu^{2}$-Sco | 0.02 | 4022.14 | 4 |
| $\mu \text {-Cen }$ | 0.08 | 749.11 | 2 |
|  | 0.33 | 17.05 | 1 |
| $o$-Lup | 0.91 | 5.33 | 1 |
|  | Cont | nued on | pa |


| $\phi^{2}$-Lup | 0.45 | 3.15 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi$-Cen | 0.59 | 8.89 | 1 |
| $\rho$-Cen | 0.65 | 5.68 | 1 |
| $\rho$-Lup | 0.49 | 3.34 | 1 |
| $\sigma$-Lup | 0.06 | 4624.80 | 4 |
| $\tau^{1}$-Lup | 0.41 | 5.99 | 1 |
| $\tau$-Lib | 0.35 | 1.65 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |

### 4.6.1 Compilation of the Sample Data

In order to produce the most accurate determination of the Sco-Cen multiplicity distribution we have compiled data from a number of sources in the literature. The Bayesian analysis presented below is most easily implemented in terms of mass ratios and physical separations rather than angular separations and magnitude differences. Hence we determined physical separations using the Hipparcos parallax measurements (van Leeuwen, 2007) and the mass ratios using isochrones for the corresponding subgroup ages and the magnitude band used in the original observation. The primary mass was determined using the Tycho (Perryman and ESA, 1997) V magnitude and the Padova isochrone of the age of the subgroup which each star is found in (Girardi et al., 2002). The secondary mass was then found by moving fainter along the appropriate magnitude band from the value of the primary. In the case of a small magnitude difference which would not place the secondary in a spectral-type range expected to exhibit premain-sequence (PMS) behaviour the same Padova isochrone was used. For larger contrast ratios which would result in a PMS companion the corresponding Siess PMS isochrone was used (Siess et al., 2000). The Padova and Siess isochrones show very close overlap (on the order of a tenth of a magnitude) in the higher-mass region of the isochrone in which all Sco-Cen stars observed are past their PMS phase. Any error introduced by this slight difference is, in general, expected to be much smaller than the errors associated with reddening and the measurements of the contrast ratios used to determine the mass ratios, and will not significantly contribute to the outcome
of the analysis. The uncertainty of the mass ratios calculated in this way are expected to be typically better than $10 \%$, which is more than accurate enough for the Bayesian analysis which follows. Similarly, the uncertainty on the physical separations for the SUSI companions are also typically $10 \%$, while the wider companions in the arcsecond and greater separation regimes are expected to be accurate to $\sim 1 \%$. Table 4.4 provides a list of the calculated physical separations and mass ratios for the non-spectroscopic companions.

For completeness we must also include all spectroscopic companions to the stars in our sample. They provide important information on the smallest separation range of companions and are vital in determining the properties of the multiplicity in the association. We include information on both double and single line companions to our sample stars in two different ways. For the double lined companions there is a directly measured mass ratio for the system, and so the separation can be directly calculated via the orbital period. We have taken the semimajor axes of the binary system and used them along-side the projected separations of our wider companion data. This is justifiable in light of the bin sizes we have used in separation in our analysis and the conversion factors of Dupuy and Liu (2011), which are close to unity for solar-type stars. The single line binary companions are not directly useable. The mass ratio and separation of the system cannot be directly determined from the measurements provided by the observation of a single line binary; however they do place useful constraints on the possible values of mass ratio and inclination, and hence also separation, that the systems can have. Table 4.5 lists the full information from the literature for the spectroscopic systems in our sample for both the single and double lined systems.

We deal with the unknown mass ratio and separation of the single lined systems in the following way: Firstly, we use the observed mass function $f\left(M_{1}\right)$ of the system to determine the distribution of possible values of mass ratio and inclination, based on a primary mass taken from the spectral type and colour of the stars and the corresponding Padova isochrone. Bayes' theorem states the following for the case of a single lined

Table 4.5: Spectroscopic companions to stars in our sample, with period $(P)$ and mass function ( $f\left(M_{1}\right)$. Mass ratios $(q)$ are provided for the double lined spectroscopic binaries. The final column lists the literature sources from which the data was taken:(1) Levato et al. (1987), (2) Thackeray and Hutchings (1965), (3) Thackeray (1970),(4) Neubauer (1931), (5) Uytterhoeven et al. (2005), (6) Aerts et al. (1998), (7) Cohen et al. (2008), (8) this work, (9) Buscombe and Kennedy (1962)

| Star | q | $\mathrm{P}($ days $)$ | $f\left(M_{1}\right)$ | $\sigma_{f\left(M_{1}\right)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 -Cen |  | 17.42800 | 0.00830 | 0.00157 | 1 |
| 4 -Lup | 0.954 | 12.26000 | 0.30680 | 0.03633 | 1,2 |
| $\nu$-Cen |  | 2.62528 | 0.00230 | 0.00031 | 1 |
| $\epsilon$-Lup | 0.865 | 4.55959 |  |  | 3,4 |
| $\gamma$-Lup |  | 2.80895 | 0.0065 | 0.00225 | 1 |
| $\tau$-Lib | 0.5 | 3.29066 | 0.12626 | 0.04604 | 1 |
| $\rho$-Sco |  | 4.00331 | 0.00164 | 0.00050 | 1 |
| $\pi$-Sco | 0.78 | 1.57010 | 0.27634 | 0.03574 | 1 |
| $\xi^{2}$-Cen |  | 7.64965 | 0.03800 | 0.00322 | 5 |
| $\beta$-Cru | 0.625 | 1828.0000 |  |  | $6,7,8$ |
| 13 -Sco |  | 5.78053 | 0.01760 | 0.00410 | 1 |
| 4 -Cen |  | 6.930137 | 0.00598 | 0.00143 | 1 |
| e-Lup |  | 0.901407 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 9 |

binary system;

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(q, i \mid f\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=\frac{P\left(f\left(M_{1}\right) \mid q, i\right) P(q, i)}{P\left(f\left(M_{1}\right)\right)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}$ and $q$ are the mass of the primary and the secondary to primary mass ratio respectively, and $P()$ denotes probability. We interpret this by first treating the probability of the observed mass function value as unity, $\left(P\left(f\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=1\right)$, because we will use the uncertainty in the measurement in the calculations of $P\left(f\left(M_{1}\right) \mid q, i\right)$. $P(q, i)$ is the prior probability distribution of mass ratio and inclination of the orbit. The mass distribution of the companions is unknown, and is one of the properties we wish to determine, hence we define it as uniform up to a mass ratio of 1 , and zero
beyond it. The distribution of inclinations ( $i$ ), for purely geometric reasons, follows a sinusoidal distribution between 0 and $\pi / 2$ radians, if the handedness of the orbit is not considered. For our purposes, treating clockwise and anticlockwise orbits as identical will not affect the outcome of our analysis, as we only require masses and separations. Hence it is defined as $P(q, i)=\sin i$. Finally, we define $P\left(f\left(M_{1}\right) \mid q, i\right)$ to be a Gaussian with mean given by the observed mass function of the system and standard deviation defined by the uncertainty in the mass function measurement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(q, i \mid f\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=\frac{\sin i}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{f}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(f\left(M_{1}\right)-f_{\text {mod }}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{f}^{2}}\right), \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\text {mod }}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is the "model" mass function calculated from a given value of mass ratio $(q)$ and inclination $(i)$. This produces a probability distribution similar to Figure 4.4. The distribution shows that for each mass ratio $q$ there is a clear range of allowable inclinations which can produce a mass function which agrees with that given by the observations. The position of the allowable mass ratio-inclination pairs is determined by the observed mass ratio and the estimated primary mass. Historically, at this point an expected value of inclination can be chosen; however, this would not represent the observations as closely as possible. The optimal approach is to generate a sample of "virtual" systems for each observation based on the described probability density functions (PDFs). We do this by sampling from the described PDF for each system using rejection sampling, which maps a random uniform distribution onto an arbitrary PDF. We take 30 samples for each single lined binary system and include all of these "virtual" systems in our sample.

It is important to note that, while the above method of dealing with single lined spectroscopic binaries is an improvement on simply choosing an expected value of $\sin i$ such as 0.8 , it is nevertheless still invariably tangled with prior assumptions. Primarily, we have used a range of allowed values as a substitute for the true value, and this has the potential to bias further results. Despite this, our analysis will still produce a robust estimate of the multiplicity properties of Sco-Cen.


Figure 4.4: An example of the mass ratio-inclination distribution described in equation 4.8, for the single lined spectroscopic binary system 13-Sco (Levato et al., 1987). The position of the most probable mass ratio and inclination is determined by the observed mass function $\left(f\left(M_{1}\right)\right)$ of the spectroscopic binary system, while the width of the distribution for any given mass ratio or inclination is determined by the uncertainty in this measurement. The blue points represent a random sampling from the distribution used to represent the "virtual" systems used in our analysis.

Combining both the visual and spectroscopic companions we have a complete picture of the state of knowledge on the multiplicity of the stars in our sample. With this we can determine the multiplicity characteristics of the population of the highest mass stars in Sco-Cen.

### 4.6.2 Bayesian Analysis

Classically, the standard method of illustrating binary population statistics is to create histograms of the important quantities, such as separation and mass ratio within the completeness limits of the available data. A model is then fit to the histograms to derive the population parameters. This approach is most useful when the functional forms of the distributions are completely unknown. When a functional form can be determined, a more direct and complete method for working with the data is to use Bayesian statistics, where each observation influences a prior PDF. Bayesian statistics,


Figure 4.5: Simple histograms displaying the mass ratio and separation (AU) of the companions for the stars in our sample with $q>0.1$. The most likely values of the spectroscopic binary parameters from the PDF's were taken for inclusion in this plot. The mass ratio in the first figure appears to follow a negative power-law distribution with exponent of approximately -0.5 , and the separation of the companions in the second follows a log-normal distribution with mean of $\sim 0.9$ and spread of $\sim 1.28$. The blue lines in the first figure illustrate mass ratio distributions with different power-law exponents, and in the second figure represent the best fit log-normal Gaussian distribution.
as opposed to histogram fitting procedures, takes into account all available data in an optimal way, which inherently avoids the need for completeness corrections. Bayesian statistics bypasses the step of fitting a distribution to observations by directly yielding the PDF for the model parameters, which is helpful in showing a study's population measurements, and their uncertainty. As stated above, the important requirement in the use of Bayesian statistics is that the analysis can only be used in the presence of some assumed functional forms of the population distributions, meaning that some inspection of the data (usually with histograms) is required as a starting-point for any Bayesian analysis.

Firstly, we present simple histograms to motivate our choice of prior distributions in the Bayesian analysis; these are shown in Figure 4.5. Figure 4.5a displays the histogram of mass ratios of the companions in our sample with $q>0.1$ and the best fit to the data is shown in blue. We avoid the $q<0.1$ range of mass ratios due to significant unquantified incompleteness which may bias our distribution. In the Bayesian analysis
which follows, we treat the $q<0.1$ regime of mass-ratio as unconstrained. Our plot appears to fit a power-law, and fitting to the histogram gives a best fit exponent of $-0.38 \pm 0.24$, which agrees with the value of -0.4 which Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002) determined to be the most likely distribution based on their K-band imaging data (much of which is included in our sample and analysis below). The distribution of companion separations in our sample ( $q>0.1$ ) is displayed in Figure 4.5b. The data appears to fit a log-normal distribution in separation quite closely, with a mean logseparation in AU of $0.9 \pm 0.2$ and standard deviation of $1.29 \pm 0.18$. We know there is incompleteness within the sample, in particular, we expect incompleteness in the SUSI separation range ( $1-10 \mathrm{AU}$ ) below $q \sim 0.2-0.3$ where companions were not always detectable. Beyond 100 AU the sample can be considered highly complete down to $q=0.1$ with the addition of our all-sky search, and the spectroscopic binary regime is most likely complete, although it is possible that some SB2's were mistaken for SB1's by the early observers.

Given these observed prior distributions, we can use Bayesian statistics to derive the multiplicity parameters of our sample. We again make use of Bayes' theorem;

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(M \mid D) \propto P(D \mid M) P(M) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D represents the observations or data, M represents some model, namely some set of parameters and assumed functional forms, which may or may not describe the data, $P(D \mid M)$ is the probability of obtaining a given observation or data as a function of the model, $P(M \mid D)$ is called the posterior PDF of the model given the data and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M})$ is the prior PDF for the model. Note that both $P(D \mid M)$ and $P(M \mid D)$ depend on the model parameters. This framework is applied by starting with the prior PDF and modifying it with an observation, producing a new prior PDF. This new PDF is then used with a subsequent observation to produce a further modified prior PDF , the process is then continued for all available observations.

The formalism for the application of the above Bayesian statistics to the analysis of multiplicity populations was first introduced by Allen (2007), though we present it in a similar way to Kraus et al. (2011b). The Allen (2007) method makes use of four
parameters: a companion frequency F , a power-law distribution exponent $\gamma$, a mean of a $\operatorname{lognormal}$ separation distribution $\log \rho_{m}$ and a standard deviation for the same distribution $\sigma_{\log \rho}$. These parameters describe the PDF of the multiplicity population which describes our sample. Each parameter is assigned a prior and the observations are used to modify the priors to yield the population distribution as described above. In our work, we use a similar modification to the companion frequency F as Kraus et al. (2011b): in our analysis F can be greater than unity, representing the fact that we are dealing with higher order multiple systems and not solely binaries, which is the case in the Allen (2007) study.

Rather than the observations individually modifying the prior PDF, we group the data into discrete bins of log-separation and mass ratio and compile a function which describes the number of observed companions in each bin, $N_{\text {comp }}(q, \log \rho)$, which is combined with a detection function $N_{\text {obs }}(q, \log \rho)$, which describes the number of observations sensitive to a given bin of $q$ and $\log \rho$. The detection function is built based on the detection limits of each observation we took, combined with those of Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002). We then use each set of grouped data as a single "observation" in the Bayesian sense to modify the prior PDF as described above.

The expected frequency of a companion existing in a particular bin of $q$ and $\log \rho$ can be easily calculated from the above functional forms using the four parameters;

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(q, \log \rho \mid M)=\Delta q \Delta \log \rho \frac{F q^{\gamma}(\gamma+1)}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{\log \rho}} \exp \left(\frac{-\left(\log \rho-\log \rho_{m}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\log \rho}^{2}}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have written $M=\left(F, \gamma, \log \rho_{m}, \sigma_{\log \rho}\right)$, the set of model parameters, for brevity. Hence, for a given number of observations sensitive to a particular ( $q, \log \rho$ ) bin, the number of expected companions detected is given by $R N_{o b s}(q, \log \rho)$. From this the value of $P(D \mid M)$ is described by a Poisson distribution;

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(N_{o b s}, N_{\text {comp }} \mid M\right)=\frac{\left(R N_{o b s}\right)^{N_{c o m p}} e^{-R N_{o b s}}}{N_{\text {comp }}!}, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ once again represents the four parameters describing the expected distributions. We calculate the value of $P(D \mid M)$ for values of $q$ between 0 and 1 in bins
of width 0.1 , and for values of $\log \rho$ between -2.0 and 4.0 dex, with all bins having width 0.5 dex. We then use the SUSI detection limits to create a map of $N_{\text {obs }}$ in different separation and mass-ratio bins. For the spectroscopic binary separation bins, the number of observations ( $N_{\text {obs }}$ ) has been scaled to match the number of random samples we took from the single lined spectroscopic binary systems. In our analysis, we treat the mass ratio range of $0-0.1$ as unconstrained to avoid bias due to unknown incompleteness in this regime where detections are often difficult. The results of this analysis will allow quantification of how many stars are missed in this range. Once the probability of each set of parameters in each bin is calculated, we let each value modify the prior distribution as explained above, yielding the posterior PDF.

Given that all of our prior knowledge went into the determination of the expected distribution shapes, we would like to choose priors for our four parameters which reflect a maximum level of ignorance. The companion frequency, F , is a scale independent parameter, and so the most ignorant choice of prior is given by $1 / F$ (Sivia and Skilling, 2007). Similarly the prior for the spread of the separation distribution is given by $1 / \sigma_{\log \rho}$, as this parameter is also scale independent. Both $\log \rho$ and $\gamma$ are completely unconstrained and so we assign uniform priors to them.

The Bayesian analysis we have described here produces a PDF for all possible combinations of the four model parameters and is thus a four-dimensional matrix. To allow presentation of the results, we marginalise the PDF over different sets of parameters and present surfaces and curves for different parameters. The most illuminating results are seen when uncorrelated parameters are shown and others marginalised away. We find that both the companion frequency $(F)$ and the mass ratio exponent $(\gamma)$ are not correlated with any other parameters, while the $\log \rho_{m}$ and $\sigma_{\log \rho}$ are strongly correlated. In Figure 4.6 we have plotted the most useful presentations of the results.

Figures 4.6 c and 4.6 d show very clearly defined peaks for the companion frequency and mass ratio exponent, with values of $F=1.25_{-0.20}^{+0.27}$ and $\gamma=-0.46 \pm 0.13$. These results make qualitative sense: The total number of observed companions ( $q>0.1$ ) was 43 , hence the vanishing probability of a companion frequency below $\sim 0.8$ in Figure


Figure 4.6: The marginalised probability density functions produced from our Bayesian analysis in selected correlated dimensions. The figures are as follows: (a) displays the PDF for $F$ and $\log \rho_{m}$ in AU, (b) displays the PDF for $F$ and $\sigma_{\log \rho}$; both have contours drawn at 10, 25, 68, 80 and $95 \%$ confidence levels. Figures (c) and (d) display the PDFs for $F$ and $\gamma$ respectively, marginalised over all other parameters and rescaled for ease of display.
4.6c. Our determination of $\gamma$ agrees with the estimated value of -0.5 from the Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002) study, although a wider range of possible values is indicated here. The slight difference is not unexpected, as Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002) used only imaging data in their analysis. Note that the value of the mass ratio exponent $\gamma$ is significantly different for the Sco-Cen high-mass stars compared to that which was determined for lower mass stars in other star-forming regions. The study of Kraus et al. (2011b) found $\gamma$ to be $\sim 0$ for $0.25-0.7 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ primaries in the Tau-Aur star forming region,
and Allen (2007) determined a value of $\sim 1.8$ for ultra-cool dwarfs. This highlights a potential mass-dependence of the multiplicity outcome of star formation. A further study, using a sample of multiplicity data for the full primary mass range within a single association would further indicate whether this mass trend is present or whether it is related to the specific star-forming regions or associations.

In Figures 4.6a and 4.6b we present surface plots of the $F-\log \rho_{m}$ and $F-\sigma_{\log \rho}$ PDFs. Both show a clear peak in the PDF at values of $\log \rho_{m}=0.95_{-0.15}^{+0.25}$ and $\sigma_{\log \rho}=$ $1.35_{-0.25}^{+0.35}$. Note the correlation between $\log \rho_{m}, \sigma_{\log \rho}$ and $F$; a larger value of $\log \rho_{m}$ requires larger values of $F$ and $\sigma_{\log \rho}$ to account for the number of small separation companion detections.

### 4.6.3 Single Stars

The formation of binary or higher order multiple systems is considered as a possible requirement for the conservation of angular momentum in high-mass star formation. Hence, we attempt to ascertain the overall frequency of single stars in our sample. Note that our general result of a companion frequency larger than one, and the large number of companions to stars in our sample are, at least, broadly consistent with the notion that all high-mass stars form with one or more companions. In our sample, there are 18 stars which do not have an observed companion. These stars are listed in Table 4.6.

The 18 apparently single stars put a hard upper limit of $31 \%$ on the single star fraction among Sco-Cen high mass stars. Using our probability distribution with the most likely parameters determined from the Bayesian analysis, we can estimate the number of single stars which in fact have a companion which was outside of our detection limits by integrating over appropriate separation and mass-ratio regions. We find the most probable number of missed companions among the 18 single stars to be $4 \pm 1$ companions. We then note that two of the single stars $\beta$-Lup and $\eta$-Lup were not observed by Shatsky and Tokovinin (2002), leaving the $0.3-5$ " arcsecond regime unobserved. From our multiplicity distribution, we expect that $1 \pm 1$ of these can have a companion in this separation range. Combining these estimates, this corresponds to

| Single Stars |
| :---: |
| G-Cen |
| A-Cen |
| $\beta$-Lup |
| $\chi$-Cen |
| $\delta$-Cru |
| $\delta$-Lup |
| $\eta$-Cen |
| $\eta$-Lup |
| $\gamma$-Mus |
| HR 4618 |
| HR 5967 |
| $\iota$-Lup |
| $\lambda$-Cru |
| $\phi$-Cen |
| $\theta$-Lup |
| $v^{1}$-Cen |
| $\zeta$-Cru |
| $\tau$-Sco |

Table 4.6: The single Sco-Cen stars in the survey sample.
an inferred single stars fraction of approximately $19-27 \%$ of the sample. A very simple comparison can be made to our Bayesian model by treating the probability of a star having a certain number of companions as a Poisson function with a mean given by our most likely value of companion frequency $F=1.25 \pm 0.25$. This produces a single stars fraction of $22-37 \%$, and a fraction of quadruple or higher order multiples of 8-19\%, which is consistent with our single stars fraction and the $8(12 \%)$ higher order multiples in our sample. The combination of our survey results and the literature indicates that a number of young high-mass B-type stars which have formed alone, and not as a part of a multiple system.

### 4.6.4 The Effects of Multiplicity on Kinematics

The effect of multiplicity on kinematics is a significant issue, not just for determining accurate astrometry, but also for understanding how these effects will impact studies using the astrometry. As an example we have calculated a centre of mass (CoM) proper motion for the binary system defined by $\alpha$-Cru A and B. The separations and position angles used to do the calculation were taken from the Washington Double Star catalogue (Mason et al., 2011). $\alpha$-Cru is a wider binary than those observed in our survey; the two measured separations from the catalogue were 5.4 and 4.0 arcseconds. The position angles were 114 and 112 degrees. From the separation and position angle change, the mean motion of the secondary was calculated relative to the primary. This motion was then subtracted from the measured proper motion of the secondary, leaving the CoM proper motion. Our calculated CoM proper motion was ( $-36.3,-11.8$ ) mas $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ in right ascension and declination respectively. This is significantly different to the proper motion of the system provided by Hipparcos which is $(-35.53,-14.89) \pm$ $(0.45,0.42)$ mas $^{\mathrm{yr}}{ }^{-1}$ (van Leeuwen, 2007). Discrepancies such as this which are larger than the typical Hipparcos proper motion errors can certainly affect the outcome of, for example, membership selection surveys for moving groups such as Sco-Cen. It is evident that this issue needs to be addressed for a larger sample of wide binaries.

### 4.7 Conclusion

Our survey of the highest-mass B-type stars in the young Sco-Cen association has determined constraining parameters of 23 companions, and discovered 13 new companions to these stars.

We used Bayesian statistics and all available multiplicity information to determine the most likely parameters of the multiplicity population of our sample, the results of which agree with previous, less complete analyses. We find that the multiplicity distribution of the stars in our sample to be best described by a log-normal distribution in separation, with a mean of $0.95_{-0.15}^{+0.25}$ and a standard deviation of $1.35_{-0.25}^{+0.35}$, while the mass-ratio follows a power law distribution with exponent $\gamma=-0.46 \pm 0.13$.

In addition, the frequency of companions was determined to be $F=1.25_{-0.20}^{+0.27}$. The multiplicity literature, and our survey results, both point to a very large multiple fraction among high-mass stars in young associations, with only $\sim 19-27 \%$ being single stars according to our statistics. This broadly agrees with the idea that companion formation and companion related mechanisms are the primary angular momentum redistribution method among high-mass stars (Larson, 2010). However, the data suggests a significant number of single stars among our sample, which according to our Bayesian analysis, are unlikely to fall under the umbrella of missed companions outside of the current detection limits.

Given that the role of magnetic fields in angular momentum loss for high-mass stars is most likely less important, e.g., the lack of collimated jets often associated with lower-mass stars (Arce et al., 2007), some mechanism must be present in the star forming environment which creates single stars. This implies that these stars are either part of a very large-scale wide system, were ejected from a multiple system early in their lifetime, or formed as single stars. Models have suggested that disruptive interactions can shape the formation of high-mass stars in dense clusters (Bonnell et al., 2003), but ejection in Sco-Cen is much less likely because OB associations are in general sparse environments. With velocity dispersion on the order of $1^{\circ}$ per Myr, it is difficult to observationally test ejection hypotheses without GAIA-quality astrometry. The large scale behaviour of Sco-Cen is not completely unknown. It has been shown, using lower-mass members in the Preibisch et al. (2002) survey of US that two degrees is the approximate wide-scale binarity limit in US Kraus and Hillenbrand (2008). Assuming that UCL and LCC have similar structures, there is some chance that a small number of the single stars in our sample could be part of a very wide multiple system with one or more other high mass stars. However, this is unlikely to account for all of the potential single stars in our sample. A further possibility is the merger of two lowermass members of a binary system to form an apparently single, B-type star. While this has been modelled extensively for the case of dense clusters, it is unclear what the frequency of such interactions is in the context of OB associations (Zinnecker and Yorke, 2007, Bonnell et al., 1998). In all likelihood, the stellar density in Sco-Cen is
insufficient to induce binary mergers (Bonnell and Bate, 2005).

# Age Dating the Upper-Scorpius Association using Close Binary Systems 

### 5.1 Introduction

The coeval Sco-Cen populations are often used as "age-calibrated" samples of objects in the study of a number of different science goals, such as circumstellar disk evolution (Carpenter et al., 2009, Chen et al., 2011, Rizzuto et al., 2012), exoplanet identification and evolution (Kraus and Ireland, 2012, Ireland et al., 2011b) and multiplicity studies (Kouwenhoven et al., 2007, Kraus et al., 2011b, Rizzuto et al., 2013a). Furthermore, mass estimation from models of very low mass companions to K and M -type association members is highly dependant on the assumed age. Thus, it is critical that the age estimation for young associations is both highly accurate, and unbiased.

As mentioned in Chapter 1 the ages of the Sco-Cen subgroups are contentious; the youngest and most compact subgroup, Upper-Scorpius, was first age-dated using the main sequence turn-off, and was estimated to be $\sim 5-7 \mathrm{Myr}$ old (de Geus, 1992). This was then supported by a spectroscopic survey for low-mass association members by Preibisch et al. (2002), which also determined a population age of $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$, with a very narrow age spread. More recent work utilising new spectral-typing and photometry of F-type members has shown that Upper-Scorpius may have a median age of $\sim 11 \mathrm{Myr}$, which is significantly different from any previous work (Pecaut et al., 2012). The older and larger Sco-Cen subgroups, UCL and LCC, due to undoubtedly more complex star formation history and substructure, display variations in age estimates with both position and spectral type (Mamajek et al., 2002, Preibisch et al., 2002, 1998, Song et al., 2012).

A currently unexplored improvement for age-dating Sco-Cen is the inclusion of orbital elements of well characterised Sco-Cen member binary system. An accurate orbit can provide a direct measurement of the total system dynamical mass, which can then be used as an additional orthogonal dimension in model fitting. Paired with a contrast ratio between the primary and the secondary companion, this provides a vast improvement in estimating the age of individual stars, which then provide an age estimate for the association region in which they are found.

In this chapter, we present orbits for seven low-mass Upper Scorpius stars monitored with Sparse Aperture Masking (SAM) techniques with the NIRC2 Camera on the Keck 2 telescope. Using these seven orbits we estimate an age for the Sco-Cen subgroups using a Bayesian model fitting procedure.

### 5.2 Target Sample, Observations, and Data Analysis

Our low-mass target members of Upper Scorpius were selected from a multiplicity and planet-search survey of the Upper-Scorpius subgroup of Sco-Cen (Kraus et al., 2008),
the targets for which were compiled in Kraus and Hillenbrand (2007a) from a number of recent membership surveys of the Upper-Scorpius region (Preibisch et al., 1998, 2001, 2002, Slesnick et al., 2006, Ardila et al., 2000, Walter et al., 1994, Martín et al., 2004). The Kraus et al. (2008) survey identified 12 new binary companions to Upper-Scorpius G/K/M-type stars, and we have been continually monitoring 8 of these systems using NIRC2 aperture masking in natural guide star AO mode, over a time-scale of five years. All NIRC2 AO observations were taken using the smallest available pixel scale of 10 mas pixel $^{-1}$ and either a two or four location dither pattern (diagonal). The majority of the observations were done using the 9 -hole aperture mask and the narrow-band $\mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ filter, with some observations in $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$. Table 5.1 lists the full target sample, with basic stellar properties.

Aperture masking data reduction utilises the complex triple product or closurephase, in addition to squared interferometric visibilities, in order to remove noncommon path errors and variable optical aberrations. Binary system profiles can then be fit to the visibilities and closure phases to produce separations and position angles. For this work we used the aperture masking reduction pipeline created by Dr. Michael Ireland, further explanation of which is given in the appendix of Kraus et al. (2008). In Table 5.2 we list the individual aperture masking observational details, including observation filter, fitted separations and position angle.

| Name | SpT | $\mathrm{m}_{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GSC 6209-735 | K2 | 11.4 |
| GSC 6794-156 | G6 | 9.8 |
| USco J160517.9-202420 | M3 | 14.2 |
| ScoPMS 017 | M1 | 13.8 |
| RX J1550.0-2312 | M2 | 14.1 |
| RX J1601.9-2008 | G5 | 10.4 |
| ROXs 47A | K4 | 13.6 |
| GSC 6209-735 | K2 | 11.4 |

Table 5.1: Basic information for the stars in our orbit monitoring sample. Spectral types are taken from Preibisch et al. (2001).

Our targets were also observed with the Hubble Space Telescope Wide Field Camera (WFC3) in mid-2012, in a number of visible filters ranging from $275-850 \mathrm{~nm}$.

Table 5.2: The full list of Keck NIRC2 observations of our low-mass aperture masking sample in the Upper Scorpius subgroup of Sco-Cen. The data provided are: angular separation ( $\rho$ ), uncertainty on separation $\left(\sigma_{\rho}\right)$, companion position angle $(\theta)$, position angle uncertainty $\left(\sigma_{\theta}\right)$, magnitude difference $(\Delta m)$, and magnitude difference uncertainty $\left(\sigma_{\Delta m}\right)$. The original observation of ROXs 47a (labelled ${ }^{a}$ ), was taken from the discovery paper of Barsony et al. (2003), which used the Hale 200 inch telescope, this measurement was not included in the orbital fit. The HST PSF fitting astromentry was determined using the PSF fitting procedure described in Liu et al. (2008), and was included in the orbital fit.

| Date | MJD | Filter | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\rho} \\ \text { (mas) } \end{gathered}$ | $\theta$ $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\sigma_{\theta}$ $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta m \\ (\mathrm{mag}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\Delta m} \\ (\mathrm{mag}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GSC6209-735 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30/07/14 | 56868 | CH4S | 17.20 | 1.66 | 175.82 | 6.38 | 3.05 | Fixed |
| 29/07/14 | 56511 | K | 22.23 | 13.04 | 180.44 | 3.38 | 3.68 | 1.60 |
| 7/08/13 | 56511.50 | CH4S | 16.36 | 2.48 | 72.47 | 1.201 | 2.93 | 0.45 |
| 4/04/12 | 56021.50 | CH4S | 33.10 | 0.60 | 31.8 | 0.7 | 3.09 | 0.03 |
| 22/06/11 | 55734.50 | CH4S | 26.83 | 0.95 | 11.62 | 0.93 | 2.99 | 0.05 |
| 5/04/10 | 55291.50 | CH4S | 12.70 | 1.0 | 243.5 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 0.01 |
| 1/06/09 | 54983.50 | CH4S | 26.1 | 1.6 | 195.5 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 0.10 |
| 30/05/07 | 54250.50 | CH4S | 31.0 | 2.0 | 42.5 | 3.6 | 3.15 | 0.01 |
| GSC6794-156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/08/13 | 56510.50 | Kc | 70.51 | 0.11 | 93.49 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.01 |
| 15/04/12 | 56032 | HST | 71.50 | 0.50 | 115.30 | 0.60 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| 5/06/11 | 55717.50 | L' | 70.47 | 0.11 | 129.76 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 0.01 |
| 4/04/10 | 55290.50 | Jc | 65.99 | 0.06 | 150.12 | 0.05 | 0.532 | 0.01 |
| 1/06/09 | 54983.50 | CH4S | 60.59 | 0.12 | 167.46 | 0.12 | 0.504 | 0.01 |
| 6/06/07 | 54257.50 | K' | 44.30 | 0.07 | 230.74 | 0.08 | 0.45 | 0.01 |
| USco J160517.9-202420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30/07/14 | 56868 | CH4S | 25.09 | 0.23 | 303.84 | 0.57 | 0.387 | 0.022 |
| 4/04/12 | 56021.50 | CH4S | 31.73 | 0.04 | 271.19 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.01 |
| 22/06/11 | 55734.50 | CH4S | 37.20 | 0.08 | 278.57 | 0.14 | 0.46 | 0.01 |
| 5/04/10 | 55291.50 | CH4S | 38.28 | 0.07 | 287.6 | 0.08 | 0.391 | 0.01 |
| 1/06/09 | 54983.50 | CH4S | 33.61 | 0.11 | 294.32 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.01 |
| 17/06/08 | 54634.50 | CH4S | 21.40 | 0.09 | 309.21 | 0.47 | 0.517 | 0.02 |
| 6/06/07 | 54257.50 | K' | 16.15 | 0.59 | 251.12 | 1.11 | 0.4 | 0.07 |
| ScoPMS 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29/08/14 | 56867 | K | 34.27 | 0.08 | 117.76 | 0.14 | 0.708 | 0.006 |
| 7/08/13 | 56511.50 | CH4S | 27.10 | 0.04 | 132.5 | 0.08 | 0.79 | 0.01 |
| 4/04/12 | 56021.50 | CH4S | 11.65 | 0.34 | 198.1 | 3.5 | 0.79 | 0.01 |
| 22/06/11 | 55734.50 | CH4S | 22.54 | 0.10 | 32.72 | 0.2 | 0.79 | 0.02 |
| 5/04/10 | 55291.50 | CH4S | 39.74 | 0.10 | 50.49 | 0.14 | 0.761 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Contin | $d$ on | page |


| 5/06/07 | 54256.50 | K' | 53.86 | 0.19 | 68.93 | 0.2 | 0.78 | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RXJ1550.0-2312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30/07/14 | 56868 | CH4S | 42.35 | 2.25 | 136.66 | 2.95 | 1.19 | 0.21 |
| 4/04/12 | 56021.50 | CH4S | 66.96 | 0.20 | 89.02 | 0.13 | 0.863 | 0.01 |
| 14/04/12 | 56030 | HST | 64.10 | 0.20 | 89.56 | 0.27 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| 22/06/11 | 55734.50 | CH4S | 66.80 | 0.30 | 77.5 | 0.3 | 0.87 | 0.03 |
| 5/04/10 | 55291.50 | CH4S | 58.66 | 0.15 | 56.71 | 0.12 | 0.893 | 0.01 |
| 1/06/09 | 54983.50 | CH4S | 46.33 | 0.12 | 35.96 | 0.13 | 0.82 | 0.01 |
| 17/06/08 | 54634.50 | CH4S | 26.88 | 0.17 | 344 | 0.31 | 0.81 | 0.01 |
| 6/06/07 | 54257.50 | K' | 26.93 | 0.04 | 222.07 | 0.11 | 0.76 | 0.01 |
| 5/06/07 | 54256.50 | K' | 26.95 | 0.05 | 222.13 | 0.13 | 0.76 | 0.01 |
| RXJ1601.9-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29/07/14 | 56867 | K | 32.829 | 0.39 | 206.09 | 0.34 | 1.84 | 0.02 |
| 4/04/12 | 56021.50 | CH4S | 17.50 | 1.70 | 100.45 | 1.22 | 2.28 | 0.32 |
| 22/06/11 | 55734.50 | CH4S | 25.50 | 0.30 | 67.6 | 0.3 | 2.04 | 0.02 |
| 5/04/10 | 55291.50 | CH4S | 28.47 | 0.36 | 43.83 | 0.34 | 2.08 | 0.02 |
| 17/06/08 | 54634.50 | CH4S | 24.40 | 0.70 | 231.5 | 0.8 | 2.08 | 0.05 |
| 31/05/07 | 54251.50 | CH4S | 39.31 | 1.57 | 217.67 | 0.59 | 2.14 | 0.13 |
| ROXs 47A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29/07/14 | 56867 | K | 52.31 | 0.15 | 73.08 | 0.21 | 0.094 | 0.007 |
| 7/08/13 | 56511.50 | CH4S | 42.39 | 0.04 | 62.2 | 0.05 | 0.372 | 0.002 |
| 02/06/12 | 56445.0 | HST | 39.9 | 1.4 | 58.9 | 1.0 | ... | ... |
| 22/06/11 | 55734.50 | J | 21.20 | 0.30 | 141.1 | 1.6 | 0.21 | 0.02 |
| 5/04/10 | 55291.50 | CH4S | 43.43 | 0.18 | 108.5 | 0.2 | 0.221 | 0.01 |
| 1/06/09 | 54983.50 | CH4S | 51.76 | 0.19 | 98.73 | 0.22 | 0.171 | 0.01 |
| 24/05/02 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 52418.50 | K | 40.0 | 30.0 | 107 | 20 | 0.1 | 1.60 |

### 5.2.1 Orbital Parameters

From a series of at least four separations and position angles, spanning a temporal baseline which is a sizeable portion of the binary system orbital period, it is possible to extract the seven orbital parameters without a distance measurement. These seven parameters are periastron passage epoch $(T)$, orbital period $(P)$, angular semi-major axis $(a)$, orbital eccentricity $(\epsilon)$, longitude of the ascending node $(\Omega)$, argument of periapsis $(\omega)$, and orbital inclination $(i)$. The primary requirement for extracting the orbital parameters is a relationship between the parameters and an observation time to astrometry. This process is described below, and can be found in full in Smart (1931).

## Keplerian Orbits

The normal two-body scenario is used to parameterise the location of the companion relative to the host star at a given time $(t)$ based on the seven orbital elements. The starting point is the mean anomaly $(M)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t)=\frac{2 \pi}{P}(t-T) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the orbital period and $T$ is the periastron passage epoch. The mean anomaly is a convenient angle that does not represent any real geometric quantity, but rather is the angle between the direction of periastron and the position of the companion, if it were in a circular orbit. This means the mean anomaly varies linearly with time. This can be expressed in terms of another angle, called the eccentric anomaly $(E)$, which is the angle between the direction of periastron and the companions position measured from the centre of mass, projected onto a circle circumscribing the orbital ellipse. This is called Kepler's equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(E)=E-\epsilon \sin E, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the orbital eccentricity. This is then solved numerically to determine $E$. The eccentric anomaly is then related to the true anomaly $(\nu)$, the corresponding angle to $E$ measured from the focus rather than the centre of the ellipse by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \frac{\nu}{2}=\sqrt{\frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}} \tan \frac{E}{2} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given the true anomaly, calculation of the observed separation $(\rho)$ and position angle of the companions $(\theta)$ is done using basic properties of the ellipse and projection into the frame of reference of the observer:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho=\frac{a\left(1-\epsilon^{2}\right)}{1+\epsilon \cos v} \sqrt{\cos ^{2} \alpha+\sin ^{2} \alpha \cos ^{2} i},  \tag{5.4}\\
 \tag{5.5}\\
\tan \theta-\Omega=\sin \alpha \cos i / \cos \alpha,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha=(\nu+\omega)$. This provides both angular separation and position angle for a given set of parameters and a given observational time, and allows an orbital solution to be fitted to the astrometry obtained in our monitoring program.

## Fitting to the Astrometry

The astrometric measurements taken in our orbit monitoring program were used to determine orbital solutions using a $\chi^{2}$ minimisation on a grid of orbital parameters, applying the method described above to compare orbital parameters to the observations. Table 5.3 lists the orbital solutions for the stars in our program, while the corresponding orbital plots can be found in Figures 5.1 to 5.7. Typically, the semi-major axis (in angular units) and the period, the two important parameters for estimation of the system dynamical mass, are determined to better than $\sim 2-3 \%$. In the last two columns of Table 5.3, we list the system dynamical masses at a fixed parallax of 7.5 mas, chosen to represent the mean distance to the Upper Scorpius subgroup. These system masses can then be appropriately scaled to alternate parallax $\pi_{n}$ through multiplication by $\left(7.5 / \pi_{n}\right)^{3}$, with appropriately adjusted uncertainties.

### 5.2.2 HST observations

In addition to AO imaging of the low-mass targets, we have obtained single-epoch observations of these binary systems with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) in a variety of visible filters spanning wavelengths of 225 900 nm . Three exposures in each filter were taken, to which was applied the standard HST reduction, calibration and cosmic ray rejection procedure (Rajan, 2010). We calculated binary system combined magnitudes for each WFC3 filter using aperture photometry on the combined images with a 0.4 " radius star aperture and a sky annulus of $4-6$ ". Given the orbital solutions we have determined for these systems,



 Table 5．3：List of orbital elements and corresponding uncertainties fitted to the astrometric data for the objects monitored in our program．The

| $\left(67^{\circ} 0 \mp\right) 60.0 \mp 29^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ |  | く．LIF6．68\％ |  | $600 \cdot 0 \mp 818^{\circ} 0$ | $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ} 0 \mp 0 L^{\prime} 7 \varepsilon$ |  | $7 \mp 0 ¢ 6 ¢ 97 \%$ | VLI SXOY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ギ0干8＊28 | $8 \cdot 0 \mp 9 \cdot 90$ L | ¢．0干L＇ 876 | \＆L0 $0 \mp 878^{\circ} 0$ | 98＊0干0L＊ 98 | L＇もち干9＇9867 | \＆干0L6もG7Z | 8007－6．L09L［ XU |
| （ $62 \cdot 0 \mp$ ）$¢ 0.0 \mp \mathrm{LI}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ | $9 \cdot 0 \mp 9668$ | $6.0 \mp 0 \cdot 0 ¢$ | L．0干L．L才z | 100．0干L87＊0 | $90.0 \mp 9 \%^{\circ} 97$ | 9＊LIF9．8ZIE | $0 \mp 0$ 切Ctz | zIEz－0．0cgif Xu |
| $(97 \cdot 0 \mp) 90 \cdot 0 \mp 97^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | $8 \cdot 0 \mp 0 \cdot 9 ¢ \%$ | \＆：0干［．98\％ | \＆．0才9．665 | 200．0干088．0 | $\angle E^{\circ} 0 \mp 89^{\circ} \angle \mathrm{F}$ |  | I干9t69ctz | LI SNd ${ }^{\text {OOS }}$ |
| $(2 I \cdot 0 \mp) ¢ 0 \cdot 0 \mp 76{ }^{\circ} 0$ | $0 \cdot 7 \mp 8^{\prime} \ddagger 9 \mathrm{~L}$ | $8^{\circ} 9 \mp 8^{*} 69$ | L．g干F＇09 | ち00．0干206．0 | $86^{\circ} 0 \mp 88^{\circ}$ L | モ・¢干て＇9才IZ | $0 \mp \ddagger ¢ 999 t z ~$ | 07¢707－62LG09L5 |
| （89．0干） $2 \mathrm{I}^{\circ} 0 \mp \square \mathrm{C}^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | ［＇IFも「60\％ | て「1F0＊も | 0＇IF \％＇も¢ | \＆00＇0干0\＆${ }^{\circ} 0$ | 97＊0干¢T＇も9 | \＆＇¢¢干で90¢¢ | IFE66¢Gも | 99L－も6L9 DSゆ |
| $(7 \overbrace{}^{\circ} 0 \mp) \angle I^{\circ} 0 \mp 8 L^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ | £ L $1 \mp 6 \cdot ¢ 9$ | $9.7 \mp 9^{\prime} 7 \%$ | $9^{\cdot} \mathrm{I} \mp 0^{\circ} 80$ Z | ØL0＇0干6โ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 0$ | ¢G：0干6L： 27 | \＆＊8L干下＊866I | モキ09LGGTZ | 98L－60790SD |
| $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | （o） | （o） | （o） | $\ni$ | （seur） | （s¢ep） | （Cr） | ．te7S |
| ${ }^{L} \mathrm{~N}$ | $?$ | $m$ | ช |  | セ | d | L |  |



Figure 5.1: Orbital solution for GSC 6794-156 from NIRC2 AO aperture masking. The data is shown as red squares, with corresponding model fits shown as blue circles. We show the best fit orbit in black, with a 1- $\sigma$ region shaded in grey. The black diamond shows the orbital position of the secondary at the time of the corresponding HST observations. We also display the orbital period, semimajor axis, eccentricity and system mass at 145 pc .


Figure 5.2: Orbital solution for ROXs 47Aab from NIRC2 AO aperture masking, with equivalent colors and symbols as Figure 5.1.


Figure 5.3: Orbital solution for GSC 6209-735 from NIRC2 AO aperture masking, with equivalent colors and symbols as Figure 5.1.


Figure 5.4: Orbital solution for USco J160517.9-202420 from NIRC2 AO aperture masking, with equivalent colors and symbols as Figure 5.1.


Figure 5.5: Orbital solution for ScoPMS 17 from NIRC2 AO aperture masking, with equivalent colors and symbols as Figure 5.1.


Figure 5.6: Orbital solution for RX J1550.0-2312 from NIRC2 AO aperture masking, with equivalent colors and symbols as Figure 5.1.

Table 5.4: Hubble Space Telescope, Wide Field Camera 3 photometry, and differential photometry for the G, K and M-type binary systems in our orbit monitoring program.

| Filter | RXJ1550... | RXJ1601... | USco J1605... | GSC6209-735 | GSC6794-156 | ROXs 47 A | ScoPMS017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F225W | $\ldots$ | $14.96 \pm 0.04$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $14.34 \pm 0.03$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | ... | ... | ... | ... | $1.58 \pm 0.19$ | $\cdots$ | ... |
| F275W | $18.43 \pm 0.14$ | $13.48 \pm 0.03$ | $18.19 \pm 0.12$ | $15.43 \pm 0.04$ | $12.88 \pm 0.02$ | $18.99 \pm 0.18$ | $18.08 \pm 0.12$ |
|  | $1.37 \pm 0.31$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $1.35 \pm 0.19$ | $0.40 \pm 0.36$ | ... |
| F336W | $17.05 \pm 0.05$ | $11.77 \pm 0.02$ | $17.16 \pm 0.06$ | $13.20 \pm 0.02$ | $11.15 \pm 0.02$ | $17.29 \pm 0.06$ | $16.66 \pm 0.05$ |
|  | $1.21 \pm 0.24$ | $0.06 \pm 0.11$ | $0.84 \pm 0.38$ | ... | $0.89 \pm 0.26$ | $0.10 \pm 0.84$ | $1.03 \pm 0.42$ |
| F390W | $16.47 \pm 0.03$ | $11.68 \pm 0.02$ | $16.60 \pm 0.03$ | $13.01 \pm 0.02$ | $11.07 \pm 0.02$ | $16.48 \pm 0.03$ | $16.17 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | $1.57 \pm 0.17$ | $0.17 \pm 0.31$ | $0.64 \pm 0.41$ | $\ldots$ | $0.43 \pm 0.43$ | $0.42 \pm 0.43$ | $1.06 \pm 0.18$ |
| F395N | $16.67 \pm 0.06$ | $12.15 \pm 0.02$ | $16.77 \pm 0.06$ | $13.50 \pm 0.03$ | $11.54 \pm 0.02$ | $16.90 \pm 0.07$ | $16.41 \pm 0.06$ |
|  | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| F438W | $15.88 \pm 0.03$ | $11.41 \pm 0.02$ | $15.98 \pm 0.03$ | $12.58 \pm 0.02$ | $10.77 \pm 0.02$ | $15.72 \pm 0.03$ | $15.56 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | $1.69 \pm 0.14$ | $0.24 \pm 0.40$ | $0.09 \pm 0.56$ | $4.16 \pm 2.35$ | $0.62 \pm 0.33$ | $0.04 \pm 0.47$ | $0.14 \pm 0.45$ |
| F467M | ... | $11.07 \pm 0.02$ | ... | ... | $10.39 \pm 0.02$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| F475W | $15.03 \pm 0.02$ | $\cdots$ | $15.14 \pm 0.02$ | $12.05 \pm 0.02$ | $\ldots$ | $14.80 \pm 0.02$ | $14.81 \pm 0.02$ |
|  | $1.57 \pm 0.09$ | ... | $0.12 \pm 0.30$ | ... | ... | $0.33 \pm 0.38$ | $0.32 \pm 0.15$ |
| F547M | ... | $10.43 \pm 0.02$ | ... | ... | $9.76 \pm 0.02$ | ... | ... |
|  | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... |
| F555W | $14.28 \pm 0.02$ | ... | $14.35 \pm 0.02$ | $11.62 \pm 0.02$ | $\ldots$ | $13.91 \pm 0.02$ | $14.05 \pm 0.02$ |
|  | $1.21 \pm 0.31$ | ... | $0.22 \pm 0.24$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $0.04 \pm 0.10$ | $0.64 \pm 0.47$ |
| F625W | $13.25 \pm 0.02$ | ... | $13.34 \pm 0.02$ | $10.87 \pm 0.02$ | ... | $12.83 \pm 0.02$ | $13.06 \pm 0.02$ |
|  | $1.39 \pm 0.21$ | ... | $0.32 \pm 0.19$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $0.17 \pm 0.07$ | $0.19 \pm 0.10$ |
| F631N | ... | $9.96 \pm 0.02$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $9.30 \pm 0.02$ | ... | ... |
|  | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
| F656N | $12.04 \pm 0.03$ | $9.39 \pm 0.02$ | $12.13 \pm 0.03$ | $10.30 \pm 0.02$ | $8.67 \pm 0.02$ | $11.71 \pm 0.03$ | $11.86 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| F673N | ... | $9.73 \pm 0.02$ | ... | ... | $9.09 \pm 0.02$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| F775W | $11.69 \pm 0.02$ | $9.38 \pm 0.02$ | $11.95 \pm 0.02$ | $10.25 \pm 0.02$ | $8.82 \pm 0.02$ | $11.47 \pm 0.02$ | $11.73 \pm 0.02$ |
|  | $0.89 \pm 0.10$ | $-0.07 \pm 0.21$ | $0.25 \pm 0.07$ | $\cdots$ | $0.36 \pm 0.04$ | $0.16 \pm 0.09$ | $1.04 \pm 0.58$ |
| F850LP | $10.85 \pm 0.02$ | $8.98 \pm 0.02$ | $11.14 \pm 0.02$ | $9.85 \pm 0.02$ | $8.36 \pm 0.02$ | $10.56 \pm 0.02$ | $10.92 \pm 0.02$ |
|  | $0.86 \pm 0.04$ | $1.03 \pm 0.84$ | $0.22 \pm 0.09$ | ... | $0.34 \pm 0.09$ | $0.18 \pm 0.06$ | $0.53 \pm 0.71$ |

we can accurately predict the separation and position angle of each binary system at the epoch of observation with the HST, to within a few milli-arcseconds. Combined with the stability of the HST point spread function (PSF), we can derive differential photometry from our observations even though the binary separations are typically


Figure 5.7: Orbital solution for RX J1601.9-2008 from NIRC2 AO aperture masking, with equivalent colors and symbols as Figure 5.1.
$<60$ mas. Using the Tiny Tim software (Krist et al., 2011), our collaborator Trent Dupuy created PSF models for the WFC3 wide-band filters. These were then fitted to the images of our binary systems, with the separation and position angle fixed to the values determined by the orbit. This PSF fitting procedure was carried out by Trent Dupuy and is described in further detail in a previous publication (Liu et al., 2008). The resulting photometry is presented in Table 5.4.

## $5.3 \tau$-Scorpii Follow-Up Observations

Over the course of 2012-2014, we have continued to observe the B-type Upper Scorpius member $\tau$-Sco with the goal of definitively ruling out the existence of a companion, this included additional SUSI observations, Keck aperture-masking, and interferometry with PIONIER/VLTI. Table 5.5 summarises the observations.

The SUSI observations, which can be found in Appendix E, show no significant detection on any of the six nights on which the target was observed. Similarly the Keck aperture-masking does not indicate the presence of a companion out to 200 mas.

Table 5.5: Summary of follow-up observations of $\tau$-Sco

| Date | Instrument | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 / 07 / 2012$ | SUSI | 40 m |
| $26 / 08 / 2012$ | SUSI | 40 m |
| $05 / 07 / 2013$ | SUSI | 60 m |
| $26 / 07 / 2013$ | SUSI | 60 m |
| $15 / 08 / 2013$ | SUSI | 80 m |
| $04 / 04 / 2012$ | NIRC2 | Hc filter, 18 -hole mask |
| $03 / 07 / 2014$ | PIONIER | H-free |

The PIONIER observations are also consistent with the result that $\tau$-Sco is a single star, with both closure-phases and square-visibilities consistent with an unresolved object. These can be seen in Figure 5.8.

The VLTI observations provide a broad UV-coverage, with spatial resolution as small as 4 mas in both the North and East directions (Figure 5.8c). From the combined data, we conclude that $\tau$-Sco is almost certainly a single star.

### 5.4 Age Estimation

The goal is to produce a method for determining the age and masses of the stars in a binary system of known orbit from the orbital parameters and measured magnitudes in a number of filters, as well as a contrast ratio in one or more filters. We phrase the problem in terms of Bayes' Theorem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\Phi \mid D) \propto P(\Phi) P(D \mid \Phi) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ represents a model and $D$ represents the data. The model $\Phi$ consists of an age, model parallax $\left(\pi_{m}\right)$, primary and secondary masses ( $M_{p}$ and $M_{s}$ ), a reddening parameter $(E(B-V))$, and a set of isochrones, which map mass, reddening and age to magnitudes in different filters, $T_{\text {eff }}$ and $\log (g)$, which can be compared to the data.


(c) UV-Coverage

Figure 5.8: Calibrated PIONIER data for $\tau$-Sco from $03 / 07 / 2014$. Both the squared visibility and closure phase are consistent with an unresolved object, indicating that $\tau$-Sco is very likely to be a single star.

The data, $D$, consist of an association parallax in the absence of a directly measured distance ( $6.9 \pm 1.7$ mas, taken from Rizzuto et al. (2011)), the total mass observable $\left(M_{T} \pi^{3}\right)$ calculated from the orbital period and semi-major axis, the magnitude difference in one or more filters $\left(\Delta m_{i}\right)$, taken from our AO aperture masking observations, and a set of combined magnitudes in available catalog filters $\left\{m_{\star, i}\right\}$, including APASS

BVgri filters, 2MASS J,H and K, as well as combined and differential photometry in a number of HST WFC3 filters.

Firstly, the model is expressed in terms of the more directly comparable parameters such as magnitude difference and combined magnitude using marginalisation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(D \mid \Phi)=P(D \mid \phi) P(\phi \mid \Phi), \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi=\left\{\right.$ Age, $\left.\beta_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}}\right\}, \phi=\left\{\pi_{m}, m_{s+p, i}, \Delta m_{i},\left(M_{p}+M_{s}\right) \pi_{m}^{3}\right\}$, and $\Delta m_{i}$ is the magnitude difference between secondary and primary in filter $i$ for the given primary and secondary masses, reddened according to the Savage and Mathis (1979) extinction law and the model reddening parameter. $m_{s+p, i}$ is the combined magnitude in filter $i$ of the primary and secondary for the given masses and at the given parallax and also reddened as above.

Note that this transformation is simple because the new parameters are directly given by the original model and so $P(\phi \mid \Phi)=1$, leaving the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\phi \mid D)=\frac{P(D \mid \phi) P(\Phi)}{P(D)} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the prior probability distribution $P(\Phi)$, we have chosen a uniform distribution, the most ignorant prior, meaning that all values of the model parameters are initially treated as being equally likely. For each set of model parameters, we then calculate $P(D \mid \phi)$, which takes the following form when separated into individual variables:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(D \mid \phi)=P\left(\pi_{\star} \mid \pi_{m}\right) P\left(M_{t} \pi^{3} \mid\left(M_{p+s}\right) \pi_{m}^{3}\right)  \tag{5.9}\\
\prod_{i} P\left(m_{\star, i} \mid m_{s+p, i}\right) \prod_{j} P\left(\Delta m_{\star, j} \mid \Delta m_{j}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i$ and $j$ indicate multiplication over all available magnitude filters. The probabilities in the above equations are modeled as normal distributions, with standard
deviationd given by the uncertainties in the data:

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(\pi_{\star} \mid \pi_{m}\right) & \propto \exp -\frac{\left(\pi_{\star}-\pi_{m}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\star}} \\
P\left(M_{t} \pi^{3} \mid\left(M_{p+s}\right) \pi_{m}^{3}\right) & \propto \exp -\frac{\left(M_{t} \pi^{3}-\left(M_{p}+M_{s}\right) \pi_{m}^{3}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{M_{t} \pi^{3}}^{2}}  \tag{5.10}\\
P\left(m_{\star, i} \mid m_{s+p, i}\right) & \propto \exp -\frac{\left(m_{\star, i}-m_{s+p, i}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{m_{\star, i}}^{2}} \\
P\left(\Delta m_{\star, j} \mid \Delta m_{j}\right) & \propto \exp -\frac{\left(\Delta m_{\star, j}-\Delta m_{j}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\Delta m_{\star, j}}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have omitted the usual normalisation factors for the purpose of brevity. We then calculate the probability of a grid of model parameter sets using equations (5.9) and (5.10) to determine the most likely value of age, component masses and parallax. This was done with age ranging from $1-25 \mathrm{Myr}$ in steps of 1 Myr , and primary and secondary mass ranging from a minimum of $0.5 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ to 2 Msun in steps of $0.02 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. The model parallax was varied from $2-15$ mas in steps of 0.1 mas, and the reddening parameter $E(B-V)$ was varied from $0-1$ in steps of 0.05 magnitudes. Once a fit was found, we decreased the step size and sampling range to fully sample the probability distribution. We also added in quadrature an error of 0.05 magnitudes to all non-simultaneous photometric measurements to account for the average variability of PMS dwarfs (Herbst et al., 2007)

To reduce the dependence of the results of our age estimation on the characteristics of any one particular set of model isochrones, or at least to illuminate the model dependence, we use both the Padova (Girardi et al., 2002) and the Dartmouth PMS models (Dotter et al., 2008) to determine the age of the binary systems in our Upper Scorpius sample. The photometry available to us for fitting varies between objects; for the most part, $B, V, g, r$, and i magnitudes from the APASS survey, and 2MASS nearIR magnitudes are available for most of the target systems. ROXs47A is a hierarchical triple system (Barsony et al., 2003), of which we are examining the inner dynamical system. The third component of the system, which is of comparable brightness to the
primary, is at a separation of 0.79 ", which means that the 2MASS and APASS photometry are contaminated and are unusable. Table 5.6 tabulates all publicly available photometry for the stars in our sample. For completeness we include ROXs 47A in the table, though we do not use this photometry in the fitting procedure described below. As mentioned above, we also incorporated our HST WFC3 wide band photometry and differential photometry obtained in 2012. We excluded the filters F225W, F275W, and F336W, because this wavelength range is highly sensitive to the activity of the PMS star in question, and so cannot be reliably fit using the models.

### 5.4.1 Estimated Stellar Properties

Computation of the posterior probability for our Bayesian models yields a five dimensional space of probabilities, one dimension for each model parameter, which can be reduced to lower dimensions by marginalising over uncorrelated model parameters. We found none of our model parameters were strongly correlated, though the reddening parameter showing some correlation with the other parameters for some of the stars in our sample. This produced a possibility of multiple solutions with different but comparable probabilities, which can then be evaluated individually by inspection.

| Name | J | H | K | B | V | g | r | i |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RXJ1550．0－2312 | $9.885 \pm 0.024$ | $9.215 \pm 0.023$ | $8.930 \pm 0.023$ | $15.613 \pm 0.479$ | $14.065 \pm 0.054$ | $14.760 \pm 0.337$ | $13.342 \pm 0.196$ | $12.059 \pm 0.102$ |
| RXJ1601．9－2008 | $8.350 \pm 0.020$ | $7.808 \pm 0.026$ | $7.672 \pm 0.020$ | $11.333 \pm 0.043$ | $10.380 \pm 0.030$ | $10.985 \pm 0.187$ | $10.086 \pm 0.004$ | $9.637 \pm 0.011$ |
| USco J160517．9－202420 | $10.154 \pm 0.022$ | $9.349 \pm 0.024$ | $9.143 \pm 0.019$ | $15.858 \pm 0.052$ | $14.224 \pm 0.035$ | $15.059 \pm 0.042$ | $13.497 \pm 0.036$ | $12.400 \pm 0.140$ |
| GSC6209－735 | $9.158 \pm 0.030$ | $8.603 \pm 0.042$ | $8.426 \pm 0.020$ | $12.514 \pm 0.058$ | $11.403 \pm 0.049$ | $11.917 \pm 0.033$ | $11.012 \pm 0.053$ | $10.626 \pm 0.095$ |
| GSC6794－156 | $7.779 \pm 0.027$ | $7.280 \pm 0.027$ | $7.084 \pm 0.018$ | $10.673 \pm 0.015$ | $9.775 \pm 0.085$ | $10.321 \pm 0.150$ | $9.499 \pm 0.201$ | $8.980 \pm 0.144$ |
| ROXs47A | $9.245 \pm 0.024$ | $8.351 \pm 0.031$ | $7.929 \pm 0.061$ | $15.381 \pm 0.098$ | $13.611 \pm 0.095$ | $14.510 \pm 0.119$ | $12.835 \pm 0.092$ | $11.615 \pm 0.051$ |
| ScoPMS017 | $9.932 \pm 0.024$ | $9.235 \pm 0.026$ | $8.992 \pm 0.021$ | $15.571 \pm 0.366$ | $13.833 \pm 0.095$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12.058 \pm 0.086$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |

Table 5．6：Available photometry of the binary systems observed．The IR JHK magnitudes are taken from 2MASS and the BVgri magnitudes are taken from the latest APASS data release．

We produce one-dimensional probability densities for the parameters of our model, and then determined the intervals which contain the most likely values of each parameter. Figure 5.9 displays the probability of each model parameter for the star USco J160517.9-202420.


Figure 5.9: The probability of each model parameter for the star USco J160517.9-202420. Note that the two peaks in (b) are the primary (blue) and secondary (red) mass, which are placed on a single figure for ease of viewing, but are treated separately in the analysis.

Given these output distributions, we then calculate 1- $\sigma$ Bayesian "credible" intervals for each parameter, which can be found in Table 5.7. We also provide the corresponding estimated model photometry for the binary components in Tables 5.8 and 5.9 for the Padova and Dartmouth isochrones respectively.

|  |  | Age | $\mathrm{M}_{p}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{s}$ | $\pi$ | $E(B-V)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name |  | $(\mathrm{Myr})$ | $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{mas})$ | $(\mathrm{mag})$ | $\chi_{r}^{2}$ |
| GSC6209-735 | P | $17.0_{-3.0}^{+3.2}$ | $1.17 \pm 0.06$ | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | $7.9 \pm 0.5$ | $0.41 \pm 0.06$ | 5.0 |
|  | D | $19.7_{-5.7}^{+5.0}$ | $1.17 \pm 0.09$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $7.5 \pm 1.0$ | $0.50 \pm 0.07$ | 7.4 |
| GSC6794-156 | P | $9.9_{-0.8}^{+1.2}$ | $1.52 \pm 0.04$ | $1.42 \pm 0.03$ | $7.3 \pm 0.1$ | $0.38 \pm 0.03$ | 12.4 |
|  | D | $11.1_{-0.5}^{+0.8}$ | $1.45 \pm 0.01$ | $1.36 \pm 0.01$ | $7.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.39 \pm 0.02$ | 15.0 |
| USco J1605... | P | $3.8_{-1.0}^{+0.8}$ | $0.52 \pm 0.02$ | $0.40 \pm 0.01$ | $6.5 \pm 0.4$ | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | 2.2 |
|  | D | $6.0_{-1.1}^{+0.9}$ | $0.59 \pm 0.03$ | $0.45 \pm 0.02$ | $6.9 \pm 0.3$ | $0.28 \pm 0.03$ | 3.6 |
| ScoPMS 17 | P | $5.3_{-0.5}^{+0.6}$ | $0.47 \pm 0.01$ | $0.29 \pm 0.01$ | $8.6 \pm 0.2$ | $0.29 \pm 0.02$ | 4.1 |
| RXJ1601.9-2008 | P | $10.2_{-1.8}^{+1.7}$ | $1.51 \pm 0.06$ | $0.73 \pm 0.07$ | $6.8 \pm 0.2$ | $0.40 \pm 0.06$ | 6.2 |
|  | D | $11.2_{-0.8}^{+1.1}$ | $1.45 \pm 0.05$ | $0.78 \pm 0.05$ | $6.7 \pm 0.2$ | $0.43 \pm 0.03$ | 7.3 |
| RXJ1550.0-2312 | P | $10.8_{-0.9}^{+0.9}$ | $0.39 \pm 0.02$ | $0.23 \pm 0.01$ | $12.8 \pm 0.4$ | $0.38 \pm 0.04$ | 2.0 |
|  | D | $12.9_{-1.1}^{+1.0}$ | $0.47 \pm 0.04$ | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ | $12.2 \pm 0.4$ | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | 2.7 |
| ROXs 47A | P | $2.8_{-0.3}^{+0.3}$ | $0.58 \pm 0.01$ | $0.52 \pm 0.01$ | $7.8 \pm 0.1$ | $0.62 \pm 0.02$ | 11.0 |
|  | D | $3.5_{-0.4}^{+0.3}$ | $0.61 \pm 0.02$ | $0.55 \pm 0.02$ | $7.6 \pm 0.1$ | $0.45 \pm 0.02$ | 13.2 |

Table 5.7: The estimated stellar parameters for the stars in our sample. The models Padova (P) and Dartmouth (D) refer to the Girardi et al. (2002) and Dotter et al. (2008) model grids respectively. The final column lists the model best fit reduced $\chi^{2}$ value.

## USco J160517.9-202420

We find that the best fit model parameters for USco J160517.9-202420 indicate that it is a young binary system of age $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$, with the three model fits producing age and parallax estimates that agree within the one-sigma uncertainties. There is some difference in the best fit masses and extinction parameter between the models: The Padova model produces primary and secondary masses which are significantly smaller than the corresponding Dartmouth model fits. Similarly, the Padova fits yield a larger extinction to the system. The two solutions agree within $1.5-2$ sigma, though the Padova models fit the data more closely. The study in which this star was identified as a Sco-Cen member estimates $E(B-V)=0.3$ and estimation using spectral type and the $J-K$ color yields $E(B-V) \sim 0.3$ using the intrinsic color tables of Bessell and Brett (1988). Both these estimations are consistent with our model fits, within a few
tenths of a magnitude in $E(B-V)$. Forcing a smaller value of $E(B-V)<0.3$ for the extinction parameter, our fitting procedure produces a younger, but consistent, age of $3.5 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{Myr}$, and a smaller primary mass of $0.44 \pm 0.01$, though the overall model fit is significantly poorer in this case.

## GSC6794-156

Both model fits produce a system age of $\sim 10 \mathrm{Myr}$ for GSC $6794-156$, which is consistent with the recent Pecaut et al. (2012) age estimation for Upper Scorpius. In the other four parameters the Padova and Dartmouth models agree generally, however, for the Dartmouth models, we see some degeneracy in the component masses. We quote the most probable solution in Table 5.7. Both the Padova and Dartmouth models estimate a system parallax of $7.3 \pm 0.2$, and most likely reddening parameter of $E(B-V)=$ 0.4 mag. Given both the $\Delta J$ and $\Delta K$ values from the Keck NIRC2 aperture masking (see Table 5.2), we can estimate the expected extinction for this system using standard tables of template photometry for young systems, with some uncertainty produced by the unclear spectral-type of the primary. The tables of Bessell and Brett (1988) give an intrinsic J-K color of of 0.43 mag for the approximately G6 primary of system this system. The observed color, corrected for the presence of the companion using the aperture masking contrasts is 0.617 mag , which then yields a value of $E(B-V) \sim 0.4$ for the system. Similar estimation using the intrinsic colors for young stars from Pecaut and Mamajek (2013) produces a value of $E(B-V) \sim 0.2-0.4$ mag. Both of these values are consistent with our determination for the system.

## RXJ1550.0-2312

From the original orbital solution for RXJ1550.0-2312, there was clear evidence that the system was significantly closer than the median Upper-Scorpius parallax of $\sim 7.5$ (See Table 5.3). Upon applying the Bayesian fitting method described above, we found a peak in the system parallax PDF beyond 10 mas, and so we removed the input prior system parallax of $7.5 \pm 1.6$ mas and refit the data for both models. We find ages and extinctions for the models that agree within 1.5 -sigma, with an expected system age
of $12.6 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{Myr}$, which is consistent with the latest 11 Myr age of Upper Scorpius. The fitted component masses and system parallax also agree across the models. Our fitting estimates the reddening parameter $E(B-V)$ to be $0.38 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{mag}$ from the Padova model fit and $0.24 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{mag}$ from the Dartmouth models. The estimated parallax for RXJ1550.0-2312 is quite large compared to the other objects in our sample, and places it in the foreground of Upper-Scorpius at $\sim 12$ mas parallax or $\sim 85$ pc. This distance is more consistent with membership of the neighbouring, older Upper-Centaurus-Lupus (UCL) subgroup. This is consistent with the age estimation and the fact that RXJ1550.0-2312 is near the border region between Upper-Scorpius and UCL, we suggest that this object may be a member of UCL.

## RXJ1601.9-2008

At the time of our HST observation of this object, the separation of the components on the sky was only $\sim 17$ mas, which combined with the large brightness contrast between the components of this system made it impossible to produce a meaningful differential magnitude fit for this object from the HST data. Hence we employed only the IR magnitude difference from the NIRC2 AO aperture masking observations, and the unresolved system HST and catalog magnitudes to fit the stellar parameters of this system. The model ages and extinctions for the system agree between the three models to within 1-sigma. Estimation of the extinction from the spectral type and color tables gives $E(B-V)=0.2-0.4$ which agrees with the values determined from our fitting procedure.

## GSC6209-735

The extreme contrast between the primary and the secondary ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}=3.05 \mathrm{mag}$ ), combined with the small angular separation of the primary and the secondary removed the possibility of a reliable differential photometric fit being produced from the HST data. As such, the only magnitude difference available was the H -band Keck masking measurement. This orbit is also the least well-constrained in our sample and hence the parameter fits for this system are highly unconstrained and show a large range of
possible system ages and multiple component mass and parallax solutions. Despite this, it is possible to say that this system is most likely $>10 \mathrm{Myr}$ according to both all three models, with possible age solution at $\sim 15-20 \mathrm{Myr}$ and beyond 30 Myr . The possible age solutions for this binary system are significantly older than the other PMS binary systems in our sample, and places GSC6209-735 as a potential member of the older Upper Centaurus Lupus subgroup of Sco-Cen. However, unlike RXJ1550.02312, GSC6209-735 has an estimated parallax consistent with both Upper Scorpius and UCL, and is located near the centre of Upper Scorpius. With further astrometry, and differential photometry in further filters it will be possible to constrain the stellar parameters for this system.

## ScoPMS 17

The results for ScoPMS 17 indicate that it is definitely a young star with age $<8 \mathrm{Myr}$. Both models produce an age consistent with $\sim 6 \mathrm{Myr}$, with primary and secondary masses of $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ respectively. As with some of the other young stars in our sample, the models produce slightly different solutions for the extinction parameters $E(B-V)$, with the Dartmouth models indicating less extinction generally. Estimation from the colour tables gives a value of $E(B-V) \sim 0.2$, which is more consistent with the Dartmouth reddening solution, however the Padova model produces a significantly better fit to the data.

## ROXs47A

We expected ROXs 47A to be the most difficult M-type system for the models to accurately reproduce, given the very young age and disk presence. The Bayesian fitting procedure produced a very young and highly reddened fit for ROXs47A, with an estimated age $<4 \mathrm{Myr}$ for the Padova and Dartmouth model. The estimated parallaxes for both the Padova and Dartmouth models ( 7.8 mas and 7.7 mas respectively) are consistent with ROXs47A being a members of the very young $\rho$-Ophiuchus star forming region, which is located at $(\alpha, \delta)=\left(16^{h} 28^{m},-24^{\circ} 33^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and a distance of $\sim 130 \mathrm{pc}$, i.e.,
Table 5.8: Best fit model photometry for the primary and secondary components of each modelled binary system, taken from the results of our Bayesian fitting procedure, including estimated extinction, as described above for the Padova isochrones.
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| P | 3823 | 16.13 | 14.43 | 13.14 | 10.35 | 9.61 | 9.35 | 15.47 | 13.76 | 12.64 | 24.14 | 16.85 | 16.17 | 15.42 | 14.61 | 13.53 | 12.15 | 11.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 3590 | 17.25 | 15.40 | 14.05 | 11.14 | 10.45 | 10.13 | 16.52 | 14.70 | 13.49 | 25.06 | 18.08 | 17.34 | 16.46 | 15.59 | 14.48 | 12.98 | 12.11 |
| USco J160517.9-202420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 3857 | 16.34 | 14.68 | 13.44 | 10.75 | 9.95 | 9.72 | 15.69 | 14.03 | 12.98 | 24.28 | 17.06 | 16.38 | 15.64 | 14.86 | 13.81 | 12.49 | 11.69 |
| S | 3684 | 17.05 | 15.32 | 14.00 | 11.09 | 10.38 | 10.10 | 16.37 | 14.66 | 13.43 | 24.79 | 17.79 | 17.11 | 16.32 | 15.51 | 14.43 | 12.93 | 12.05 |
| RXJ1601.9-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 5902 | 11.44 | 10.42 | 9.74 | 8.45 | 8.03 | 7.91 | 10.95 | 10.11 | 9.76 | 15.74 | 11.72 | 11.47 | 10.99 | 10.52 | 9.92 | 9.34 | 8.98 |
| S | 4071 | 15.96 | 14.32 | 13.18 | 10.92 | 10.07 | 9.88 | 15.30 | 13.67 | 12.91 | 24.05 | 16.72 | 15.99 | 15.26 | 14.47 | 13.46 | 12.46 | 11.80 |
| ScoPMS017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 3791 | 15.91 | 14.34 | 13.13 | 10.43 | 9.71 | 9.49 | 15.30 | 13.73 | 12.62 | 23.33 | 16.56 | 15.94 | 15.25 | 14.51 | 13.50 | 12.12 | 11.32 |
| S | 3551 | 16.85 | 15.09 | 13.84 | 11.13 | 10.47 | 10.17 | 16.15 | 14.45 | 13.32 | 24.07 | 17.66 | 16.94 | 16.09 | 15.27 | 14.23 | 12.81 | 12.02 |
| ROXs47A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 3637 | 16.23 | 14.45 | 13.13 | 10.23 | 9.52 | 9.23 | 15.53 | 13.78 | 12.57 | 23.93 | 17.00 | 16.30 | 15.47 | 14.64 | 13.56 | 12.06 | 11.19 |
| S | 3536 | 16.55 | 14.69 | 13.36 | 10.48 | 9.80 | 9.48 | 15.81 | 14.00 | 12.80 | 24.18 | 17.41 | 16.65 | 15.75 | 14.88 | 13.78 | 12.29 | 11.44 |
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Table 5．9：Best fit model photometry for the primary and secondary components of each modelled binary system，taken from the results of our
Bayesian fitting procedure，including estimated extinction，as described above for the Dartmouth isochrones．
slightly closer than the Upper-Scorpius subgroup. This parallax is inconsistent with the distance to Ophiuchus, and the estimated age of $5.2 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{Myr}$ is significantly older than the mean age of 2.1 Myr of the Ophiuchus PMS stars (McClure et al., 2010). All three fits produce extinction values consistent with extinction value of McClure et al. (2010) $(E(B-V)=0.52 \mathrm{mag})$.

### 5.5 The Binary-Star Age of Upper Scorpius

A number of the members we have explored here appear to be younger than 10 Myr , and there appears to be a population of Upper-Scorpius members of age $>10 \mathrm{Myr}$. The Pecaut et al. (2012) study, which used photometry for B, A, F and G-type stars, estimated the age of the Upper Scorpius subgroup to be $11 \pm 2 \mathrm{Myr}$, which is significantly different to the age determinations for the younger stars in our work, and the age estimations in previous work (Preibisch et al., 2002, de Geus, 1992). There is some indication that the PMS models can produce large age spreads for accreting stars, with observed luminosities above the $\sim 10 \mathrm{Myr}$ isochrone being unreliable (Baraffe et al., 2009). However, there is no adequate explanation for high-luminosity non-accreting stars, the existence of which provide strong evidence for a younger population in UpperScorpius.

There are some key differences between the approach we have taken, and that of the Pecaut et al. (2012) study, the most important of which is that we are using photometry combined with a dynamical mass observable derived from orbital monitoring. Pecaut et al. (2012) estimate the main sequence turn-off age for the B-type stars to be $10 \pm 2$ Myr. This was estimated by fitting isochrones to photometry of 6 stars using a number of models, including both rotation and non-rotation, to estimate an age. However it is difficult to fit a mean sequence turn-off age to a small number of objects with different distances and differential reddening. If a mean main-sequence turn off age is calculated by weighing the values of individual stars by their membership probability from Rizzuto et al. (2011), a much smaller value of $6.8 \pm 2.8 \mathrm{Myr}$ is found, which is in close agreement with previous values. Furthermore, the effective temperature
and luminosity of the star $\delta$-Sco, a 0.45 AU binary system with an emission disk, in the Pecaut et al. (2012) study (Figures 10 and 11) does not reflect its spectral type (B0.2V), which would place it much closer to the $2-5 \mathrm{Myr}$ isochrone. Furthermore, the effective temperature of this object has previously been more directly measured to be $31460 \pm 1970$ K with the Narrabri Intensity Interferometer (Code et al., 1976), which is consistent with the spectral type and younger age. The difference between the Pecaut et al. (2012) value and these other measures is most likely due to the high rotation rate of the $\delta$-Sco primary, which makes the colour-colour relations used to compute the effective temperature and luminosity unreliable (Tango et al., 2009). Additionally, the star $\pi$-Sco, which was also used by Pecaut et al. (2012), was determined to be an unlikely member of Upper-Scorpius by Rizzuto et al. (2011).

The B-type star $\tau$-Sco has a well-determined temperature of $32000 \pm 1000 \mathrm{~K}$ and luminosity of $\log \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}_{\odot}=4.47 \pm 0.13$ measured from the He and H absorption lines, and has a very slow rotation period of 43 days (Simón-Díaz et al., 2006, Strassmeier, 2009). This means that $\tau$-Sco is certainly very young, with an age between 2 and 5 Myr . For non-rotating stellar models the most massive 15 Myr stars should be approximately $12 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Ekström et al., 2012). The existence of $\tau$-Sco and $\omega$-Sco, a similarly massive Upper-Scorpius member, strongly argues for some star formation at 5 Myr .

The main-sequence A-type, and G-type PMS member age estimates of Pecaut et al. (2012) are $9 \pm 2 \mathrm{Myr}$ and $10 \pm 3 \mathrm{Myr}$ respectively, which broadly agree with our Bayesian age estimate. This leaves only the F-type members, which Pecaut et al. (2012) estimate to be $13 \pm 1 \mathrm{Myr}$, which is in clear disagreement to our age measurements of individual Upper Scorpius members. In the Pecaut et al. (2012) study, the Upper-Scorpius space velocity used to determine the kinematic association distances of the F-type stars is taken from Chen et al. (2011); this is slightly different from the Rizzuto et al. (2011) values, which are faster by approximately $1 \mathrm{kms}^{-1}$ in U , the most significant direction for Upper-Scorpius. This means that for any given proper-motion, an F-type star would appear further away when compared to the motion of the association, and will have a luminosity increased by approximately $10 \%$, or 0.05 dex. While this difference is in the correct direction, it is not significant enough to account for the above age discrepancy.

| Evidence for Young Upper-Scorpius |
| :--- |
| Height above main sequence of some members |
| The existence of $\tau$-Sco |
| The existence of $\omega$-Sco |
| The existence of $\delta$-Sco |
| High-luminosity, non-accreting K and M-type stars |
| Evidence for Old Upper-Scorpius |
| F-type member population age |
| Evolved B-type stars in Upper-Scorpius region |

Table 5.10: Summarised evidence for the existence of two Upper-Scorpius populations.

There is also measured blurring in the Hipparcos Sco-Cen substructure on the order of $\sim 5^{\circ}$, meaning some older UCL stars could be included in this sample (Rizzuto et al., 2011).

An alternate hypothesis is that there are two populations of stars in the UpperScorpius subgroup: one of age $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$, including $\tau$-Sco and other massive B-type stars, and a second population of age $\sim 15 \mathrm{Myr}$. In these two populations, F-type stars will occupy significantly different mass ranges. From the Dartmouth isochrones (Dotter et al., 2008), we find that the 5 Myr population, with $3.78<\log T_{\text {eft }}<3.85$ spans masses ranging from 1.87 to $2.02 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Similary, for the 15 Myr population with identical temperature range, we have mass ranging from 1.23 to $1.54 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Taking into consideration a reasonable IMF, such as the Kroupa IMF with exponent -2.3, we would expect a factor of 20 times more stars from the older population compared to the younger population, and hence an older age estimation from a combined sample within the specific spectral-type range.

Furthermore, we note that for a B-type population, for B3 and later the population is essentially unbiased. For the M-type stars, which are a magnitude limited sample, there is a significant bias against the older population due to the substantial luminosity difference between a 5 Myr and a 15 Myr population in the M-type regime. For the K
and M-type stars, we can compare the R-band magnitude of the isochrones and assume an RMS $10 \%$ relative distance dispersion. Cutting at $3-\sigma$ above the 5 Myr isochrone gives a faint limit of 6.54 magnitudes in R , which is 0.7 magnitudes brighter than the 15 Myr population. This is consistent with the current data for Upper-Scorpius, for which the isochronal age from the magnitude limited, known M-type stars is $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$ (Preibisch et al., 2002).

Combining the three spectral type ranges, a population model for Upper-Scorpius with approximately one third of the population of age $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$, and the remaining two thirds of age $\sim 15 \mathrm{Myr}$, is consistent with the current data for Upper-Scorpius members.

A possible star formation scenario for such a population implies that the majority of Upper-Scorpius formed as part of the greater Sco-Cen association through sequentially triggered star formation in the original molecular cloud, and has an age of $\sim 11 \mathrm{Myr}$, and proper motion in R.A. of $\sim-13 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$. A separate cloud, moving with a slower proper motion of $\sim-9 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$, would then undergo star formation approximately 5 Myr ago, triggered by the known supernova explosion in UCL (de Geus, 1992). This difference in motion of the clouds means the cloud which formed the young population of Upper-Scorpius, which we will now refer to as the " $\tau$-Scorpii" association, corresponds to $\sim 5^{\circ}$ of positional difference on the sky over 5 Myr , or approximately 10 pc . A $28 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (or $39 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for rotating models) star will end its life as a supernova at 6.3 Myr (Ekström et al., 2012) meaning that this is the minimum required time difference between the formation of one association and a second, supernova-triggered association. Our estimates here indicate that on the order of 10 Myr , and 10 pc separated the Sco-Cen association and the $\tau$-Scorpii molecular clouds, this is both sufficient time for a supernova to occur, and sufficient distance for safety of the $\tau$-Sco cloud from disruptive Sco-Cen B-type star cloud dispersal. Further triggered star formation then produced the even younger $\rho$-Oph star forming region, which is actively undergoing star formation.

In this scenario, the two Upper-Scorpius populations would be both spatially overlapping, and kinematically overlapping due to the characteristic velocity dispersion of the association. With higher precision parallaxes and proper motion from the Gaia mission data, the two Upper-Scorpius populations may be identifiable.

## 6

## Conclusions and Future Work

## Conclusions

In the first section of this thesis, we have analysed the available preliminary WISE photometry for the B, A and F-type Sco-Cen stars of the Rizzuto et al. (2011) membership list and detected $13422 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ excesses above the expected photosphere emission. We have used Sco-Cen membership probabilities to extrapolate an excess fraction for certain members, and observe that there is no clear increase in disk fraction between the young US subgroup and the older UCL and LCC subgroups. However, we report a significantly larger disk fraction than previously observed in the youngest subgroup US. These results agree with those of previous studies (Carpenter et al., 2009). Importantly we find that the excess fraction is significantly lower for the B-type stars in our sample compared to A and F-type association members, which is contrary to the trend seen by Carpenter et al. (2009). One possible explanation relates to multiplicity.

B-type stars have a significantly higher multiplicity fraction compared to later type stars (Kouwenhoven et al., 2005). The presence of a companion can potentially truncate the inner regions of the debris disk through resonances (Artymowicz and Lubow, 1994), producing a smaller disk fraction. This has been observed in a recent study of binaries in Taurus-Auriga, particularly those with close ( $<40 \mathrm{AU}$ ) companions (Kraus et al., 2011a). Among the highest probability members in our sample ( $>90 \%$ ) there are six close ( $<100 \mathrm{AU}$ ) multiple systems without disk detections and one close multiple system with a detected excess. A closer, more comprehensive, comparison between disk presence and multiplicity information for the Sco-Cen B-type stars may shed light on this issue.

In the second section of this thesis, we developed a new kinematic selection method for identifying potential Sco-Cen member stars, which utilised a Bayesian algorithm and various all-sky astrometric and photometric data catalogs. The results of this selection have been used as a sample for spectroscopic confirmation of youth, and hence membership in Sco-Cen. Over eight nights, we observed 406 potential Upper-Scorpius stars with the Wide Field Spectrograph (WiFeS) on the ANU 2.3 m telescope, an integral field spectrograph, identifying 232 as new members. Using the spatial information, we simultaneously searched for wide orbit ( $\sim 2$ "), massive ( $\sim 10$ M_Jup) gas giant planetary companions to these potential members. We identify four candidate accreting, wide gas-giant planetary companions to the new Upper-Scorpius members US-10798, US-07477, US-05179, and US-02492, which can be confirmed with adaptive optics follow-up. Additionally, we observed the prototype Sco-Cen planet host of this type, GSC-6214-0210, on four separate nights in mid-2013 to mid-2014. For this object, we measured a significant decrease in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ equivalent width from $-1.5 \AA$ to $<0.65 \AA$ between the original observations by Preibisch et al. (1998), and our observations. Furthermore, we do not detect the presence of the companion in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ in any of our observations. Comparison with the SNIFS spectrum of the primary indicates that our measured $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission is associated with the primary. The lack of a detection of the companion, combined with the reduction in $\mathrm{H}-\alpha$ emission suggests that the planetary companion GSC-6214-0210b has stopped accreting from its circumplanetary
disk.
In the third section of the thesis we report the results of an interferometric multiplicity survey of B-type members of Sco-Cen. This consisted of 58 stars in the Sco-Cen region of space with colour $B-V<-0.1$ and hence encompasses the most massive stars in the association. We used the Sydney University Stellar Interferometer to observe these objects and detected 23 binary companions, 13 of which were new detections.

We used Bayesian statistics and all available multiplicity information in the literature to determine the most likely parameters of the multiplicity population of our sample, the results of which agree with previous, less complete analyses. We find that the multiplicity distribution of the stars in our sample to be best described by a log-normal distribution in separation, with a mean of $0.95_{-0.15}^{+0.25}$ and a standard deviation of $1.35_{-0.25}^{+0.35}$, while the mass-ratio follows a power law distribution with exponent $\gamma=-0.46 \pm 0.13$. In addition, the frequency of companions was determined to be $F=1.25_{-0.22}^{+0.27}$. The multiplicity literature, and our survey results, both point to a very large multiple fraction among high-mass stars in young associations, with only $\sim 19-27 \%$ being single stars according to our statistics. This broadly agrees with the idea that companion formation and companion related mechanisms are the primary angular momentum redistribution method among high-mass stars (Larson, 2010). However, the data suggests a significant number of single stars among our sample, which according to our Bayesian analysis, are unlikely to fall under the umbrella of missed companions outside of the current detection limits.

Given that the role of magnetic fields in angular momentum loss for high-mass stars is most likely less important, e.g., the lack of collimated jets often associated with lower-mass stars (Arce et al., 2007), some mechanism must be present in the star forming environment which creates single stars. This implies that these stars are either part of a very large-scale wide system, were ejected from a multiple system early in their lifetime, or formed as single stars. Models have suggested that disruptive interactions can shape the formation of high-mass stars in dense clusters (Bonnell et al., 2003), but ejection in Sco-Cen is much less likely because OB associations are in general sparse environments. With velocity dispersion on the order of $1^{\circ}$ per Myr, it is difficult to
observationally test ejection hypotheses without GAIA quality astrometry. The large scale behaviour of Sco-Cen is not completely unknown. It has been shown, using lower-mass members in the Preibisch et al. (2002) survey of US, that two degrees is the approximate wide-scale binarity limit in US (Kraus and Hillenbrand, 2008). Assuming that UCL and LCC have similar structures, there is some chance that a small number of the single stars in our sample could be part of a very wide multiple system with one or more other high mass stars. However, this is unlikely to account for all of the potential single stars in our sample. A further possibility is the merger of two lowermass members of a binary system to form an apparently single, B-type star. While this has been modelled extensively for the case of dense clusters, it is unclear what the frequency of such interactions is in the context of OB associations (Zinnecker and Yorke, 2007, Bonnell et al., 1998).

The final section of this thesis describes the orbit of 7 G to M-type binary members of the Upper-Scorpius subgroup of Sco-Cen. We monitored these binary systems over 5 years with Keck2 adaptive optics and aperture masking, and then fitted an orbit to the data. We have also obtained HST photometry of these binary systems in a series of filter ranging from the UV to 900 nm and applied aperture photometry and PSF fitting to determine differential photometry for these systems. Using the orbital parameters and the available photometry, we developed a new Bayesian model-fitting procedure, which fits isochrones to the binary system data to determine system age, primary and secondary mass, distance and reddening towards the objects. We find that after the fitting, these binary systems indicate a mean age of $7 \pm 2 \mathrm{Myr}$ for the Upper Scorpius subgroup, though there is a spread of ages in the subgroup varying from a few Myr to ages up to $>10 \mathrm{Myr}$. This result differs from both the traditional age of 5 Myr with very little age spread, and the more recent result which suggests Upper-Scorpius is 11 Myr old (Pecaut et al., 2012). We analyse and summarise the available evidence for the age of the Upper-Scorpius subgroup, and present the hypothesis that the evidence is consistent with the existence of two populations, an older population that formed with the greater Sco-Cen association, of age $\sim 15 \mathrm{Myr}$, and a younger population including the clearly young massive early B-type stars, which formed via supernova-triggered star
formation $\sim 5 \mathrm{Myr}$ ago. We label the younger group the $\tau$-Scorpii association, which, due to the velocity dispersion of Sco-Cen, overlaps the older Sco-Cen population in velocity space.

## Future Work

We intend to continue the work outlined in this thesis to build a clearer picture of the group and individual stellar properties of the Sco-Cen association. The intended avenues of study include:

1. A vastly expanded spectroscopic campaign for the K2 region in Upper Scorpius to illuminate the low-mass population of Sco-Cen. This will involve further WiFeS observations of candidate members for $V>14$, and incorporation of the ongoing HERMES (GALAH) observations, which will cover the K2 region in the $10<$ $V<14$ magnitude range.
2. In conjunction with this, we will use the results of the UK-Schmidt telescope FunnelWeb survey using the TAIPAN spectrograph. Data from this survey are planned to be released in 2016 and will include $\mathrm{R} \sim 2500$ spectra of every star brighter than $12^{\text {th }}$ magnitude in R -band. This will provide radial velocities and and Ca-HK measurements which can be used to distinguish members and nonmembers.
3. Detailed modelling of the interesting high-mass Sco-Cen stars, including $\tau$-Sco, $\pi$-Sco, $\delta$-Sco and $\sigma$-Sco, combining interferometry, spectroscopy, and rotation modelling, as described in the Ph.D thesis of Maestro (2014).
4. Adaptive optics follow-up, with aperture masking, of the four candidate accreting gas-giant planetary companions to US-10798, US-07477, US-05179, and US02492, and the other new members of Upper-Scorpius we have discovered.

## A

## WISE Data and Excess Detection for the B, A and F-type Sco-Cen Members

Here we provide a summary list of the WISE colours and excess detections for the B, A and F-type stars in our WISE search for circumstellar disks.

Table A.1: The $\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{J}}\right.$ ) ) and WISE colours with errors for our sample. ${ }^{a}$ This column indicates the detection of an excess in the three colours, and the final column indicates the subgroup in which the star is found. The superscript ${ }^{1}$ following the excess detection label indicates that the particular star was excluded from the excess fraction analysis due to unreliable photometry.

| HIP | P | $\left(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{K}_{s}\right)$ | $\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{2}$ | $\sigma_{W_{1}-W_{2}}$ | $\mathrm{~W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{3}$ | $\sigma_{W_{1}-W_{3}}$ | $\mathrm{~W}_{1}-\mathrm{W}_{4}$ | $\sigma_{W_{1}-W_{4}}$ | $\mathrm{Ex}^{a}$ | SG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49360 | 6 | -0.039 | -0.032 | 0.035 | -0.044 | 0.035 | 0.003 | 0.075 | NNN | LCC |
| 50520 | 62 | 0.043 | 0.146 | 0.055 | -0.058 | 0.051 | -0.102 | 0.057 | NNN $^{1}$ | LCC |

Continued on next page

WISE Data and Excess Detection for the B, A and F-type Sco-Cen

| 50612 | 7 | 0.019 | -0.025 | 0.034 | 0.039 | 0.034 | 0.399 | 0.068 | NNY | LCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50667 | 7 | 0.055 | -0.005 | 0.033 | 0.216 | 0.031 | 0.870 | 0.072 | NYY | LCC |
| 50847 | 62 | -0.110 | 0.265 | 0.058 | -0.018 | 0.053 | -0.006 | 0.058 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 51169 | 22 | -0.056 | -0.017 | 0.032 | 0.210 | 0.032 | 0.813 | 0.094 | NYY | LCC |
| 51203 | 33 | 0.115 | -0.028 | 0.033 | 0.068 | 0.033 | 0.482 | 0.085 | NNY | LCC |
| 51507 | 9 | 0.028 | 0.005 | 0.017 | -0.029 | 0.023 | -0.119 | 0.087 | NNN | LCC |
| 51508 | 6 | 0.033 | -0.046 | 0.035 | -0.040 | 0.034 | 0.037 | 0.075 | NNN | LCC |
| 52059 | 6 | -0.054 | -0.043 | 0.038 | -0.041 | 0.036 | -0.089 | 0.076 | NNN | LCC |
| 52116 | 7 | 0.057 | -0.049 | 0.037 | -0.050 | 0.036 | -0.078 | 0.063 | NNN | LCC |
| 52132 | 13 | -0.044 | -0.018 | 0.033 | -0.015 | 0.033 | -0.046 | 0.096 | NNN | LCC |
| 52171 | 8 | 0.270 | 0.209 | 0.021 | -0.172 | 0.025 | -0.230 | 0.127 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 52293 | 15 | -0.011 | -0.046 | 0.039 | -0.029 | 0.039 | -0.009 | 0.066 | NNN | LCC |
| 52328 | 7 | -0.050 | -0.867 | 0.015 | -1.199 | 0.020 | -0.944 | 0.063 | $\mathrm{NNN}^{1}$ | LCC |
| 52357 | 82 | 0.112 | -0.019 | 0.039 | -0.022 | 0.038 | -0.103 | 0.069 | NNN | LCC |
| 52736 | 25 | -0.055 | 0.240 | 0.062 | -0.053 | 0.058 | 0.203 | 0.062 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 52867 | 8 | 0.083 | -0.012 | 0.034 | 0.150 | 0.033 | 1.073 | 0.067 | NNY | LCC |
| 53016 | 7 | 0.142 | -0.021 | 0.035 | 0.006 | 0.034 | -0.009 | 0.094 | NNN | LCC |
| 53524 | 46 | 0.117 | -0.038 | 0.040 | 0.059 | 0.039 | 1.210 | 0.046 | NNY | LCC |
| 53913 | 7 | -0.003 | 0.004 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.094 | 0.089 | NNN | LCC |
| 53992 | 9 | 0.253 | -0.011 | 0.033 | 0.048 | 0.035 | 1.052 | 0.083 | NNY | LCC |
| 54168 | 13 | -0.002 | -0.038 | 0.036 | -0.037 | 0.035 | -0.072 | 0.079 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 54176 | 40 | 0.227 | -0.006 | 0.034 | 0.038 | 0.037 | 0.006 | 0.162 | NNN | LCC |
| 54767 | 64 | -0.004 | 0.295 | 0.057 | 0.046 | 0.051 | 0.042 | 0.057 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 55003 | 6 | 0.082 | 0.077 | 0.047 | 0.021 | 0.045 | -0.014 | 0.058 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 55188 | 78 | 0.025 | -0.116 | 0.025 | 0.263 | 0.028 | 2.523 | 0.038 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 55205 | 11 | 0.194 | -0.009 | 0.033 | 0.002 | 0.044 | -0.300 | 0.348 | NNN | LCC |
| 55334 | 58 | 0.235 | 0.010 | 0.035 | 0.045 | 0.035 | -0.025 | 0.078 | NNN | LCC |
| 55616 | 15 | 0.056 | -0.033 | 0.030 | 0.176 | 0.030 | 1.246 | 0.060 | NYY | LCC |
| 55978 | 18 | 0.160 | -0.024 | 0.033 | -0.012 | 0.055 | 0.885 | 0.160 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 56227 | 58 | 0.167 | -0.033 | 0.033 | -0.013 | 0.031 | -0.121 | 0.118 | NNN | LCC |
| 56354 | 84 | 1.345 | 1.509 | 0.068 | 3.325 | 0.060 | 6.046 | 0.061 | NYY | LCC |
| 56379 | 83 | 1.007 | 1.022 | 0.054 | 5.163 | 0.053 | 7.695 | 0.054 | $\mathrm{NNN}^{1}$ | LCC |
| 56561 | 24 | 0.025 | 0.821 | 0.059 | 0.000 | 0.061 | -0.030 | 0.065 | NNN | LCC |
| 56673 | 10 | 0.362 | 0.375 | 0.059 | 0.154 | 0.053 | 0.305 | 0.057 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 56943 | 6 | 0.000 | -0.108 | 0.029 | 0.077 | 0.044 | 1.261 | 0.102 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |


| 56993 | 33 | -0.004 | -0.019 | 0.036 | -0.005 | 0.034 | -0.068 | 0.104 | NNN | LCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57043 | 6 | 0.019 | -0.037 | 0.033 | -0.043 | 0.033 | -0.130 | 0.098 | NNN | LCC |
| 57238 | 26 | 0.009 | -0.079 | 0.027 | -0.031 | 0.029 | 0.184 | 0.171 | NNN | LCC |
| 57273 | 5 | 0.200 | -0.019 | 0.033 | 0.012 | 0.035 | 0.234 | 0.202 | NNN | LCC |
| 57285 | 46 | 0.305 | -0.014 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.035 | 0.278 | 0.087 | NNN | LCC |
| 57375 | 51 | 0.169 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 0.053 | 0.034 | 0.074 | 0.092 | NNN | LCC |
| 57451 | 23 | 0.041 | -0.018 | 0.039 | 0.126 | 0.038 | 1.274 | 0.050 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 57531 | 7 | 0.194 | -0.011 | 0.031 | 0.023 | 0.032 | 0.087 | 0.103 | NNN | LCC |
| 57644 | 21 | -0.008 | -0.026 | 0.039 | -0.018 | 0.039 | 0.099 | 0.070 | NNN | LCC |
| 57669 | 9 | 0.123 | 0.712 | 0.077 | 0.600 | 0.071 | 1.060 | 0.072 | NYY | LCC |
| 57851 | 73 | -0.060 | 0.185 | 0.061 | -0.093 | 0.057 | -0.056 | 0.062 | NNN | LCC |
| 57947 | 50 | 0.279 | 0.007 | 0.037 | 0.033 | 0.036 | 0.029 | 0.074 | NNN | LCC |
| 57950 | 76 | 0.191 | -0.008 | 0.034 | 0.067 | 0.032 | 0.644 | 0.077 | NNY | LCC |
| 57953 | 26 | 0.268 | -0.024 | 0.030 | 0.003 | 0.035 | -0.226 | 0.440 | NNN | LCC |
| 58054 | 34 | 0.238 | -0.034 | 0.031 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.046 | 0.161 | NNN | LCC |
| 58075 | 47 | 0.303 | -0.074 | 0.025 | -0.011 | 0.026 | 0.120 | 0.130 | NNN | LCC |
| 58146 | 77 | 0.218 | -0.030 | 0.039 | -0.023 | 0.040 | -0.063 | 0.086 | NNN | LCC |
| 58167 | 87 | 0.189 | -0.019 | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.034 | 0.097 | 0.085 | NNN | LCC |
| 58220 | 88 | 0.282 | 0.004 | 0.035 | 0.344 | 0.033 | 1.188 | 0.054 | NYY | LCC |
| 58326 | 32 | -0.116 | -0.089 | 0.042 | -0.008 | 0.042 | 1.106 | 0.052 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 58416 | 87 | 0.216 | 0.028 | 0.039 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.117 | 0.067 | NNN | LCC |
| 58465 | 88 | 0.111 | 0.032 | 0.043 | 0.005 | 0.040 | 0.032 | 0.058 | NNN | LCC |
| 58528 | 85 | 0.284 | -0.018 | 0.035 | 0.125 | 0.034 | 0.696 | 0.070 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 58670 | 11 | 0.261 | -0.022 | 0.032 | 0.019 | 0.032 | -0.107 | 0.153 | NNN | LCC |
| 58680 | 48 | 0.142 | -0.040 | 0.031 | -0.019 | 0.033 | -0.412 | 0.354 | NNN | LCC |
| 58720 | 59 | -0.075 | 0.032 | 0.046 | 0.223 | 0.044 | 1.506 | 0.047 | NYY | LCC |
| 58760 | 21 | 0.326 | -0.017 | 0.032 | 0.079 | 0.042 | 0.136 | 0.118 | NNN | LCC |
| 58859 | 83 | -0.015 | -0.014 | 0.041 | -0.040 | 0.039 | -0.030 | 0.067 | NNN | LCC |
| 58884 | 78 | 0.020 | 0.301 | 0.061 | -0.002 | 0.055 | -0.011 | 0.059 | NNN | LCC |
| 58899 | 84 | 0.251 | -0.001 | 0.034 | 0.002 | 0.034 | -0.175 | 0.106 | NNN | LCC |
| 58901 | 51 | 0.032 | -0.006 | 0.045 | -0.053 | 0.045 | -0.192 | 0.112 | NNN | LCC |
| 59084 | 23 | 0.222 | -0.043 | 0.030 | -0.051 | 0.037 | -0.794 | 0.420 | NNN | LCC |
| 59173 | 66 | 0.254 | 0.504 | 0.064 | 0.007 | 0.057 | 0.009 | 0.068 | YNN | LCC |
| 59184 | 40 | 0.138 | 0.304 | 0.062 | -0.031 | 0.055 | -0.008 | 0.062 | YNN | LCC |
| 59281 | 26 | 0.102 | -0.009 | 0.037 | -0.005 | 0.037 | -0.055 | 0.073 | NNN | LCC |
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| 59282 | 88 | 0.084 | 0.013 | 0.036 | 0.189 | 0.035 | 0.865 | 0.052 | NYY | LCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59360 | 45 | 0.103 | 0.050 | 0.040 | 0.058 | 0.039 | 0.015 | 0.069 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 59383 | 6 | 0.130 | -0.032 | 0.030 | -0.017 | 0.035 | -0.088 | 0.352 | NNN | LCC |
| 59397 | 86 | 0.047 | 0.006 | 0.037 | 0.202 | 0.036 | 1.298 | 0.046 | NYY | LCC |
| 59413 | 86 | 0.570 | -0.097 | 0.037 | -0.082 | 0.037 | -0.171 | 0.091 | $\mathrm{NNN}^{1}$ | LCC |
| 59430 | 30 | 0.298 | -0.020 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.032 | -0.160 | 0.166 | NNN | LCC |
| 59449 | 60 | -0.217 | 0.619 | 0.067 | 0.013 | 0.060 | -0.015 | 0.062 | NNN | LCC |
| 59481 | 88 | 0.196 | -0.045 | 0.034 | 0.006 | 0.034 | 0.012 | 0.129 | NNN | LCC |
| 59502 | 86 | 0.027 | 0.016 | 0.038 | 0.459 | 0.040 | 1.995 | 0.057 | NYY | LCC |
| 59505 | 81 | 0.216 | 0.019 | 0.030 | 0.064 | 0.030 | -0.199 | 0.162 | NNN | LCC |
| 59541 | 33 | 0.113 | 0.001 | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.034 | 0.079 | 0.087 | NNN | LCC |
| 59579 | 13 | 0.310 | -0.021 | 0.033 | 0.019 | 0.033 | -0.204 | 0.217 | NNN | LCC |
| 59603 | 82 | 0.266 | 0.016 | 0.036 | 0.032 | 0.035 | 0.144 | 0.093 | NNN | LCC |
| 59693 | 74 | 0.332 | -0.004 | 0.030 | 0.250 | 0.033 | 0.847 | 0.111 | NYY | LCC |
| 59716 | 64 | 0.236 | -0.029 | 0.034 | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.192 | 0.090 | NNN | LCC |
| 59724 | 59 | 0.111 | -0.017 | 0.032 | 0.148 | 0.033 | 1.580 | 0.046 | NNY | LCC |
| 59747 | 75 | -0.204 | 0.546 | 0.076 | -0.069 | 0.076 | -0.207 | 0.078 | NNN | LCC |
| 59781 | 82 | 0.317 | -0.039 | 0.034 | 0.265 | 0.068 | 1.215 | 0.447 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 59897 | 28 | 0.015 | -0.018 | 0.030 | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.322 | NNN | LCC |
| 59898 | 66 | -0.002 | 0.132 | 0.047 | 0.336 | 0.046 | 1.751 | 0.048 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 59960 | 89 | 0.263 | -0.011 | 0.039 | 0.110 | 0.039 | 1.991 | 0.044 | NNY | LCC |
| 60009 | 79 | 0.001 | 0.282 | 0.069 | -0.102 | 0.064 | -0.032 | 0.067 | NNN | LCC |
| $60084$ | 42 | $0.075$ | -0.023 | 0.035 | 0.026 | 0.035 | 0.149 | 0.109 | NNN | LCC |
| 60183 | 31 | -0.017 | 0.072 | 0.042 | 0.314 | 0.040 | 1.648 | 0.043 | NYY | LCC |
| 60205 | 62 | 0.293 | -0.033 | 0.031 | 0.053 | 0.036 | 0.226 | 0.304 | NNN | LCC |
| 60245 | 74 | 0.162 | 0.049 | 0.024 | 0.092 | 0.026 | 0.056 | 0.163 | NNN | LCC |
| 60348 | 78 | 0.279 | -0.008 | 0.032 | 0.103 | 0.033 | 0.737 | 0.082 | NNY | LCC |
| 60360 | 44 | 0.040 | -0.022 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.034 | 0.118 | 0.109 | NNN | LCC |
| 60379 | 53 | -0.045 | 0.131 | 0.119 | 0.016 | 0.105 | -0.003 | 0.155 | NNN | LCC |
| 60459 | 88 | 0.067 | 0.019 | 0.037 | 0.032 | 0.038 | 0.144 | 0.088 | NNN | LCC |
| 60513 | 76 | 0.230 | -0.008 | 0.033 | -0.002 | 0.033 | -0.222 | 0.143 | NNN | LCC |
| 60561 | 73 | -0.037 | 0.011 | 0.039 | 0.224 | 0.039 | 1.027 | 0.045 | NYY | LCC |
| 60577 | 81 | 0.285 | -0.033 | 0.038 | 0.043 | 0.036 | 0.373 | 0.072 | NNN | LCC |
| 60580 | 5 | 0.106 | -0.029 | 0.033 | -0.018 | 0.033 | 0.096 | 0.098 | NNN | LCC |
| 60629 | 6 | -0.046 | -0.036 | 0.031 | -0.042 | 0.035 | -0.558 | 0.431 | NNN | LCC |


| 60710 | 71 | -0.082 | 0.334 | 0.065 | -0.057 | 0.061 | -0.055 | 0.073 | NNN | LCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60718 | 66 | 0.013 | 0.587 | 0.004 | 0.610 | 0.009 | 0.318 | 0.019 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 60823 | 79 | -0.077 | 0.645 | 0.067 | 0.007 | 0.059 | -0.014 | 0.061 | NNN | LCC |
| 60851 | 82 | 0.014 | 0.050 | 0.049 | -0.029 | 0.047 | 0.110 | 0.076 | NNN | LCC |
| 60855 | 70 | -0.026 | 0.161 | 0.059 | -0.006 | 0.055 | 0.019 | 0.063 | YNN | LCC |
| 61049 | 85 | 0.393 | -0.049 | 0.035 | 0.264 | 0.034 | 1.355 | 0.043 | NYY | LCC |
| 61086 | 20 | 0.183 | -0.017 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.045 | 0.406 | 0.518 | NNN | LCC |
| 61087 | 88 | 0.272 | 0.097 | 0.072 | 1.170 | 0.070 | 2.489 | 0.069 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 61098 | 8 | 0.188 | -0.015 | 0.033 | 0.053 | 0.033 | 0.029 | 0.237 | NNN | LCC |
| 61257 | 84 | -0.056 | 0.009 | 0.040 | -0.002 | 0.038 | 0.027 | 0.064 | NNN | LCC |
| 61265 | 67 | 0.014 | -0.019 | 0.036 | -0.017 | 0.035 | 0.025 | 0.104 | NNN | LCC |
| 61268 | 26 | 0.130 | -0.016 | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.033 | -0.231 | 0.209 | NNN | LCC |
| 61426 | 54 | 0.156 | -0.012 | 0.032 | 0.037 | 0.032 | 0.126 | 0.138 | NNN | LCC |
| 61498 | 81 | 0.015 | 0.101 | 0.055 | 0.634 | 0.051 | 4.527 | 0.050 | NYY | LCC |
| 61530 | 24 | 0.203 | -0.024 | 0.030 | 0.034 | 0.031 | -0.117 | 0.217 | NNN | LCC |
| 61557 | 34 | 0.118 | 0.034 | 0.044 | -0.019 | 0.043 | 0.023 | 0.063 | NNN | LCC |
| 61585 | 71 | -0.072 | 0.448 | 0.074 | -0.200 | 0.074 | -0.236 | 0.085 | NNN | LCC |
| 61639 | 84 | 0.266 | -0.057 | 0.047 | -0.037 | 0.045 | 0.004 | 0.061 | NNN | LCC |
| 61684 | 73 | 0.205 | -0.032 | 0.037 | 0.136 | 0.036 | 1.487 | 0.046 | NNY | LCC |
| 61691 | 14 | 0.072 | 0.003 | 0.030 | 0.043 | 0.034 | -0.218 | 0.370 | NNN | LCC |
| 61715 | 8 | 0.103 | -0.069 | 0.035 | 0.453 | 0.062 | 1.783 | 0.201 | NYY | LCC |
| 61717 | 21 | 0.192 | -0.013 | 0.028 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 0.319 | 0.230 | NNN | LCC |
| 61753 | 13 | 0.337 | -0.023 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.332 | 0.079 | NNN | LCC |
| 61782 | 84 | 0.060 | -0.004 | 0.034 | 0.539 | 0.032 | 3.621 | 0.035 | NYY | LCC |
| 61789 | 15 | 0.095 | 0.438 | 0.079 | -0.056 | 0.073 | -0.033 | 0.076 | NNN | LCC |
| 61796 | 61 | -0.046 | 0.007 | 0.043 | -0.042 | 0.041 | -0.074 | 0.057 | NNN | LCC |
| 61845 | 12 | 0.165 | -0.024 | 0.033 | 0.005 | 0.035 | -0.018 | 0.248 | NNN | LCC |
| 61906 | 40 | 0.184 | -0.022 | 0.030 | -0.015 | 0.032 | -0.219 | 0.201 | NNN | LCC |
| 62002 | 49 | 0.233 | 0.044 | 0.034 | 0.059 | 0.036 | 0.050 | 0.078 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 62026 | 77 | 0.012 | 0.035 | 0.051 | 0.053 | 0.049 | 0.238 | 0.058 | NNN | LCC |
| 62032 | 73 | 0.158 | 0.059 | 0.025 | 0.127 | 0.027 | 0.225 | 0.130 | NNN | LCC |
| 62058 | 80 | -0.055 | -0.040 | 0.051 | -0.098 | 0.049 | -0.055 | 0.064 | NNN | LCC |
| 62085 | 6 | 0.066 | -0.037 | 0.034 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.118 | 0.262 | NNN | LCC |
| 62087 | 11 | 0.211 | -0.048 | 0.034 | -0.012 | 0.033 | 0.060 | 0.100 | NNN | LCC |
| 62134 | 83 | 0.171 | -0.296 | 0.022 | -0.353 | 0.025 | -0.128 | 0.102 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
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| 62154 | 39 | 0.210 | -0.013 | 0.032 | 0.105 | 0.099 | 0.515 | 0.521 | NNN | LCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62171 | 78 | 0.300 | -0.007 | 0.033 | 0.031 | 0.032 | 0.090 | 0.129 | NNN | LCC |
| 62179 | 64 | 0.042 | 0.002 | 0.043 | -0.009 | 0.041 | -0.086 | 0.081 | NNN | LCC |
| 62203 | 15 | 0.085 | -0.037 | 0.033 | -0.043 | 0.035 | 0.127 | 0.196 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 62205 | 20 | 0.217 | -0.007 | 0.035 | -0.033 | 0.038 | -0.135 | 0.093 | NNN | LCC |
| 62226 | 38 | 0.237 | -0.011 | 0.034 | 0.057 | 0.044 | 0.603 | 0.363 | NNN | LCC |
| 62327 | 84 | -0.059 | 0.279 | 0.062 | -0.064 | 0.058 | 0.145 | 0.081 | NNN | LCC |
| 62427 | 65 | 0.241 | -0.024 | 0.033 | 0.065 | 0.034 | 0.992 | 0.089 | NNY | LCC |
| 62428 | 81 | 0.124 | 0.030 | 0.046 | 0.052 | 0.047 | 0.325 | 0.073 | NNN | LCC |
| 62431 | 59 | 0.263 | -0.020 | 0.035 | 0.009 | 0.035 | -0.154 | 0.117 | NNN | LCC |
| 62482 | 23 | 0.073 | -0.033 | 0.038 | 0.133 | 0.038 | 2.050 | 0.045 | NNY | LCC |
| 62488 | 34 | 0.227 | -0.018 | 0.034 | 0.125 | 0.034 | 0.849 | 0.066 | NNY | LCC |
| 62657 | 83 | 0.285 | 0.000 | 0.031 | 0.124 | 0.032 | 2.040 | 0.045 | NNY | LCC |
| 62683 | 62 | 0.449 | 0.348 | 0.068 | -0.082 | 0.063 | -0.082 | 0.065 | NNN | LCC |
| 62711 | 7 | 0.110 | -0.019 | 0.034 | -0.001 | 0.033 | 0.064 | 0.111 | NNN | LCC |
| 62723 | 22 | 0.263 | -0.038 | 0.034 | 0.014 | 0.036 | 0.181 | 0.238 | NNN | LCC |
| 62765 | 6 | 0.164 | -0.004 | 0.034 | 0.019 | 0.033 | 0.196 | 0.157 | NNN | LCC |
| 62786 | 55 | -0.067 | -0.061 | 0.048 | -0.134 | 0.047 | 0.051 | 0.064 | NNN | LCC |
| 62916 | 15 | 0.027 | -0.023 | 0.038 | -0.026 | 0.038 | -0.102 | 0.074 | NNN | LCC |
| 62941 | 13 | 0.172 | -0.021 | 0.032 | 0.039 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.223 | NNN | LCC |
| 63003 | 68 | 0.029 | 0.561 | 0.070 | -0.036 | 0.063 | 0.008 | 0.068 | NNN | LCC |
| 63005 | 81 | 0.003 | 0.431 | 0.069 | 0.773 | 0.062 | 1.849 | 0.064 | YYY | LCC |
| 63007 | 83 | 0.297 | 0.343 | 0.064 | -0.079 | 0.057 | -0.085 | 0.060 | NNN | LCC |
| 63022 | 27 | 0.198 | -0.019 | 0.032 | 0.004 | 0.037 | -0.047 | 0.345 | NNN | LCC |
| 63036 | 6 | -0.022 | -0.113 | 0.030 | -0.073 | 0.055 | 0.164 | 0.369 | NNN | LCC |
| 63041 | 83 | 0.202 | 0.007 | 0.036 | 0.406 | 0.074 | 1.677 | 0.353 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 63085 | 9 | 0.167 | -0.023 | 0.039 | -0.006 | 0.038 | 0.039 | 0.067 | NNN | LCC |
| 63204 | 87 | 0.248 | -0.089 | 0.049 | -0.066 | 0.048 | -0.052 | 0.057 | NNN | LCC |
| 63210 | 75 | -0.015 | 0.181 | 0.060 | -0.103 | 0.056 | -0.011 | 0.060 | NNN | LCC |
| 63236 | 40 | 0.001 | -0.003 | 0.038 | 0.279 | 0.036 | 1.236 | 0.046 | NYY | LCC |
| 63246 | 43 | 0.228 | -0.011 | 0.032 | 0.030 | 0.033 | 0.217 | 0.125 | NNN | LCC |
| 63272 | 84 | 0.228 | -0.015 | 0.035 | 0.030 | 0.034 | 0.014 | 0.112 | NNN | LCC |
| 63395 | 22 | 0.209 | -0.029 | 0.031 | 0.095 | 0.038 | 0.592 | 0.150 | NNY | LCC |
| 63435 | 48 | 0.251 | -0.032 | 0.031 | 0.037 | 0.032 | 0.148 | 0.145 | NNN | LCC |
| 63439 | 70 | 0.239 | -0.015 | 0.033 | 0.117 | 0.034 | 0.936 | 0.091 | NNY | LCC |


| 63527 | 32 | 0.195 | 0.013 | 0.039 | 0.031 | 0.038 | -0.032 | 0.082 | NNN | LCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63540 | 10 | 0.090 | -0.033 | 0.032 | -0.007 | 0.034 | 0.258 | 0.229 | NNN | LCC |
| 63606 | 40 | 0.197 | -0.060 | 0.025 | -0.023 | 0.026 | 0.083 | 0.118 | NNN | LCC |
| 63678 | 8 | 0.059 | -0.026 | 0.033 | 0.299 | 0.034 | 1.888 | 0.054 | NYY | LCC |
| 63819 | 6 | 0.097 | -0.027 | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.033 | 0.319 | 0.145 | NNN | LCC |
| 63836 | 85 | 0.222 | -0.018 | 0.033 | 0.072 | 0.033 | 0.496 | 0.101 | NNY | LCC |
| 63839 | 73 | -0.032 | 0.001 | 0.038 | 0.435 | 0.038 | 1.781 | 0.045 | NYY | LCC |
| 63886 | 75 | 0.164 | -0.016 | 0.036 | 0.048 | 0.034 | 0.716 | 0.060 | NNY | LCC |
| 63935 | 9 | 0.259 | 0.003 | 0.032 | 0.048 | 0.032 | 0.110 | 0.101 | NNN | LCC |
| 63945 | 79 | -0.024 | 0.250 | 0.064 | -0.109 | 0.054 | -0.106 | 0.060 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 63975 | 57 | 0.236 | 0.289 | 0.046 | 2.837 | 0.043 | 4.556 | 0.041 | YYY | LCC |
| 64004 | 73 | 0.076 | 0.269 | 0.064 | -0.148 | 0.060 | -0.138 | 0.064 | NNN | LCC |
| 64033 | 27 | 0.534 | 0.405 | 0.072 | -0.025 | 0.067 | -0.053 | 0.068 | NNN | LCC |
| 64044 | 78 | 0.310 | -0.026 | 0.033 | 0.024 | 0.033 | 0.174 | 0.111 | NNN | LCC |
| 64053 | 82 | 0.086 | 0.085 | 0.053 | 0.141 | 0.049 | 0.759 | 0.053 | NNY | LCC |
| 64184 | 81 | 0.240 | -0.014 | 0.035 | 0.269 | 0.035 | 3.201 | 0.036 | NYY | LCC |
| 64204 | 10 | 0.026 | -0.057 | 0.040 | -0.068 | 0.040 | -0.030 | 0.102 | NNN | LCC |
| 64264 | 58 | 0.332 | -0.041 | 0.036 | 0.001 | 0.034 | -0.187 | 0.138 | NNN | LCC |
| 64316 | 42 | 0.285 | -0.038 | 0.030 | -0.013 | 0.037 | -0.054 | 0.421 | NNN | LCC |
| 64320 | 70 | -0.049 | -0.029 | 0.046 | -0.091 | 0.044 | -0.025 | 0.060 | NNN | LCC |
| 64322 | 74 | 0.225 | -0.031 | 0.037 | 0.069 | 0.044 | 0.649 | 0.219 | NNY | LCC |
| 64372 | 30 | 0.293 | -0.034 | 0.036 | -0.022 | 0.034 | -0.219 | 0.112 | NNN | LCC |
| 64425 | 54 | 0.062 | 0.338 | 0.064 | -0.040 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 0.061 | NNN | LCC |
| 64515 | 71 | -0.036 | 0.025 | 0.048 | -0.069 | 0.046 | -0.090 | 0.063 | NNN | LCC |
| 64560 | 21 | 0.232 | -0.008 | 0.032 | 0.057 | 0.035 | 0.141 | 0.305 | NNN | LCC |
| 64565 | 16 | 0.127 | -0.003 | 0.040 | -0.049 | 0.039 | -0.090 | 0.062 | NNN | LCC |
| 64570 | 35 | 0.353 | -0.022 | 0.034 | 0.017 | 0.033 | -0.122 | 0.186 | NNN | LCC |
| 64617 | 51 | 0.197 | -0.016 | 0.036 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 0.021 | 0.095 | NNN | LCC |
| 64661 | 47 | -0.042 | 0.407 | 0.062 | -0.014 | 0.057 | -0.057 | 0.061 | NNN | LCC |
| 64752 | 32 | 0.173 | -0.027 | 0.033 | 0.007 | 0.032 | 0.198 | 0.122 | NNN | LCC |
| 64837 | 69 | 0.227 | -0.018 | 0.035 | 0.146 | 0.034 | 0.778 | 0.061 | NNY | LCC |
| 64846 | 28 | 0.302 | -0.033 | 0.031 | 0.021 | 0.035 | -0.165 | 0.303 | NNN | LCC |
| 64877 | 61 | 0.214 | -0.154 | 0.028 | -0.033 | 0.031 | 1.064 | 0.071 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 64891 | 17 | 0.205 | -0.053 | 0.030 | -0.064 | 0.030 | -0.165 | 0.190 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 64892 | 74 | -0.023 | -0.019 | 0.036 | -0.035 | 0.036 | 0.085 | 0.075 | NNN | LCC |

Continued on next page

WISE Data and Excess Detection for the B, A and F-type Sco-Cen 170

Members

| 64925 | 80 | -0.032 | 0.007 | 0.034 | -0.012 | 0.034 | -0.021 | 0.086 | NNN | LCC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64933 | 8 | 0.007 | 0.015 | 0.048 | -0.025 | 0.046 | -0.002 | 0.059 | NNN | LCC |
| 64975 | 11 | 0.228 | -0.005 | 0.032 | 0.053 | 0.038 | 0.421 | 0.316 | NNN | LCC |
| 64995 | 79 | 0.167 | -0.085 | 0.031 | 0.073 | 0.031 | 2.588 | 0.033 | NNY | LCC |
| 65021 | 59 | -0.024 | -0.038 | 0.033 | -0.037 | 0.033 | -0.124 | 0.105 | NNN | LCC |
| 65089 | 84 | 0.135 | -0.021 | 0.034 | 0.090 | 0.032 | 0.959 | 0.058 | NNY | LCC |
| 65112 | 81 | -0.075 | 0.134 | 0.051 | -0.021 | 0.048 | -0.007 | 0.059 | NNN 1 | LCC |
| 65136 | 63 | 0.193 | 0.024 | 0.029 | 0.072 | 0.033 | 0.174 | 0.210 | NNN | LCC |
| 65178 | 61 | 0.000 | -0.035 | 0.037 | -0.043 | 0.036 | 0.053 | 0.074 | NNN | LCC |
| 65215 | 11 | 0.245 | -0.026 | 0.030 | 0.014 | 0.032 | -0.201 | 0.220 | NNN | LCC |
| 65219 | 78 | 0.096 | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.045 | 0.039 | 0.011 | 0.073 | NNN 1 | LCC |
| 65271 | 62 | 0.359 | 0.461 | 0.066 | -0.049 | 0.059 | 0.149 | 0.071 | NNN | LCC |
| 65348 | 50 | 0.077 | -0.022 | 0.032 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.039 | 0.187 | NNN | LCC |
| 65394 | 67 | 0.004 | -0.030 | 0.034 | -0.017 | 0.034 | -0.066 | 0.102 | NNN | LCC |
| 65426 | 76 | 0.055 | -0.064 | 0.039 | -0.013 | 0.038 | 0.053 | 0.068 | NNN | LCC |
| 65474 | 26 | -0.233 | 0.704 | 0.002 | 0.710 | 0.005 | 0.462 | 0.013 | NNN 1 | UCL |
| 65502 | 7 | 0.223 | 0.003 | 0.033 | 0.029 | 0.032 | 0.017 | 0.104 | NNN | LCC |
| 65504 | 16 | 0.256 | -0.023 | 0.032 | 0.001 | 0.033 | 0.186 | 0.120 | NNN | UCL |
| 65517 | 69 | 0.445 | -0.022 | 0.032 | 0.048 | 0.032 | 0.054 | 0.174 | NNN | LCC |
| 65529 | 7 | 0.131 | -0.025 | 0.033 | -0.013 | 0.034 | -0.304 | 0.203 | NNN | UCL |
| 65546 | 7 | 0.244 | -0.033 | 0.036 | 0.005 | 0.035 | -0.018 | 0.147 | NNN | UCL |
| 65617 | 64 | 0.282 | -0.022 | 0.033 | 0.048 | 0.040 | 0.001 | 0.193 | NNN | LCC |
| 65822 | 81 | 0.029 | 0.011 | 0.037 | 0.002 | 0.036 | -0.073 | 0.073 | NNN 1 | LCC |
| 65875 | 88 | 0.269 | -0.021 | 0.039 | 0.202 | 0.037 | 2.812 | 0.039 | NNY | LCC |
| 665454 | 89 | 09 | -0.051 | 0.031 | 0.044 | -0.014 | 0.044 | -0.016 | 0.062 | NNN |
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| 66642 | 16 | 0.290 | -0.042 | 0.033 | -0.022 | 0.032 | -0.185 | 0.123 | NNN | LCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66651 | 75 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.029 | 0.042 | 0.030 | 0.100 | 0.096 | NNN | LCC |
| 66701 | 12 | 0.209 | -0.024 | 0.030 | -0.004 | 0.031 | -0.441 | 0.261 | NNN | LCC |
| 66722 | 92 | 0.022 | 0.056 | 0.045 | 0.042 | 0.044 | 0.130 | 0.059 | NNN | UCL |
| 66764 | 8 | 0.326 | -0.027 | 0.031 | 0.014 | 0.033 | 0.016 | 0.169 | NNN | UCL |
| 66821 | 83 | -0.061 | 0.459 | 0.055 | 0.036 | 0.049 | 0.412 | 0.052 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 66884 | 12 | 0.216 | -0.023 | 0.033 | 0.030 | 0.036 | 0.268 | 0.227 | NNN | UCL |
| 66908 | 88 | 0.061 | -0.031 | 0.040 | -0.023 | 0.039 | -0.081 | 0.075 | NNN | UCL |
| 67036 | 81 | -0.093 | -0.056 | 0.040 | -0.083 | 0.039 | -0.178 | 0.084 | NNN | LCC |
| 67068 | 80 | 0.211 | -0.003 | 0.034 | 0.049 | 0.033 | 0.234 | 0.090 | NNN | LCC |
| 67075 | 49 | 0.290 | -0.030 | 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.033 | 0.050 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 67114 | 13 | 0.185 | -0.012 | 0.031 | 0.012 | 0.036 | 0.162 | 0.363 | NNN | UCL |
| 67199 | 86 | 0.059 | 0.063 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.043 | 0.021 | 0.057 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 67230 | 65 | 0.248 | 0.005 | 0.040 | 0.085 | 0.050 | 1.017 | 0.110 | NNY | LCC |
| 67260 | 61 | 0.091 | -0.026 | 0.038 | -0.023 | 0.037 | -0.115 | 0.078 | NNN | LCC |
| 67277 | 20 | 0.359 | -0.057 | 0.039 | -0.021 | 0.039 | -0.090 | 0.099 | NNN | UCL |
| 67306 | 33 | 0.043 | -0.018 | 0.037 | -0.021 | 0.037 | -0.013 | 0.082 | NNN | UCL |
| 67334 | 46 | 0.244 | -0.011 | 0.032 | 0.037 | 0.032 | 0.139 | 0.129 | NNN | UCL |
| 67360 | 6 | -0.002 | -0.037 | 0.033 | -0.076 | 0.035 | -0.687 | 0.418 | NNN | LCC |
| 67407 | 7 | 0.336 | -0.041 | 0.033 | -0.017 | 0.033 | -0.193 | 0.198 | NNN | UCL |
| 67428 | 73 | 0.307 | -0.012 | 0.033 | 0.069 | 0.033 | 0.629 | 0.077 | NNY | LCC |
| 67440 | 6 | 0.329 | -0.032 | 0.033 | 0.011 | 0.037 | 0.249 | 0.238 | NNN | UCL |
| 67441 | 8 | 0.312 | 0.042 | 0.028 | 0.086 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.152 | NNN | LCC |
| 67448 | 25 | 0.030 | -0.034 | 0.037 | -0.037 | 0.035 | -0.204 | 0.150 | NNN | UCL |
| 67464 | 57 | -0.226 | 0.566 | 0.079 | -0.134 | 0.072 | -0.117 | 0.076 | NNN | UCL |
| 67472 | 54 | -0.298 | 0.600 | 0.074 | 0.421 | 0.066 | 1.219 | 0.067 | NYY | UCL |
| 67477 | 13 | 0.171 | -0.021 | 0.034 | 0.048 | 0.038 | -0.127 | 0.476 | NNN | UCL |
| 67497 | 92 | 0.192 | -0.020 | 0.034 | 0.181 | 0.033 | 2.571 | 0.040 | NNY | UCL |
| 67669 | 66 | -0.842 | 0.321 | 0.073 | 0.338 | 0.069 | 1.088 | 0.071 | YYY | UCL |
| 67703 | 70 | -0.055 | 0.196 | 0.053 | -0.026 | 0.049 | 0.036 | 0.057 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 67786 | 7 | -0.077 | 0.338 | 0.070 | -0.107 | 0.063 | -0.101 | 0.069 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 67844 | 17 | 0.238 | -0.018 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.043 | 0.308 | 0.150 | NNN | LCC |
| 67859 | 30 | 0.222 | 0.022 | 0.037 | 0.017 | 0.037 | 0.051 | 0.092 | NNN | UCL |
| 67916 | 7 | 0.038 | -0.014 | 0.035 | 0.005 | 0.033 | 0.101 | 0.106 | NNN | LCC |
| 67919 | 66 | 0.192 | -0.008 | 0.043 | 0.010 | 0.041 | 0.094 | 0.059 | NNN | LCC |
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| 67957 | 89 | 0.392 | 0.018 | 0.064 | 0.033 | 0.062 | -0.049 | 0.148 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67970 | 90 | 0.198 | -0.013 | 0.032 | 0.173 | 0.032 | 1.517 | 0.055 | NNY | UCL |
| 67973 | 75 | -0.047 | 0.152 | 0.049 | 0.107 | 0.047 | 0.278 | 0.055 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 68080 | 60 | 0.077 | 0.025 | 0.051 | -0.015 | 0.049 | 0.581 | 0.056 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 68245 | 76 | 0.137 | 0.619 | 0.072 | -0.031 | 0.064 | -0.075 | 0.066 | YNN | UCL |
| 68282 | 77 | 0.252 | 0.480 | 0.069 | -0.074 | 0.062 | -0.104 | 0.064 | NNN | UCL |
| 68335 | 87 | 0.313 | -0.009 | 0.036 | 0.040 | 0.035 | 0.036 | 0.091 | NNN | UCL |
| 68413 | 66 | 0.165 | 0.133 | 0.052 | 0.149 | 0.053 | 0.786 | 0.139 | YNY | LCC |
| 68454 | 7 | 0.123 | -0.018 | 0.033 | 0.003 | 0.033 | -0.043 | 0.107 | NNN | UCL |
| 68489 | 20 | 0.009 | -0.037 | 0.031 | -0.064 | 0.033 | 0.101 | 0.130 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 68532 | 89 | 0.190 | -0.060 | 0.038 | -0.046 | 0.037 | -0.108 | 0.073 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 68702 | 22 | -0.111 | 2.014 | 0.004 | 1.911 | 0.014 | 1.806 | 0.021 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | LCC |
| 68722 | 72 | 0.132 | -0.014 | 0.030 | -0.005 | 0.030 | -0.066 | 0.187 | NNN | UCL |
| 68781 | 89 | 0.065 | -0.021 | 0.035 | 0.117 | 0.033 | 0.653 | 0.067 | NNY | UCL |
| 68854 | 7 | 0.354 | -0.026 | 0.030 | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.264 | 0.151 | NNN | UCL |
| 68862 | 58 | -0.050 | 0.302 | 0.059 | -0.119 | 0.053 | -0.127 | 0.058 | NNN | UCL |
| 68867 | 56 | 0.011 | -0.032 | 0.036 | -0.027 | 0.035 | -0.005 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 68958 | 42 | 0.021 | -0.004 | 0.040 | -0.009 | 0.038 | 0.139 | 0.060 | NNN | UCL |
| 69011 | 77 | -0.006 | -0.012 | 0.043 | 0.208 | 0.042 | 2.079 | 0.043 | NYY | UCL |
| 69113 | 80 | -0.035 | -0.078 | 0.048 | -0.129 | 0.046 | -0.051 | 0.068 | NNN | UCL |
| 69291 | 88 | 0.183 | -0.016 | 0.034 | 0.005 | 0.034 | 0.194 | 0.128 | NNN | UCL |
| 69300 | 23 | 0.137 | -0.027 | 0.036 | 0.062 | 0.040 | 0.159 | 0.079 | NNN | UCL |
| 69302 | 82 | 0.184 | -0.025 | 0.031 | 0.021 | 0.032 | 0.079 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 69327 | 45 | 0.163 | -0.019 | 0.034 | 0.019 | 0.034 | 0.216 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 69475 | 59 | 0.162 | -0.023 | 0.038 | -0.008 | 0.037 | -0.043 | 0.092 | NNN | UCL |
| 69605 | 26 | 0.143 | -0.026 | 0.033 | 0.036 | 0.033 | 0.201 | 0.168 | NNN | UCL |
| 69618 | 71 | 0.268 | 0.968 | 0.064 | 1.332 | 0.056 | 2.228 | 0.054 | NYY | UCL |
| 69659 | 31 | 0.160 | -0.011 | 0.034 | 0.009 | 0.034 | 0.160 | 0.149 | NNN | UCL |
| 69693 | 12 | -0.023 | -0.033 | 0.033 | 0.013 | 0.051 | 0.494 | 0.522 | NNN | UCL |
| 69720 | 83 | 0.192 | -0.013 | 0.033 | 0.098 | 0.032 | 0.477 | 0.105 | NNY | UCL |
| 69791 | 60 | 0.216 | -0.016 | 0.032 | 0.027 | 0.033 | 0.245 | 0.140 | NNN | UCL |
| 69897 | 7 | 0.274 | -0.023 | 0.032 | 0.048 | 0.032 | 0.289 | 0.111 | NNN | UCL |
| 69990 | 21 | 0.093 | -0.025 | 0.033 | 0.001 | 0.033 | 0.136 | 0.153 | NNN | UCL |
| 69995 | 70 | 0.022 | 0.104 | 0.051 | 0.132 | 0.048 | 0.351 | 0.063 | NNY | UCL |
| 70050 | 37 | 0.006 | -0.023 | 0.038 | 0.003 | 0.037 | 0.165 | 0.096 | NNN | UCL |
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| 70149 | 90 | 0.186 | -0.023 | 0.033 | 0.118 | 0.033 | 1.111 | 0.084 | NNY | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70300 | 86 | 0.250 | 0.399 | 0.062 | -0.030 | 0.056 | -0.035 | 0.061 | YNN | UCL |
| 70350 | 70 | 0.326 | 0.034 | 0.037 | 0.063 | 0.036 | 0.177 | 0.067 | NNN | UCL |
| 70441 | 93 | 0.020 | -0.026 | 0.036 | 0.088 | 0.034 | 1.017 | 0.054 | NNY | UCL |
| 70455 | 51 | -0.047 | -0.009 | 0.038 | 0.138 | 0.036 | 0.918 | 0.052 | NNY | UCL |
| 70483 | 52 | 0.160 | 0.070 | 0.043 | 0.033 | 0.043 | 0.059 | 0.057 | NNN | UCL |
| 70513 | 20 | 0.047 | 0.016 | 0.044 | -0.022 | 0.043 | 0.019 | 0.074 | NNN | UCL |
| 70518 | 15 | 0.059 | 0.092 | 0.044 | 0.035 | 0.042 | 0.027 | 0.067 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 70537 | 28 | 0.315 | -0.028 | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.032 | 0.138 | 0.122 | NNN | UCL |
| 70558 | 88 | 0.206 | -0.009 | 0.030 | 0.031 | 0.031 | -0.189 | 0.237 | NNN | UCL |
| 70575 | 7 | 0.070 | -0.022 | 0.033 | -0.013 | 0.038 | -0.361 | 0.401 | NNN | LCC |
| 70626 | 79 | -0.064 | -0.024 | 0.043 | -0.064 | 0.042 | 0.031 | 0.063 | NNN | UCL |
| 70676 | 16 | 0.090 | -0.041 | 0.032 | -0.028 | 0.036 | -0.103 | 0.326 | NNN | UCL |
| 70689 | 90 | 0.292 | 0.007 | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.031 | -0.032 | 0.112 | NNN | UCL |
| 70697 | 89 | 0.003 | -0.021 | 0.034 | -0.023 | 0.033 | -0.007 | 0.083 | NNN | UCL |
| 70753 | 80 | -0.085 | 0.226 | 0.067 | -0.120 | 0.062 | -0.164 | 0.065 | NNN | UCL |
| 70765 | 41 | 0.071 | -0.012 | 0.033 | -0.030 | 0.034 | -0.112 | 0.144 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 70809 | 68 | -0.004 | -0.018 | 0.039 | -0.025 | 0.039 | 0.084 | 0.072 | NNN | UCL |
| 70822 | 27 | 0.129 | -0.029 | 0.034 | 0.011 | 0.033 | -0.068 | 0.186 | NNN | UCL |
| 70833 | 84 | 0.233 | -0.023 | 0.033 | 0.022 | 0.033 | -0.246 | 0.186 | NNN | UCL |
| 70898 | 28 | 0.207 | 0.002 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.034 | 0.081 | 0.118 | NNN | UCL |
| 70904 | 66 | 0.141 | 0.037 | 0.041 | 0.006 | 0.041 | -0.022 | 0.062 | NNN | UCL |
| 70918 | 47 | 0.186 | 0.026 | 0.040 | 0.029 | 0.038 | 0.069 | 0.062 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 70931 | 72 | 0.099 | 0.316 | 0.068 | -0.052 | 0.063 | -0.073 | 0.067 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 70977 | 5 | -0.006 | -0.040 | 0.031 | 0.001 | 0.035 | -0.010 | 0.299 | NNN | UCL |
| 70998 | 89 | 0.097 | -0.010 | 0.035 | -0.010 | 0.035 | -0.033 | 0.100 | NNN | UCL |
| 71023 | 32 | 0.211 | -0.031 | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.031 | 0.231 | 0.141 | NNN | UCL |
| 71140 | 67 | 0.135 | -0.036 | 0.035 | -0.009 | 0.035 | -0.042 | 0.096 | NNN | UCL |
| 71271 | 55 | -0.010 | -0.020 | 0.032 | 0.106 | 0.032 | 0.987 | 0.063 | NNY | UCL |
| 71314 | 7 | 0.005 | -0.030 | 0.033 | -0.062 | 0.034 | -0.208 | 0.102 | NNN | LCC |
| 71321 | 92 | 0.165 | 0.023 | 0.036 | 0.041 | 0.035 | 0.051 | 0.096 | NNN | UCL |
| 71352 | 82 | -0.003 | 0.637 | 0.058 | 0.868 | 0.060 | 1.486 | 0.060 | NNY | UCL |
| 71353 | 90 | -0.042 | 0.078 | 0.047 | 0.012 | 0.044 | 0.004 | 0.058 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 71453 | 66 | -0.056 | 0.012 | 0.047 | -0.049 | 0.045 | 0.158 | 0.055 | NNN | UCL |
| 71498 | 41 | 0.117 | -0.009 | 0.033 | 0.027 | 0.035 | 0.163 | 0.169 | NNN | UCL |
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| 71536 | 84 | -0.161 | 0.534 | 0.074 | -0.083 | 0.068 | -0.111 | 0.071 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71633 | 36 | 0.172 | -0.020 | 0.035 | -0.004 | 0.035 | -0.092 | 0.130 | NNN | UCL |
| 71708 | 84 | 0.106 | -0.027 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.275 | 0.110 | NNN | UCL |
| 71724 | 83 | -0.046 | -0.085 | 0.039 | -0.091 | 0.038 | -0.100 | 0.081 | NNN | UCL |
| 71767 | 43 | 0.326 | 0.011 | 0.031 | 0.072 | 0.032 | 0.199 | 0.131 | NNN | UCL |
| 71835 | 14 | 0.175 | -0.003 | 0.032 | 0.051 | 0.034 | 0.102 | 0.144 | NNN | UCL |
| 71856 | 7 | 0.010 | -0.001 | 0.030 | -0.071 | 0.034 | -0.150 | 0.278 | NNN 1 | UCL |
| 71858 | 15 | 0.311 | -0.036 | 0.030 | -0.069 | 0.037 | -0.638 | 0.484 | NNN | UCL |
| 71860 | 70 | -0.034 | -0.354 | 0.011 | -0.840 | 0.020 | -0.917 | 0.021 | NNN 1 | UCL |
| 71865 | 93 | 0.150 | 0.477 | 0.076 | -0.038 | 0.067 | -0.054 | 0.071 | NNN | UCL |
| 71885 | 9 | 0.013 | -0.027 | 0.034 | 0.010 | 0.038 | 0.470 | 0.175 | NNY | UCL |
| 71969 | 13 | 0.262 | -0.034 | 0.035 | -0.002 | 0.034 | -0.023 | 0.168 | NNN | US |
| 71982 | 33 | 0.240 | -0.023 | 0.035 | 0.004 | 0.034 | 0.132 | 0.107 | NNN | UCL |
| 72060 | 16 | 0.233 | -0.028 | 0.037 | -0.026 | 0.036 | -0.016 | 0.076 | NNN | US |
| 72099 | 47 | 0.254 | -0.018 | 0.031 | 0.172 | 0.033 | 1.196 | 0.105 | NNY | UCL |
| 72140 | 22 | 0.117 | -0.028 | 0.037 | -0.040 | 0.037 | -0.165 | 0.099 | NNN | UCL |
| 72149 | 51 | 0.249 | -0.004 | 0.031 | 0.040 | 0.036 | 0.535 | 0.227 | NNN | UCL |
| 72158 | 59 | 0.212 | -0.008 | 0.034 | -0.001 | 0.035 | 0.068 | 0.097 | NNN | UCL |
| 72164 | 28 | 0.236 | -0.021 | 0.029 | 0.003 | 0.030 | 0.009 | 0.210 | NNN | UCL |
| 72185 | 12 | 0.219 | -0.026 | 0.033 | 0.010 | 0.033 | -0.146 | 0.227 | NNN | UCL |
| 72216 | 57 | 0.314 | -0.008 | 0.034 | 0.096 | 0.034 | 0.482 | 0.086 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 72299 | 27 | 0.134 | -0.017 | 0.031 | -0.015 | 0.032 | 0.214 | 0.113 | NNN | UCL |
| 72345 | 18 | 0.261 | -0.017 | 0.032 | 0.023 | 0.035 | -0.071 | 0.357 | NNN | UCL |
| 72352 | 22 | 0.062 | -0.025 | 0.032 | 0.010 | 0.033 | 0.106 | 0.178 | NNN | UCL |
| 72877 | 6 | 06 | 0.149 | -0.006 | 0.031 | 0.070 | 0.038 | 0.325 | 0.350 | NNN |


| 72940 | 91 | 0.129 | -0.115 | 0.045 | -0.122 | 0.043 | -0.080 | 0.067 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72984 | 43 | 0.107 | -0.099 | 0.037 | -0.053 | 0.036 | -0.127 | 0.091 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 73145 | 91 | 0.080 | 0.003 | 0.034 | 0.651 | 0.032 | 3.239 | 0.035 | NYY | UCL |
| 73147 | 78 | 0.014 | -0.036 | 0.031 | 0.008 | 0.033 | 0.116 | 0.163 | NNN | UCL |
| 73150 | 70 | 0.030 | -0.008 | 0.038 | 0.043 | 0.038 | 1.199 | 0.050 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 73171 | 33 | -0.028 | -0.027 | 0.043 | -0.050 | 0.043 | 0.210 | 0.058 | NNN | UCL |
| 73266 | 92 | -0.036 | -0.026 | 0.035 | -0.024 | 0.035 | 0.031 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 73274 | 8 | 0.320 | -0.020 | 0.032 | 0.027 | 0.035 | -0.242 | 0.353 | NNN | US |
| 73295 | 13 | 0.122 | 0.006 | 0.031 | 0.026 | 0.031 | 0.115 | 0.100 | NNN | UCL |
| 73334 | 73 | 0.038 | 0.688 | 0.076 | -0.138 | 0.072 | -0.135 | 0.072 | NNN | UCL |
| 73341 | 65 | -0.068 | -0.052 | 0.040 | 0.071 | 0.039 | 0.744 | 0.053 | NNY | UCL |
| 73348 | 7 | 0.189 | -0.015 | 0.037 | 0.004 | 0.037 | 0.056 | 0.072 | NNN | UCL |
| 73357 | 32 | 0.108 | 0.016 | 0.040 | -0.034 | 0.038 | 0.016 | 0.081 | NNN | UCL |
| 73358 | 12 | 0.316 | -0.001 | 0.038 | 0.003 | 0.036 | -0.016 | 0.099 | NNN | US |
| 73393 | 21 | -0.034 | -0.025 | 0.037 | -0.031 | 0.037 | 0.079 | 0.087 | NNN | UCL |
| 73409 | 19 | 0.119 | -0.006 | 0.034 | -0.003 | 0.035 | -0.096 | 0.132 | NNN | UCL |
| 73498 | 10 | 0.223 | -0.017 | 0.033 | 0.002 | 0.038 | -0.396 | 0.383 | NNN | US |
| 73535 | 62 | 0.156 | -0.049 | 0.037 | -0.039 | 0.036 | -0.068 | 0.091 | NNN | UCL |
| 73538 | 21 | 0.226 | -0.036 | 0.031 | -0.019 | 0.035 | -0.113 | 0.302 | NNN | UCL |
| 73559 | 61 | 0.174 | 0.178 | 0.055 | -0.025 | 0.052 | -0.021 | 0.059 | YNN | UCL |
| 73597 | 15 | 0.167 | -0.029 | 0.031 | 0.027 | 0.035 | 0.224 | 0.203 | NNN | UCL |
| 73624 | 86 | -0.053 | 0.105 | 0.052 | -0.082 | 0.051 | -0.094 | 0.062 | NNN | UCL |
| 73667 | 36 | 0.246 | -0.028 | 0.033 | 0.005 | 0.033 | -0.074 | 0.152 | NNN | UCL |
| 73698 | 5 | 0.230 | -0.006 | 0.033 | 0.028 | 0.033 | -0.082 | 0.148 | NNN | UCL |
| 73742 | 58 | 0.301 | -0.047 | 0.036 | -0.013 | 0.037 | -0.072 | 0.101 | NNN | UCL |
| 73766 | 46 | 0.208 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 0.011 | 0.038 | 0.040 | 0.081 | NNN | US |
| 73807 | 76 | -0.159 | 0.483 | 0.072 | -0.100 | 0.066 | -0.151 | 0.067 | NNN | UCL |
| 73828 | 10 | 0.213 | -0.022 | 0.034 | -0.016 | 0.034 | -0.067 | 0.181 | NNN | UCL |
| 73859 | 11 | 0.270 | -0.013 | 0.031 | -0.026 | 0.036 | 0.099 | 0.193 | NNN | US |
| 73906 | 16 | 0.285 | -0.014 | 0.033 | 0.004 | 0.037 | 0.251 | 0.327 | NNN | US |
| 73913 | 88 | 0.177 | 0.006 | 0.032 | 0.052 | 0.033 | 0.133 | 0.140 | NNN | UCL |
| 73937 | 86 | -0.016 | 0.073 | 0.051 | -0.029 | 0.050 | -0.007 | 0.071 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 73990 | 81 | 0.178 | 0.008 | 0.033 | 0.259 | 0.033 | 1.628 | 0.047 | NYY | UCL |
| 74066 | 67 | -0.021 | -0.016 | 0.048 | -0.124 | 0.046 | -0.057 | 0.064 | NNN | UCL |
| 74098 | 67 | 0.271 | -0.011 | 0.033 | -0.034 | 0.033 | 0.143 | 0.202 | NNN | UCL |
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| 74100 | 87 | -0.089 | 0.037 | 0.046 | -0.025 | 0.045 | 0.017 | 0.060 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74104 | 74 | 0.162 | -0.003 | 0.033 | 0.017 | 0.033 | 0.065 | 0.121 | NNN | UCL |
| 74114 | 49 | 0.317 | -0.015 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.033 | -0.773 | 0.331 | NNN | UCL |
| 74125 | 10 | 0.260 | -0.018 | 0.032 | -0.014 | 0.033 | 0.024 | 0.160 | NNN | US |
| 74181 | 6 | 0.021 | 0.024 | 0.050 | -0.070 | 0.048 | -0.127 | 0.064 | NNN | UCL |
| 74308 | 47 | 0.387 | -0.058 | 0.033 | -0.025 | 0.033 | -0.308 | 0.191 | NNN | UCL |
| 74321 | 41 | 0.214 | -0.025 | 0.030 | 0.018 | 0.033 | -0.151 | 0.280 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 74449 | 48 | -0.063 | 0.157 | 0.058 | -0.131 | 0.055 | -0.160 | 0.061 | NNN | UCL |
| 74468 | 70 | -0.015 | -0.040 | 0.045 | 0.030 | 0.045 | 0.270 | 0.191 | NNN | UCL |
| 74479 | 78 | -0.036 | -0.034 | 0.048 | -0.098 | 0.046 | -0.130 | 0.069 | NNN | UCL |
| 74490 | 39 | -0.021 | -0.038 | 0.041 | -0.072 | 0.040 | -0.030 | 0.071 | NNN | UCL |
| 74498 | 44 | 0.288 | -0.017 | 0.033 | 0.021 | 0.036 | 0.491 | 0.168 | NNN | UCL |
| 74499 | 90 | 0.227 | -0.029 | 0.034 | 0.071 | 0.032 | 1.262 | 0.060 | NNY | UCL |
| 74529 | 43 | 0.137 | 0.052 | 0.029 | 0.067 | 0.033 | 0.117 | 0.189 | NNN | UCL |
| 74645 | 20 | 0.160 | -0.020 | 0.033 | -0.018 | 0.032 | -0.141 | 0.121 | NNN | US |
| 74651 | 62 | 0.297 | -0.017 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.032 | 0.180 | 0.141 | NNN | UCL |
| 74657 | 21 | -0.081 | -0.041 | 0.036 | -0.045 | 0.036 | -0.120 | 0.104 | NNN | UCL |
| 74724 | 7 | 0.176 | -0.040 | 0.033 | -0.031 | 0.031 | -0.080 | 0.104 | NNN | UCL |
| 74744 | 5 | 0.053 | -0.026 | 0.032 | -0.011 | 0.033 | -0.060 | 0.191 | NNN | UCL |
| 74752 | 21 | -0.052 | -0.029 | 0.038 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.245 | 0.068 | NNN | UCL |
| 74772 | 16 | 0.207 | -0.015 | 0.033 | 0.063 | 0.036 | -0.217 | 0.389 | NNN | UCL |
| 74820 | 5 | -0.031 | -0.048 | 0.033 | -0.168 | 0.036 | 0.199 | 0.201 | NNN | UCL |
| 74865 | 90 | 0.256 | -0.031 | 0.033 | 0.011 | 0.035 | 0.009 | 0.208 | NNN | UCL |
| 74911 | 62 | 0.086 | 0.695 | 0.070 | 0.509 | 0.059 | 1.137 | 0.061 | YYY | UCL |
| 74950 | 57 | -0.095 | 0.070 | 0.052 | -0.006 | 0.050 | -0.013 | 0.065 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 74959 | 84 | 0.239 | -0.036 | 0.034 | 0.016 | 0.034 | 0.578 | 0.129 | NNY | UCL |
| 74985 | 81 | 0.053 | -0.024 | 0.036 | 0.029 | 0.035 | 0.168 | 0.120 | NNN | UCL |
| 74992 | 34 | 0.134 | -0.007 | 0.033 | 0.047 | 0.033 | 0.155 | 0.108 | NNN | US |
| 75035 | 61 | 0.350 | 0.015 | 0.035 | 0.022 | 0.035 | -0.050 | 0.132 | NNN | UCL |
| 75056 | 84 | 0.076 | -0.016 | 0.035 | -0.030 | 0.035 | -0.257 | 0.124 | NNN | UCL |
| 75077 | 78 | 0.022 | -0.047 | 0.039 | -0.018 | 0.039 | 0.243 | 0.076 | NNN | UCL |
| 75151 | 81 | -0.014 | -0.173 | 0.044 | -0.200 | 0.043 | -0.204 | 0.069 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 75164 | 78 | 0.047 | 0.025 | 0.048 | -0.007 | 0.047 | 0.187 | 0.060 | NNN | UCL |
| 75210 | 91 | -0.010 | -0.038 | 0.040 | 0.175 | 0.038 | 1.151 | 0.048 | NYY | UCL |
| 75264 | 47 | -0.140 | 0.472 | 0.083 | -0.137 | 0.076 | -0.175 | 0.077 | NNN | UCL |
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| 75267 | 18 | 0.231 | -0.025 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.036 | 0.095 | 0.201 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75290 | 30 | 0.270 | -0.020 | 0.034 | 0.010 | 0.034 | -0.221 | 0.183 | NNN | UCL |
| 75304 | 90 | -0.063 | 0.410 | 0.065 | 0.040 | 0.058 | 0.026 | 0.064 | YNN | UCL |
| 75367 | 80 | 0.293 | -0.014 | 0.032 | 0.049 | 0.035 | 0.199 | 0.292 | NNN | UCL |
| 75403 | 6 | 0.189 | 0.006 | 0.036 | 0.047 | 0.041 | 0.124 | 0.355 | NNN | UCL |
| 75427 | 23 | 0.027 | -0.038 | 0.034 | -0.029 | 0.035 | -0.039 | 0.101 | NNN | UCL |
| 75459 | 41 | 0.242 | -0.030 | 0.034 | -0.005 | 0.034 | 0.052 | 0.107 | NNN | UCL |
| 75476 | 82 | 0.017 | 0.013 | 0.037 | 0.035 | 0.038 | 0.158 | 0.075 | NNN | UCL |
| 75480 | 89 | 0.190 | -0.019 | 0.035 | 0.003 | 0.034 | -0.014 | 0.128 | NNN | UCL |
| 75491 | 70 | 0.191 | -0.045 | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 1.146 | 0.059 | NNY | UCL |
| 75509 | 92 | 0.025 | -0.003 | 0.034 | 0.244 | 0.041 | 1.175 | 0.087 | NYY | UCL |
| 75575 | 12 | 0.169 | 0.007 | 0.031 | 0.017 | 0.034 | -0.185 | 0.180 | NNN | UCL |
| 75612 | 12 | 0.233 | -0.012 | 0.034 | -0.004 | 0.035 | 0.077 | 0.174 | NNN | UCL |
| 75613 | 52 | 0.183 | -0.032 | 0.040 | -0.045 | 0.038 | -0.047 | 0.078 | NNN | UCL |
| 75647 | 83 | -0.080 | 0.006 | 0.051 | -0.113 | 0.049 | -0.135 | 0.061 | NNN | UCL |
| 75659 | 40 | 0.279 | -0.038 | 0.032 | -0.006 | 0.035 | -0.274 | 0.328 | NNN | US |
| 75669 | 26 | 0.228 | -0.024 | 0.033 | 0.012 | 0.035 | -0.194 | 0.261 | NNN | US |
| 75683 | 83 | 0.234 | -0.002 | 0.031 | 0.087 | 0.034 | 0.795 | 0.148 | NNY | UCL |
| 75765 | 17 | 0.264 | -0.016 | 0.039 | -0.027 | 0.038 | -0.115 | 0.082 | NNN | UCL |
| 75802 | 50 | 0.291 | -0.024 | 0.030 | -0.010 | 0.030 | -0.080 | 0.147 | NNN | UCL |
| 75824 | 69 | 0.188 | 0.002 | 0.025 | 0.042 | 0.026 | -0.223 | 0.191 | NNN | UCL |
| 75843 | 14 | 0.137 | -0.021 | 0.033 | 0.154 | 0.045 | 0.950 | 0.134 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 75891 | 90 | 0.227 | -0.011 | 0.035 | 0.023 | 0.035 | -0.031 | 0.135 | NNN | UCL |
| 75902 | 6 | 0.039 | -0.029 | 0.035 | 0.121 | 0.036 | 1.122 | 0.068 | NNY | UCL |
| 75906 | 9 | 0.203 | -0.033 | 0.031 | -0.030 | 0.033 | -0.377 | 0.232 | NNN | US |
| 75915 | 92 | -0.003 | 0.123 | 0.035 | 0.124 | 0.034 | 0.196 | 0.064 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 75933 | 34 | 0.240 | -0.009 | 0.031 | 0.060 | 0.031 | -0.025 | 0.141 | NNN | UCL |
| 75935 | 28 | 0.179 | -0.015 | 0.030 | 0.010 | 0.030 | -0.197 | 0.217 | NNN | US |
| 75952 | 7 | -0.029 | -0.034 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.035 | 0.178 | 0.215 | NNN | UCL |
| 75957 | 26 | 0.011 | -0.011 | 0.036 | -0.014 | 0.036 | 0.099 | 0.097 | NNN | UCL |
| 75985 | 9 | 0.192 | 0.022 | 0.040 | 0.018 | 0.039 | 0.170 | 0.071 | NNN | US |
| 76001 | 73 | 0.096 | 0.000 | 0.040 | -0.024 | 0.039 | -0.029 | 0.073 | NNN | UCL |
| 76048 | 83 | 0.006 | -0.020 | 0.045 | -0.038 | 0.044 | 0.086 | 0.060 | NNN | UCL |
| 76063 | 55 | 0.117 | 0.155 | 0.056 | 0.035 | 0.052 | 0.376 | 0.061 | YNY | UCL |
| 76071 | 70 | 0.115 | -0.032 | 0.037 | -0.061 | 0.037 | -0.102 | 0.111 | NNN | US |
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| 76084 | 87 | 0.257 | -0.017 | 0.035 | -0.009 | 0.034 | 0.099 | 0.119 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76126 | 58 | -0.111 | 0.119 | 0.048 | -0.009 | 0.045 | -0.045 | 0.061 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 76143 | 51 | 0.156 | -0.126 | 0.028 | 0.057 | 0.027 | -0.388 | 0.050 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 76223 | 47 | 0.008 | -0.029 | 0.038 | 0.192 | 0.039 | 1.877 | 0.046 | NYY | UCL |
| 76234 | 73 | 0.005 | -0.036 | 0.044 | 0.174 | 0.042 | 1.116 | 0.048 | NYY | UCL |
| 76264 | 14 | 0.214 | -0.009 | 0.029 | 0.051 | 0.029 | -0.072 | 0.172 | NNN | UCL |
| 76297 | 91 | -0.099 | 0.559 | 0.071 | -0.119 | 0.067 | -0.191 | 0.071 | NNN | UCL |
| 76302 | 36 | 0.163 | -0.014 | 0.031 | 0.005 | 0.033 | 0.146 | 0.179 | NNN | US |
| 76310 | 69 | -0.013 | -0.019 | 0.036 | 0.442 | 0.034 | 2.935 | 0.036 | NYY | US |
| 76371 | 74 | -0.132 | 0.405 | 0.058 | 0.003 | 0.050 | 0.035 | 0.055 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 76395 | 78 | -0.035 | 0.018 | 0.039 | 0.410 | 0.038 | 1.261 | 0.047 | NYY | UCL |
| 76442 | 29 | -0.029 | -0.031 | 0.033 | -0.030 | 0.033 | -0.068 | 0.150 | NNN | UCL |
| 76501 | 50 | 0.206 | -0.012 | 0.033 | 0.008 | 0.033 | -0.009 | 0.118 | NNN | UCL |
| 76503 | 27 | -0.018 | -0.029 | 0.043 | -0.131 | 0.041 | -0.084 | 0.069 | NNN | US |
| 76549 | 47 | 0.055 | -0.064 | 0.040 | -0.094 | 0.042 | -0.212 | 0.138 | NNN | UCL |
| 76572 | 30 | 0.209 | -0.017 | 0.031 | 0.005 | 0.038 | 0.192 | 0.378 | NNN | US |
| 76591 | 64 | -0.080 | -0.008 | 0.039 | -0.022 | 0.039 | -0.097 | 0.081 | NNN | UCL |
| 76600 | 53 | -0.130 | 0.628 | 0.078 | -0.024 | 0.071 | -0.062 | 0.073 | NNN | UCL |
| 76633 | 89 | -0.016 | -0.024 | 0.031 | 0.019 | 0.033 | -0.028 | 0.140 | NNN | US |
| 76712 | 31 | 0.145 | -0.074 | 0.026 | -0.070 | 0.029 | 0.190 | 0.126 | NNN | UCL |
| 76782 | 39 | 0.220 | -0.052 | 0.039 | 0.029 | 0.039 | 1.648 | 0.051 | NNY | US |
| 76875 | 89 | 0.196 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.004 | 0.034 | 0.011 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 76887 | 11 | 0.273 | -0.031 | 0.031 | 0.021 | 0.034 | -0.158 | 0.280 | NNN | US |
| 76945 | 85 | -0.095 | 0.228 | 0.061 | -0.119 | 0.057 | -0.130 | 0.061 | NNN | UCL |
| 76997 | 62 | 0.226 | -0.029 | 0.034 | 0.004 | 0.036 | 0.063 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 77010 | 11 | 0.104 | -0.020 | 0.037 | -0.039 | 0.036 | -0.224 | 0.116 | NNN | UCL |
| 77038 | 88 | 0.305 | -0.016 | 0.033 | 0.042 | 0.032 | 0.135 | 0.163 | NNN | UCL |
| 77051 | 49 | -0.055 | -0.048 | 0.031 | -0.052 | 0.033 | -0.108 | 0.167 | NNN | UCL |
| 77086 | 83 | 0.014 | 0.040 | 0.052 | -0.096 | 0.049 | -0.089 | 0.060 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 77124 | 56 | 0.382 | -0.038 | 0.031 | 0.022 | 0.033 | -0.149 | 0.266 | NNN | US |
| 77140 | 11 | 0.079 | -0.040 | 0.038 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.043 | 0.140 | NNN | UCL |
| 77144 | 79 | 0.400 | -0.012 | 0.031 | 0.044 | 0.033 | 0.142 | 0.143 | NNN | UCL |
| 77150 | 90 | 0.121 | -0.014 | 0.036 | 0.085 | 0.035 | 0.346 | 0.085 | NNN | UCL |
| 77154 | 34 | 0.283 | 0.014 | 0.028 | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.264 | 0.314 | NNN | US |
| 77165 | 28 | 0.120 | 0.031 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.036 | 0.073 | 0.085 | NNN | US |
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| 77286 | 84 | -0.092 | 0.053 | 0.050 | -0.079 | 0.049 | -0.026 | 0.062 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77295 | 74 | 0.058 | -0.024 | 0.032 | 0.007 | 0.033 | -0.192 | 0.177 | NNN | UCL |
| 77315 | 45 | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.040 | 0.229 | 0.039 | 1.398 | 0.049 | NYY | UCL |
| 77317 | 67 | -0.016 | -0.025 | 0.036 | 0.091 | 0.036 | 1.321 | 0.056 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 77330 | 43 | 0.285 | -0.025 | 0.035 | -0.010 | 0.034 | -0.059 | 0.124 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 77347 | 56 | 0.090 | 0.009 | 0.033 | 0.127 | 0.033 | 0.659 | 0.076 | NNY | US |
| 77366 | 13 | 0.164 | -0.036 | 0.033 | 0.054 | 0.035 | 0.600 | 0.144 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 77378 | 19 | 0.307 | -0.006 | 0.031 | 0.035 | 0.041 | 0.224 | 0.473 | NNN | UCL |
| 77388 | 78 | 0.155 | 0.031 | 0.035 | 0.043 | 0.035 | -0.099 | 0.132 | NNN | UCL |
| 77398 | 9 | 0.294 | -0.028 | 0.032 | -0.028 | 0.038 | -0.250 | 0.405 | NNN | UCL |
| 77399 | 59 | 0.077 | 0.055 | 0.038 | 0.098 | 0.038 | 0.853 | 0.054 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 77432 | 87 | 0.240 | -0.018 | 0.032 | 0.072 | 0.034 | 0.663 | 0.093 | NNY | UCL |
| 77502 | 62 | 0.214 | -0.017 | 0.033 | 0.009 | 0.033 | 0.074 | 0.165 | NNN | UCL |
| 77520 | 90 | 0.328 | -0.012 | 0.033 | 0.041 | 0.033 | 0.246 | 0.141 | NNN | UCL |
| 77523 | 33 | -0.029 | -0.035 | 0.036 | 0.100 | 0.035 | 0.780 | 0.077 | NNY | UCL |
| 77545 | 84 | 0.247 | -0.022 | 0.033 | 0.142 | 0.040 | 0.944 | 0.129 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 77552 | 9 | 0.071 | -0.012 | 0.034 | -0.016 | 0.035 | -0.338 | 0.331 | NNN | US |
| 77562 | 70 | -0.038 | 0.016 | 0.049 | 0.260 | 0.049 | 1.655 | 0.053 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 77588 | 43 | 0.324 | -0.029 | 0.033 | -0.002 | 0.035 | -0.124 | 0.225 | NNN | US |
| 77635 | 89 | 0.019 | 0.338 | 0.071 | -0.095 | 0.064 | -0.003 | 0.076 | NNN | US |
| 77644 | 14 | 1.523 | 0.016 | 0.030 | -0.028 | 0.034 | 0.070 | 0.175 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 77713 | 45 | 0.209 | -0.027 | 0.033 | 0.001 | 0.033 | -0.027 | 0.235 | NNN | UCL |
| 77736 | 44 | 0.359 | 0.013 | 0.031 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.359 | 0.138 | NNN | US |
| 77766 | 6 | 0.115 | -0.001 | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.033 | -0.032 | 0.164 | NNN | US |
| 77778 | 13 | 0.045 | -0.066 | 0.032 | -0.093 | 0.048 | 0.170 | 0.303 | NNN | UCL |
| 77815 | 79 | 0.275 | 0.012 | 0.036 | 0.053 | 0.035 | 0.033 | 0.102 | NNN | US |
| 77840 | 51 | -0.049 | 0.502 | 0.074 | 0.088 | 0.068 | 1.025 | 0.086 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 77858 | 83 | -0.010 | 0.147 | 0.064 | -0.069 | 0.059 | 0.901 | 0.063 | YNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 77859 | 75 | 0.072 | 0.327 | 0.069 | 0.410 | 0.062 | 0.885 | 0.064 | NYY | US |
| 77900 | 82 | -0.066 | 0.014 | 0.044 | -0.052 | 0.044 | -0.108 | 0.073 | NNN | US |
| 77909 | 71 | -0.063 | -0.043 | 0.051 | -0.141 | 0.048 | -0.072 | 0.068 | NNN | US |
| 77911 | 83 | 0.027 | 0.033 | 0.041 | 0.145 | 0.039 | 2.347 | 0.043 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 77937 | 17 | 0.265 | 0.022 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 0.033 | -0.164 | 0.183 | NNN | UCL |
| 77939 | 38 | 0.039 | 0.073 | 0.055 | -0.110 | 0.052 | -0.141 | 0.065 | NNN | US |
| 77960 | 86 | 0.148 | -0.020 | 0.036 | -0.011 | 0.034 | 0.016 | 0.120 | NNN | US |
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| 77968 | 59 | -0.048 | -0.043 | 0.037 | -0.046 | 0.036 | -0.149 | 0.097 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77974 | 5 | 0.265 | -0.036 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.034 | -0.063 | 0.129 | NNN | UCL |
| 78035 | 27 | 0.324 | -0.013 | 0.034 | 0.010 | 0.034 | -0.038 | 0.187 | NNN | UCL |
| 78043 | 83 | 0.213 | -0.021 | 0.033 | 0.085 | 0.033 | 0.882 | 0.089 | NNY | UCL |
| 78099 | 88 | 0.070 | -0.005 | 0.035 | 0.038 | 0.034 | 0.263 | 0.089 | NNN | US |
| 78104 | 82 | -0.114 | 0.456 | 0.063 | -0.051 | 0.058 | -0.084 | 0.062 | NNN | US |
| 78129 | 22 | 0.127 | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.024 | 0.038 | 0.138 | 0.066 | NNN 1 | US |
| 78130 | 48 | 0.228 | 0.010 | 0.046 | -0.012 | 0.044 | 0.011 | 0.064 | NNN | US |
| 78150 | 84 | 0.144 | -0.021 | 0.035 | -0.016 | 0.043 | -0.006 | 0.190 | NNN | UCL |
| 78168 | 30 | 0.030 | 0.067 | 0.055 | -0.063 | 0.053 | -0.050 | 0.063 | NNN | US |
| 78183 | 88 | -0.003 | -0.054 | 0.041 | -0.120 | 0.040 | -0.015 | 0.080 | NNN | US |
| 78196 | 90 | -0.027 | 0.038 | 0.036 | -0.009 | 0.035 | -0.189 | 0.104 | NNN | US |
| 78197 | 5 | 0.045 | -0.038 | 0.035 | -0.039 | 0.034 | 0.004 | 0.112 | NNN | UCL |
| 78198 | 40 | 0.225 | -0.016 | 0.030 | 0.046 | 0.031 | 0.552 | 0.159 | NNY | UCL |
| 78207 | 86 | 0.507 | 0.859 | 0.066 | 1.110 | 0.058 | 1.874 | 0.061 | NYY | US |
| 78233 | 64 | 0.304 | -0.017 | 0.032 | -0.009 | 0.034 | -0.031 | 0.179 | NNN | US |
| 78246 | 23 | -0.064 | 0.007 | 0.054 | -0.114 | 0.052 | -0.116 | 0.062 | NNN | US |
| 78263 | 59 | 0.133 | 0.008 | 0.034 | 0.053 | 0.035 | 0.287 | 0.157 | NNN | UCL |
| 78264 | 37 | 0.290 | -0.046 | 0.035 | -0.035 | 0.036 | 0.057 | 0.093 | NNN | UCL |
| 78265 | 36 | -0.221 | 0.590 | 0.052 | 0.138 | 0.054 | 0.433 | 0.294 | NNN | US |
| 78266 | 10 | 0.054 | -0.019 | 0.033 | -0.039 | 0.054 | 0.224 | 0.366 | NNN | UCL |
| 78306 | 14 | 0.016 | -0.047 | 0.037 | -0.086 | 0.036 | -0.211 | 0.090 | NNN | UCL |
| 78324 | 78 | 0.170 | -0.003 | 0.035 | 0.013 | 0.034 | 0.163 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 78357 | 17 | 0.086 | -0.037 | 0.034 | 0.086 | 0.036 | 0.959 | 0.076 | NNY | UCL |
| 78581 | 86 | 0.358 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0.079 | 0.034 | 0.087 | 0.124 | NNN | US |
| 78581 | 27 | 0.318 | -0.015 | 0.033 | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.098 | 0.220 | NNN | US |
| 78537 | 89 | 88 | 0.049 | 0.059 | 0.029 | 0.040 | 0.031 | 0.397 | 0.072 | NNY |
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| 78603 | 32 | -0.020 | 0.005 | 0.041 | 0.020 | 0.040 | 0.116 | 0.058 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78607 | 6 | 0.087 | 0.008 | 0.038 | -0.014 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0.105 | NNN | UCL |
| 78611 | 12 | 0.088 | -0.046 | 0.033 | -0.035 | 0.033 | 0.221 | 0.092 | NNN | UCL |
| 78635 | 8 | 0.105 | -0.009 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.034 | -0.511 | 0.385 | NNN | US |
| 78641 | 91 | 0.071 | -0.034 | 0.033 | 0.288 | 0.032 | 2.079 | 0.046 | NYY | UCL |
| 78655 | 90 | -0.053 | 0.116 | 0.064 | -0.168 | 0.058 | -0.116 | 0.063 | NNN | UCL |
| 78663 | 88 | 0.271 | -0.033 | 0.031 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.235 | 0.137 | NNN | US |
| 78702 | 90 | 0.142 | 0.004 | 0.036 | 0.012 | 0.034 | -0.046 | 0.132 | NNN | US |
| 78711 | 63 | 0.313 | -0.027 | 0.029 | -0.032 | 0.030 | -0.201 | 0.235 | NNN | UCL |
| 78754 | 73 | -0.018 | -0.038 | 0.040 | -0.075 | 0.039 | -0.017 | 0.090 | NNN | UCL |
| 78756 | 75 | -0.095 | -0.064 | 0.035 | -0.067 | 0.034 | 0.339 | 0.067 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 78766 | 12 | 0.189 | -0.017 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.034 | 0.214 | 0.153 | NNN | UCL |
| 78774 | 32 | 0.286 | -0.016 | 0.033 | 0.019 | 0.035 | -0.041 | 0.163 | NNN | UCL |
| 78795 | 37 | 0.265 | -0.046 | 0.033 | -0.019 | 0.033 | -0.055 | 0.137 | NNN | UCL |
| 78809 | 91 | 0.062 | -0.019 | 0.036 | 0.003 | 0.034 | -0.110 | 0.117 | NNN | US |
| 78820 | 50 | -0.354 | 0.584 | 0.003 | -0.145 | 0.022 | -0.053 | 0.019 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 78826 | 44 | 0.078 | 0.006 | 0.028 | 0.019 | 0.029 | 0.384 | 0.090 | NNY | UCL |
| 78830 | 63 | 0.248 | -0.012 | 0.033 | 0.056 | 0.033 | 0.340 | 0.126 | NNN | US |
| 78847 | 89 | 0.167 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 0.003 | 0.037 | -0.039 | 0.096 | NNN | US |
| 78853 | 55 | 0.169 | 0.022 | 0.033 | 0.030 | 0.033 | 0.023 | 0.103 | NNN | UCL |
| 78877 | 61 | 0.106 | -0.048 | 0.054 | -0.136 | 0.051 | 0.137 | 0.070 | NNN | US |
| 78910 | 13 | 0.267 | -0.008 | 0.034 | 0.038 | 0.035 | 0.357 | 0.160 | NNN | US |
| 78918 | 72 | 0.122 | 0.434 | 0.064 | -0.071 | 0.057 | -0.038 | 0.060 | NNN | UCL |
| 78933 | 91 | 0.151 | 0.709 | 0.069 | -0.187 | 0.064 | -0.262 | 0.105 | NNN | US |
| 78943 | 49 | 1.207 | 0.998 | 0.063 | 3.577 | 0.054 | 5.243 | 0.053 | NYY | US |
| 78956 | 93 | 0.172 | -0.028 | 0.036 | 0.061 | 0.035 | 0.466 | 0.079 | NNY | US |
| 78963 | 72 | 0.237 | -0.015 | 0.034 | 0.016 | 0.035 | 0.009 | 0.117 | NNN | US |
| 78968 | 89 | 0.034 | -0.037 | 0.036 | 0.066 | 0.034 | 0.548 | 0.081 | NNY | US |
| 78996 | 86 | 0.231 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 0.318 | 0.035 | 1.421 | 0.055 | NYY | US |
| 79031 | 88 | -0.054 | 0.013 | 0.045 | -0.056 | 0.043 | -0.056 | 0.074 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 79044 | 81 | -0.084 | -0.049 | 0.039 | -0.058 | 0.037 | -0.016 | 0.082 | NNN | UCL |
| 79046 | 5 | 0.157 | 0.058 | 0.053 | 0.024 | 0.051 | 0.068 | 0.062 | NNN | UCL |
| 79054 | 91 | 0.321 | -0.012 | 0.033 | 0.123 | 0.039 | 0.400 | 0.150 | NNN | US |
| 79078 | 58 | 0.187 | -0.030 | 0.033 | 0.037 | 0.035 | -0.066 | 0.225 | NNN | US |
| 79080 | 51 | 1.521 | 1.150 | 0.071 | 2.655 | 0.072 | 3.751 | 0.073 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
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| 79081 | 63 | -0.001 | -0.030 | 0.040 | 0.039 | 0.091 | 0.640 | 0.407 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79097 | 66 | 0.347 | 0.001 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.142 | 0.088 | NNN | US |
| 79098 | 55 | 0.029 | 0.196 | 0.054 | -0.013 | 0.050 | 0.353 | 0.058 | YNY | US |
| 79124 | 82 | 0.180 | 0.010 | 0.039 | 0.014 | 0.037 | 0.178 | 0.082 | NNN | US |
| 79142 | 54 | 0.222 | 0.006 | 0.027 | 0.078 | 0.030 | 0.181 | 0.188 | NNN | US |
| 79156 | 88 | 0.111 | -0.004 | 0.035 | 0.272 | 0.034 | 1.032 | 0.061 | NYY | US |
| 79197 | 23 | 0.080 | -0.041 | 0.032 | -0.059 | 0.035 | -0.558 | 0.336 | NNN | UCL |
| 79229 | 76 | -0.005 | -0.033 | 0.046 | -0.015 | 0.044 | 0.248 | 0.065 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 79230 | 6 | 0.253 | 0.349 | 0.045 | 0.805 | 0.042 | 1.444 | 0.044 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 79235 | 23 | 0.045 | 0.055 | 0.046 | -0.002 | 0.044 | 0.038 | 0.066 | NNN | US |
| 79250 | 92 | 0.124 | -0.017 | 0.034 | 0.053 | 0.034 | 0.195 | 0.107 | NNN | US |
| 79288 | 87 | 0.199 | 0.162 | 0.031 | 2.730 | 0.032 | 4.615 | 0.032 | YYY | US |
| 79317 | 19 | 0.262 | 0.017 | 0.033 | 0.056 | 0.033 | 0.131 | 0.162 | NNN | UCL |
| 79363 | 71 | 0.155 | -0.112 | 0.037 | -0.172 | 0.037 | -0.241 | 0.090 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 79366 | 87 | 0.178 | -0.016 | 0.036 | -0.029 | 0.034 | 0.062 | 0.109 | NNN | US |
| 79369 | 82 | 0.293 | -0.010 | 0.032 | 0.015 | 0.033 | -0.020 | 0.137 | NNN | US |
| 79372 | 33 | -0.007 | 0.009 | 0.044 | -0.021 | 0.044 | 0.023 | 0.063 | NNN | UCL |
| 79374 | 72 | 0.092 | 0.567 | 0.061 | -0.114 | 0.059 | -0.213 | 0.154 | NNN | US |
| 79383 | 74 | 0.297 | -0.010 | 0.033 | 0.171 | 0.033 | 1.018 | 0.075 | NNY | US |
| 79392 | 86 | 0.225 | 0.007 | 0.034 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0.256 | 0.121 | NNN | US |
| 79399 | 82 | 0.056 | 0.103 | 0.057 | -0.100 | 0.053 | -0.116 | 0.060 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 79400 | 54 | 0.136 | -0.052 | 0.028 | -0.069 | 0.029 | 0.370 | 0.068 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 79404 | 82 | 0.004 | 0.513 | 0.064 | 0.195 | 0.056 | 0.927 | 0.116 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 79410 | 79 | 0.103 | 0.006 | 0.036 | 0.338 | 0.033 | 1.325 | 0.050 | NYY | US |
| 79439 | 63 | 0.110 | 0.014 | 0.037 | 0.160 | 0.037 | 0.766 | 0.063 | NNY | US |
| 79454 | 28 | 0.257 | -0.015 | 0.035 | 0.013 | 0.037 | 0.186 | 0.260 | NNN | US |
| 79470 | 5 | 0.091 | -0.034 | 0.035 | -0.008 | 0.052 | -0.446 | 0.306 | NNN | UCL |
| 79476 | 80 | 0.997 | 1.005 | 0.055 | 3.806 | 0.046 | 5.197 | 0.043 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 79478 | 19 | 0.384 | 0.027 | 0.035 | 0.134 | 0.034 | 0.352 | 0.081 | NNN | UCL |
| 79516 | 77 | 0.229 | -0.033 | 0.030 | 0.056 | 0.030 | 2.261 | 0.044 | NNY | UCL |
| 79530 | 79 | 0.077 | 0.012 | 0.047 | -0.109 | 0.045 | -0.129 | 0.065 | NNN | US |
| 79534 | 12 | 0.101 | -0.028 | 0.035 | -0.051 | 0.035 | -0.275 | 0.167 | NNN | US |
| 79552 | 35 | 0.296 | 0.006 | 0.036 | 0.027 | 0.034 | -0.140 | 0.102 | NNN | US |
| 79599 | 85 | -0.010 | -0.063 | 0.043 | -0.107 | 0.042 | -0.093 | 0.082 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 79610 | 46 | 0.332 | -0.062 | 0.028 | -0.058 | 0.030 | -0.189 | 0.183 | NNN | UCL |
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| 79622 | 76 | 0.038 | 0.015 | 0.049 | -0.061 | 0.047 | 0.699 | 0.061 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79631 | 86 | 0.328 | -0.084 | 0.039 | 0.244 | 0.038 | 1.687 | 0.043 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 79643 | 34 | 0.335 | 0.001 | 0.034 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0.471 | 0.156 | NNN | US |
| 79673 | 83 | 0.208 | -0.035 | 0.030 | 0.041 | 0.033 | 0.251 | 0.137 | NNN | UCL |
| 79690 | 70 | 0.181 | -0.015 | 0.036 | -0.032 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.111 | NNN | US |
| 79705 | 11 | 0.169 | -0.018 | 0.031 | -0.022 | 0.041 | 0.083 | 0.477 | NNN | UCL |
| 79706 | 11 | 0.188 | -0.023 | 0.040 | -0.039 | 0.039 | 0.001 | 0.079 | NNN | US |
| 79710 | 79 | 0.169 | 0.003 | 0.034 | 0.032 | 0.046 | 0.870 | 0.104 | NNY | UCL |
| 79733 | 36 | 0.229 | -0.031 | 0.031 | -0.050 | 0.033 | 0.314 | 0.165 | NNN | US |
| 79734 | 42 | 0.303 | -0.019 | 0.036 | -0.043 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.120 | NNN | US |
| 79739 | 65 | 0.215 | -0.003 | 0.037 | -0.030 | 0.034 | 0.109 | 0.087 | NNN | US |
| 79742 | 76 | 0.210 | -0.009 | 0.033 | 0.142 | 0.033 | 1.989 | 0.051 | NNY | UCL |
| 79771 | 89 | 0.227 | 0.000 | 0.035 | -0.020 | 0.038 | -0.002 | 0.113 | NNN | US |
| 79785 | 77 | 0.029 | 0.014 | 0.041 | -0.020 | 0.040 | 0.060 | 0.070 | NNN | US |
| 79793 | 30 | 0.293 | -0.016 | 0.032 | -0.020 | 0.037 | -0.268 | 0.232 | NNN | US |
| 79794 | 9 | 0.048 | -0.034 | 0.033 | -0.043 | 0.034 | 0.007 | 0.118 | NNN | UCL |
| 79806 | 18 | 0.182 | 0.009 | 0.030 | 0.049 | 0.032 | 0.066 | 0.202 | NNN | US |
| 79842 | 15 | 0.201 | -0.017 | 0.032 | 0.009 | 0.033 | -0.091 | 0.173 | NNN | UCL |
| 79860 | 72 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.029 | 0.058 | 0.031 | 0.141 | 0.165 | NNN | US |
| 79878 | 89 | -0.012 | -0.025 | 0.035 | 0.218 | 0.035 | 1.103 | 0.059 | NYY | US |
| 79897 | 90 | 0.066 | 0.010 | 0.035 | 0.010 | 0.035 | 0.158 | 0.079 | NNN | US |
| 79909 | 36 | 0.274 | -0.003 | 0.034 | -0.016 | 0.036 | -0.017 | 0.156 | NNN | US |
| 79910 | 88 | 0.296 | -0.026 | 0.034 | -0.005 | 0.034 | -0.018 | 0.140 | NNN | US |
| 79977 | 90 | 0.262 | -0.008 | 0.034 | 0.345 | 0.033 | 3.459 | 0.035 | NYY | US |
| 79983 | 46 | 0.379 | 0.012 | 0.033 | 0.060 | 0.034 | -0.016 | 0.148 | NNN | UCL |
| 80019 | 27 | 0.168 | 0.027 | 0.038 | 0.406 | 0.045 | 1.286 | 0.102 | NYY | US |
| 80024 | 82 | 0.077 | -0.028 | 0.039 | 0.068 | 0.045 | 1.436 | 0.052 | NNY | US |
| 80036 | 68 | 0.300 | -0.072 | 0.022 | -0.083 | 0.025 | -0.022 | 0.198 | NNN | US |
| 80059 | 84 | 0.265 | 0.001 | 0.035 | 0.015 | 0.036 | 0.075 | 0.112 | NNN | US |
| 80062 | 57 | 0.248 | -0.065 | 0.041 | 0.017 | 0.060 | 0.691 | 0.189 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 80088 | 87 | 0.247 | -0.001 | 0.033 | 0.175 | 0.034 | 1.497 | 0.061 | NNY | US |
| 80089 | 18 | 0.363 | -0.049 | 0.034 | -0.019 | 0.034 | 0.039 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 80108 | 7 | 0.295 | 0.056 | 0.029 | 0.097 | 0.031 | 0.260 | 0.148 | NNN | US |
| 80126 | 86 | 0.147 | 0.350 | 0.030 | 1.104 | 0.029 | 2.788 | 0.037 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 80130 | 89 | 0.247 | 0.032 | 0.030 | 0.021 | 0.030 | 0.141 | 0.107 | NNN | US |
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| 80142 | 38 | -0.036 | 0.013 | 0.042 | -0.015 | 0.044 | -0.065 | 0.126 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80167 | 8 | 0.076 | -0.024 | 0.041 | -0.053 | 0.040 | -0.114 | 0.071 | NNN | UCL |
| 80208 | 48 | 0.021 | 0.302 | 0.063 | -0.094 | 0.069 | 0.379 | 0.330 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 80224 | 36 | 0.291 | 0.069 | 0.025 | 0.096 | 0.027 | 0.279 | 0.105 | NNN | US |
| 80238 | 92 | 0.229 | -0.018 | 0.041 | 0.109 | 0.041 | 0.681 | 0.064 | NNY | US |
| 80311 | 86 | 0.180 | 0.010 | 0.033 | -0.009 | 0.033 | 0.188 | 0.182 | NNN | US |
| 80324 | 92 | -0.024 | 0.030 | 0.051 | 0.067 | 0.050 | -0.039 | 0.163 | NNN | US |
| 80371 | 85 | 0.482 | -0.010 | 0.048 | -0.104 | 0.047 | 0.061 | 0.068 | NNN | US |
| 80390 | 79 | -0.046 | 0.105 | 0.055 | -0.061 | 0.053 | -0.002 | 0.061 | NNN | UCL |
| 80425 | 66 | 0.372 | 0.002 | 0.037 | 1.034 | 0.036 | 1.799 | 0.047 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 80458 | 49 | 0.293 | 0.005 | 0.034 | 0.337 | 0.032 | 2.375 | 0.038 | NYY | US |
| 80461 | 81 | 0.269 | 0.337 | 0.059 | 0.071 | 0.054 | 0.011 | 0.067 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 80474 | 43 | 0.333 | 0.301 | 0.063 | 0.479 | 0.055 | 0.593 | 0.065 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 80475 | 52 | 0.222 | 0.003 | 0.044 | -0.017 | 0.042 | -0.055 | 0.065 | NNN | US |
| 80493 | 86 | 0.222 | 0.004 | 0.038 | -0.019 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.091 | NNN | US |
| 80497 | 26 | 0.221 | -0.023 | 0.032 | 0.030 | 0.033 | 0.289 | 0.130 | NNN | US |
| 80535 | 90 | 0.336 | 0.007 | 0.036 | 0.007 | 0.035 | -0.090 | 0.122 | NNN | US |
| 80557 | 24 | -0.023 | -0.062 | 0.036 | 0.023 | 0.071 | 0.827 | 0.206 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 80569 | 55 | 0.519 | 1.077 | 0.010 | 2.002 | 0.013 | 2.584 | 0.023 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 80582 | 35 | 0.039 | 0.557 | 0.065 | -0.015 | 0.058 | 0.028 | 0.067 | NNN | UCL |
| 80591 | 84 | 0.099 | -0.065 | 0.029 | -0.044 | 0.030 | -0.156 | 0.171 | NNN | UCL |
| 80711 | 53 | 0.330 | -0.018 | 0.035 | -0.003 | 0.034 | 0.025 | 0.114 | NNN | US |
| 80799 | 91 | 0.155 | 0.005 | 0.033 | 0.087 | 0.040 | 1.035 | 0.094 | NNY | US |
| 80813 | 24 | 0.226 | -0.021 | 0.036 | -0.008 | 0.035 | 0.098 | 0.099 | NNN | UCL |
| 80815 | 62 | -0.061 | 0.288 | 0.065 | -0.078 | 0.061 | 0.109 | 0.073 | NNN | US |
| 80851 | 24 | 0.291 | 0.005 | 0.032 | 0.056 | 0.038 | -0.282 | 0.486 | NNN | US |
| 80896 | 86 | 0.241 | -0.005 | 0.034 | -0.001 | 0.035 | 0.005 | 0.132 | NNN | US |
| 80897 | 46 | 0.081 | 0.002 | 0.030 | 0.721 | 0.030 | 2.924 | 0.034 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 80911 | 85 | 0.046 | 0.365 | 0.065 | -0.014 | 0.060 | 0.980 | 0.067 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 80921 | 36 | 0.278 | 0.005 | 0.033 | 0.067 | 0.041 | 1.006 | 0.148 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 81006 | 34 | 0.075 | -0.024 | 0.038 | -0.041 | 0.042 | -0.485 | 0.240 | NNN | UCL |
| 81039 | 9 | 0.127 | -0.006 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.034 | 0.215 | 0.105 | NNN | UCL |
| 81044 | 21 | 0.300 | -0.060 | 0.035 | -0.068 | 0.036 | -0.198 | 0.162 | NNN | UCL |
| 81092 | 30 | 0.215 | 0.104 | 0.031 | 0.086 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.081 | YNN | UCL |
| 81184 | 8 | 0.309 | -0.024 | 0.030 | 0.003 | 0.044 | 0.289 | 0.381 | NNN | US |
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| 81208 | 58 | -0.037 | -0.040 | 0.041 | -0.093 | 0.041 | -0.123 | 0.098 | NNN | UCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81266 | 89 | -0.261 | 0.517 | 0.092 | -0.180 | 0.085 | 0.071 | 0.111 | NNN | US |
| 81316 | 41 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.040 | 0.030 | 0.039 | 0.415 | 0.066 | NNY | UCL |
| 81327 | 6 | 0.225 | -0.015 | 0.033 | -0.015 | 0.033 | -0.244 | 0.226 | NNN | US |
| 81368 | 22 | 0.035 | -0.067 | 0.035 | -0.105 | 0.035 | 0.344 | 0.079 | NNN | UCL |
| 81447 | 59 | 0.369 | -0.003 | 0.033 | 0.044 | 0.032 | 0.575 | 0.097 | NNY | UCL |
| 81455 | 76 | 0.220 | -0.027 | 0.036 | -0.023 | 0.036 | 0.111 | 0.226 | NNN | US |
| 81472 | 22 | -0.069 | 0.018 | 0.052 | -0.088 | 0.051 | 0.118 | 0.069 | NNN | UCL |
| 81474 | 91 | 0.206 | 0.202 | 0.053 | 0.298 | 0.049 | 1.866 | 0.051 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | US |
| 81477 | 53 | -0.043 | -0.147 | 0.029 | -0.202 | 0.031 | -0.008 | 0.085 | NNN | UCL |
| 81582 | 34 | 0.197 | -0.015 | 0.033 | -0.003 | 0.035 | 0.329 | 0.190 | NNN | US |
| 81589 | 20 | 0.206 | 0.065 | 0.050 | 0.108 | 0.047 | 0.241 | 0.053 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 81624 | 79 | 1.471 | 1.209 | 0.074 | 3.433 | 0.066 | 4.950 | 0.065 | YYY | US |
| 81639 | 11 | 0.093 | 0.019 | 0.050 | -0.060 | 0.048 | 0.452 | 0.058 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 81692 | 37 | 0.000 | -0.053 | 0.034 | -0.037 | 0.036 | -0.223 | 0.157 | NNN | UCL |
| 81751 | 78 | 0.224 | -0.014 | 0.035 | 0.022 | 0.038 | 0.311 | 0.241 | NNN | UCL |
| 81772 | 38 | 0.452 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.096 | 0.035 | 0.160 | 0.116 | NNN | UCL |
| 81791 | 17 | 0.228 | -0.026 | 0.039 | 0.591 | 0.108 | 2.154 | 0.388 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 81887 | 9 | 0.224 | 0.006 | 0.039 | -0.028 | 0.037 | -0.135 | 0.081 | NNN | US |
| 81891 | 58 | -0.052 | -0.065 | 0.040 | 0.335 | 0.039 | 2.126 | 0.045 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 81914 | 58 | -0.082 | 0.014 | 0.042 | -0.077 | 0.045 | -0.395 | 0.210 | NNN | UCL |
| 81941 | 44 | 0.106 | -0.015 | 0.039 | -0.046 | 0.038 | 0.089 | 0.094 | NNN | US |
| 81949 | 74 | 0.102 | -0.039 | 0.037 | -0.006 | 0.058 | 0.444 | 0.238 | NNN | UCL |
| 81972 | 59 | -0.031 | 0.092 | 0.050 | 0.290 | 0.048 | 0.735 | 0.056 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 82069 | 51 | 0.024 | -0.008 | 0.039 | 0.119 | 0.037 | 1.089 | 0.053 | NNY | US |
| 82091 | 11 | 0.069 | -0.123 | 0.039 | -0.018 | 0.050 | 0.660 | 0.274 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 82154 | 16 | -0.041 | -0.052 | 0.038 | 0.170 | 0.043 | 1.266 | 0.096 | NYY | UCL |
| 82187 | 9 | -0.002 | -0.021 | 0.036 | -0.020 | 0.035 | -0.031 | 0.117 | NNN | UCL |
| 82208 | 19 | 0.249 | -0.034 | 0.033 | -0.030 | 0.034 | 0.046 | 0.114 | NNN | UCL |
| 82218 | 89 | 0.257 | -0.030 | 0.033 | 0.072 | 0.033 | 0.819 | 0.090 | NNY | US |
| 82250 | 43 | 0.307 | -0.018 | 0.033 | 0.099 | 0.039 | 1.408 | 0.139 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 82254 | 16 | -0.062 | 0.034 | 0.036 | -0.009 | 0.035 | 0.268 | 0.101 | NNN | UCL |
| 82271 | 12 | 0.092 | -0.009 | 0.034 | 0.000 | 0.035 | -0.158 | 0.284 | NNN | US |
| 82309 | 6 | 0.279 | -0.024 | 0.034 | -0.028 | 0.035 | -0.241 | 0.233 | NNN | UCL |
| 82319 | 51 | 0.167 | -0.020 | 0.031 | -0.012 | 0.034 | -0.241 | 0.260 | NNN | US |
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| 82397 | 81 | 0.019 | -0.028 | 0.032 | 0.049 | 0.032 | 0.777 | 0.069 | NNY | US |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82430 | 78 | -0.043 | 0.000 | 0.034 | -0.048 | 0.036 | -0.021 | 0.133 | NNN | UCL |
| 82514 | 65 | -0.238 | 0.581 | 0.066 | -0.142 | 0.058 | -0.018 | 0.060 | YNN | UCL |
| 82534 | 89 | 0.230 | -0.014 | 0.035 | -0.014 | 0.035 | -0.015 | 0.116 | NNN | US |
| 82545 | 86 | -0.142 | 0.659 | 0.062 | -0.064 | 0.057 | -0.117 | 0.060 | NNN | UCL |
| 82549 | 21 | 0.160 | -0.008 | 0.032 | 0.012 | 0.043 | 0.544 | 0.358 | NNN | UCL |
| 82554 | 71 | -0.082 | 0.010 | 0.043 | -0.040 | 0.043 | 0.095 | 0.074 | NNN | UCL |
| 82569 | 63 | 0.355 | -0.016 | 0.035 | -0.021 | 0.041 | -0.270 | 0.228 | NNN | UCL |
| 82663 | 18 | 0.219 | -0.547 | 0.021 | -0.673 | 0.022 | -0.682 | 0.062 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 82711 | 9 | 0.242 | 0.034 | 0.046 | 0.007 | 0.052 | 0.112 | 0.152 | NNN | UCL |
| 82714 | 54 | 0.138 | 0.240 | 0.041 | 1.128 | 0.039 | 1.697 | 0.049 | YYY | UCL |
| 82736 | 10 | 0.320 | -0.017 | 0.033 | -0.005 | 0.040 | 0.246 | 0.286 | NNN | US |
| 83061 | 24 | 0.207 | 0.006 | 0.029 | 0.046 | 0.033 | 0.091 | 0.232 | NNN | US |
| 83074 | 11 | 0.322 | -0.028 | 0.032 | -0.042 | 0.035 | -0.161 | 0.264 | NNN | UCL |
| 83159 | 62 | 0.232 | -0.015 | 0.033 | 0.143 | 0.035 | 0.985 | 0.092 | NNY | UCL |
| 83232 | 11 | 1.420 | 0.477 | 0.044 | 2.186 | 0.048 | 4.260 | 0.042 | YYY | UCL |
| 83321 | 20 | 0.139 | 0.157 | 0.058 | 0.015 | 0.053 | -0.101 | 0.065 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 83421 | 6 | 0.588 | 0.257 | 0.031 | 4.043 | 0.029 | 7.256 | 0.027 | YYY | UCL |
| 83457 | 31 | 0.186 | 0.008 | 0.042 | -0.105 | 0.048 | -0.299 | 0.220 | NNN | UCL |
| 83460 | 28 | 0.332 | -0.140 | 0.033 | -0.225 | 0.046 | 0.000 | 0.281 | NNN | UCL |
| 83508 | 64 | -0.049 | -0.024 | 0.037 | -0.026 | 0.037 | -0.030 | 0.116 | NNN | UCL |
| 83535 | 11 | -0.067 | 0.089 | 0.050 | -0.028 | 0.048 | -0.044 | 0.062 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 83611 | 13 | 0.073 | -0.058 | 0.033 | -0.077 | 0.054 | -0.004 | 0.334 | NNN | UCL |
| 83637 | 12 | 0.246 | -0.059 | 0.030 | -0.028 | 0.034 | -0.111 | 0.293 | NNN | UCL |
| 84222 | 5 | 0.180 | -0.035 | 0.033 | -0.065 | 0.037 | -0.664 | 0.497 | NNN | UCL |
| 84267 | 8 | 0.009 | -0.031 | 0.036 | -0.058 | 0.035 | -0.139 | 0.091 | NNN | UCL |
| 84445 | 37 | -0.002 | 0.095 | 0.043 | 0.064 | 0.041 | 0.324 | 0.060 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 84473 | 23 | 0.292 | -0.030 | 0.033 | 0.057 | 0.035 | 0.344 | 0.157 | NNN | UCL |
| 84565 | 7 | 0.274 | -0.011 | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.034 | 0.183 | 0.122 | NNN | UCL |
| 84593 | 17 | 0.237 | -0.041 | 0.033 | 0.002 | 0.036 | -0.029 | 0.231 | NNN | UCL |
| 84605 | 42 | -0.041 | -0.001 | 0.044 | 0.023 | 0.044 | 0.145 | 0.145 | NNN | UCL |
| 84657 | 13 | -0.018 | -0.031 | 0.037 | -0.049 | 0.036 | -0.095 | 0.096 | NNN | UCL |
| 84673 | 8 | 0.316 | -0.006 | 0.045 | 0.341 | 0.087 | 1.211 | 0.409 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 84734 | 29 | 0.068 | -0.045 | 0.040 | -0.020 | 0.040 | 0.281 | 0.079 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 84766 | 6 | 0.311 | -0.021 | 0.033 | -0.027 | 0.037 | -0.651 | 0.275 | NNN | UCL |
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| 84881 | 14 | 0.079 | 0.135 | 0.037 | 1.388 | 0.036 | 4.203 | 0.036 | YYY | UCL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84888 | 16 | 0.282 | 0.087 | 0.027 | -0.071 | 0.028 | -0.054 | 0.078 | NNN $^{1}$ | UCL |
| 84931 | 38 | 0.111 | -0.040 | 0.039 | -0.074 | 0.039 | -0.207 | 0.146 | NNN | UCL |
| 85278 | 18 | 0.120 | 0.052 | 0.048 | 0.004 | 0.047 | 0.071 | 0.079 | NNN | UCL |
| 85316 | 6 | 0.009 | -0.044 | 0.037 | -0.116 | 0.076 | 0.587 | 0.425 | NNN | UCL |
| 85389 | 5 | 0.015 | 0.146 | 0.062 | -0.142 | 0.057 | -0.026 | 0.062 | YNN | UCL |
| 85478 | 9 | 0.304 | -0.049 | 0.035 | -0.018 | 0.038 | 0.364 | 0.104 | NNN | UCL |
| 85663 | 13 | 0.269 | -0.027 | 0.035 | -0.002 | 0.036 | -0.107 | 0.138 | NNN | UCL |
| 85700 | 16 | 0.060 | 0.025 | 0.041 | -0.008 | 0.057 | 0.040 | 0.240 | NNN | UCL |
| 85927 | 10 | -0.101 | 1.362 | 0.006 | 1.170 | 0.017 | 0.868 | 0.018 | NNN 1 | UCL |
| 86010 | 10 | 0.336 | -0.026 | 0.033 | 0.020 | 0.036 | 0.109 | 0.184 | NNN | UCL |
| 86098 | 15 | 0.048 | -0.029 | 0.047 | -0.102 | 0.046 | -0.231 | 0.081 | NNN | UCL |
| 86134 | 8 | 0.273 | -0.006 | 0.035 | 0.016 | 0.034 | 0.048 | 0.111 | NNN | UCL |
| 86670 | 16 | -0.202 | 0.683 | 0.034 | -0.020 | 0.026 | -0.085 | 0.035 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 86853 | 17 | 0.191 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.483 | 0.035 | 1.984 | 0.050 | NYY | UCL |
| 86903 | 6 | 0.250 | -0.045 | 0.032 | -0.057 | 0.034 | 0.022 | 0.109 | NNN | UCL |
| 86922 | 6 | 0.189 | -0.026 | 0.034 | -0.001 | 0.044 | -0.300 | 0.505 | NNN | UCL |
| 87042 | 30 | -0.016 | -0.029 | 0.044 | -0.071 | 0.042 | -0.180 | 0.082 | NNN | UCL |
| 87198 | 7 | 0.215 | -0.031 | 0.035 | -0.020 | 0.034 | 0.133 | 0.104 | NNN | UCL |
| 87337 | 9 | 0.175 | -0.028 | 0.031 | 0.021 | 0.031 | 0.156 | 0.146 | NNN | UCL |
| 87924 | 13 | -0.010 | -0.014 | 0.039 | -0.046 | 0.038 | 0.104 | 0.068 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
| 87948 | 37 | 0.037 | 0.127 | 0.043 | 0.068 | 0.042 | 0.035 | 0.069 | NNN ${ }^{1}$ | UCL |
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## B

## WiFeS Survey Target Sample

A list of candidate Upper-Scorpius members selected using our Bayesian algorithm, with membership probability greater than $30 \%$. The name assigned to each object is the UCAC4 catalogue unique source identifier.

|  | R.A. | Decl. | Membership |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | (J2000.0) | (J2000.0) | Probability |
| UCAC4-1274289939 | 15230.73 | -203158.5 | 55 |
| UCAC4-1274343607 | 152450.48 | -21232.1 | 38 |
| UCAC4-404261480 | 152538.44 | -213612.9 | 22 |
| UCAC4-1271299866 | 152851.44 | -202135.8 | 23 |
| UCAC4-53027571 | 152943.59 | -24246.9 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1271354629 | 153055.45 | -201116.5 | 24 |
| UCAC4-1271358935 | 15317.46 | -22725.8 | 43 |
| UCAC4-334583373 | 153218.94 | -232657.0 | 71 |
|  |  | Continued on next page |  |


| UCAC4-334584116 | 153248.81 | -23 812.3 | 81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-334610300 | 153317.26 | -23 2534.1 | 40 |
| UCAC4-53157842 | 15347.05 | -24 5234.6 | 38 |
| UCAC4-53160115 | 15348.68 | -24 123.3 | 21 |
| UCAC4-334645691 | 153426.67 | -22 5428.6 | 53 |
| UCAC4-334648825 | 153436.36 | -21 4229.1 | 24 |
| UCAC4-29975139 | 153529.53 | -23 659.0 | 40 |
| UCAC4-53181945 | 153532.30 | -25 3714.1 | 53 |
| UCAC4-365026104 | 15379.36 | -24 154.3 | 74 |
| UCAC4-30033418 | 153711.90 | -23 395.7 | 47 |
| UCAC4-365031481 | 153742.74 | -25 2615.8 | 74 |
| UCAC4-30043724 | 153749.29 | -20 2918.3 | 67 |
| UCAC4-30046627 | 153749.43 | -19 2057.2 | 21 |
| UCAC4-365060511 | 153816.02 | -24 5526.3 | 31 |
| UCAC4-1238093662 | 153835.66 | -17446.5 | 50 |
| UCAC4-365067569 | 153852.05 | -25 155.9 | 21 |
| UCAC4-1056237523 | 153929.69 | -23 1119.9 | 55 |
| UCAC4-404322052 | 154027.32 | -19 5743.7 | 53 |
| UCAC4-1238144790 | 154035.05 | -17 723.8 | 50 |
| UCAC4-408457366 | 15412.57 | -25 5831.1 | 43 |
| UCAC4-408484365 | 154131.21 | -25 2036.4 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1312371465 | 154226.21 | -22 4746.0 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1312378752 | 154241.43 | -22 194.6 | 26 |
| UCAC4-408521330 | 154249.92 | -25 3640.6 | 40 |
| UCAC4-408568502 | 154413.34 | -25 2259.1 | 77 |
| UCAC4-408606874 | 15459.71 | -25 1243.0 | 47 |
| UCAC4-30113549 | 154558.30 | -18 4120.6 | 45 |
| UCAC4-30105437 | 15460.86 | -21 4353.6 | 53 |
| UCAC4-30124029 | 15468.27 | -21 052.9 | 95 |
| UCAC4-408646921 | 154627.26 | -25 1846.8 | 53 |
| UCAC4-30145199 | 154644.25 | -19 2848.3 | 23 |
| UCAC4-30144221 | 154647.59 | -19 5027.9 | 42 |
| UCAC4-1233680087 | 154710.64 | -17 3624.3 | 74 |
| UCAC4-30164182 | 154736.01 | -23 1917.4 | 55 |
| UCAC4-30182208 | 154743.31 | -18 1915.4 | 85 |
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| UCAC4-30199855 | 154812.99 | -23 4952.5 | 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-408723067 | 154816.15 | -24 4117.1 | 24 |
| UCAC4-30190809 | 154818.61 | -20 3549.5 | 35 |
| UCAC4-408722277 | 154830.31 | -24 2538.4 | 35 |
| UCAC4-408735673 | 154832.74 | -28 4415.6 | 22 |
| UCAC4-408732652 | 154839.92 | -27 4946.1 | 65 |
| UCAC4-30231541 | 154919.76 | $-225729.7$ | 90 |
| UCAC4-408765529 | 154921.00 | -26 06.3 | 77 |
| UCAC4-408774136 | 154925.09 | -28 4352.8 | 85 |
| UCAC4-408760488 | 154943.60 | -24 1429.4 | 21 |
| UCAC4-1285802339 | 15503.25 | -23 422.2 | 81 |
| UCAC4-1285824843 | 155020.79 | -21 1654.7 | 25 |
| UCAC4-1317698993 | 155049.08 | -16 3448.3 | 47 |
| UCAC4-1285835000 | 155049.67 | -22 4612.2 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1285865711 | 155150.27 | -22 3818.8 | 49 |
| UCAC4-408869666 | 155219.71 | -25 3122.3 | 27 |
| UCAC4-408859661 | 155221.72 | -28 369.3 | 34 |
| UCAC4-1285885191 | 155223.32 | -21 1530.2 | 49 |
| UCAC4-408867018 | 155223.48 | -26 2157.3 | 62 |
| UCAC4-408882874 | 155231.23 | -26 3352.9 | 32 |
| UCAC4-1285890115 | 155234.03 | -23 1127.2 | 77 |
| UCAC4-408888405 | 155234.63 | -28 1516.0 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1297441557 | 155241.59 | -30617.2 | 85 |
| UCAC4-1317767989 | 15534.69 | -17 3755.8 | 62 |
| UCAC4-1285895575 | 15536.83 | -22 4717.4 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1317768809 | 15537.40 | -17 1939.7 | 43 |
| UCAC4-70100979 | 155337.88 | -23 390.5 | 55 |
| UCAC4-70109425 | 155340.82 | -20 2641.9 | 67 |
| UCAC4-408918468 | 155347.13 | -25 3343.1 | 65 |
| UCAC4-408935154 | 15543.58 | -29 2015.6 | 59 |
| UCAC4-1297496169 | 155411.99 | -30 3646.7 | 30 |
| UCAC4-70135113 | 155415.51 | -22 4659.6 | 31 |
| UCAC4-417143635 | 155423.93 | -15 4832.5 | 36 |
| UCAC4-1264581192 | 155429.76 | -15 5315.8 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1297544976 | 155439.21 | -29 5849.2 | 36 |
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| UCAC4-408954783 | 155446.93 | -24 4434.8 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-408967020 | 155453.94 | -28 5341.4 | 40 |
| UCAC4-408962807 | 155455.63 | -2733 33.1 | 95 |
| UCAC4-70157647 | 15552.14 | -2149 43.5 | 77 |
| UCAC4-1264602095 | 15554.51 | -17 58.1 | 85 |
| UCAC4-426462564 | 15556.25 | -25 2110.2 | 77 |
| UCAC4-70165308 | 155517.04 | -23 2216.6 | 85 |
| UCAC4-426463797 | 155529.81 | -25 4450.0 | 85 |
| UCAC4-70176781 | 155533.05 | -1855 26.8 | 59 |
| UCAC4-426495718 | 155548.82 | -25 1224.1 | 40 |
| UCAC4-1264606373 | 155552.07 | -17 717.0 | 23 |
| UCAC4-426495785 | 155554.20 | -25 1121.7 | 42 |
| UCAC4-426492998 | 155554.88 | -26 426.3 | 81 |
| UCAC4-426483345 | 15566.96 | -28 5241.4 | 57 |
| UCAC4-1264625269 | 155619.98 | -15 253.6 | 34 |
| UCAC4-426514557 | 155620.26 | -28 206.5 | 43 |
| UCAC4-70205421 | 155625.11 | -20 1615.8 | 90 |
| UCAC4-70196012 | 155629.42 | -23 4819.8 | 36 |
| UCAC4-1264642046 | 155631.13 | -13 5222.7 | 45 |
| UCAC4-404419461 | 155647.69 | -19 507.6 | 81 |
| UCAC4-1030709628 | 155649.33 | -30 483.1 | 34 |
| UCAC4-404411726 | 155655.46 | -22 5840.4 | 90 |
| UCAC4-426535872 | 155659.30 | -25 3853.5 | 81 |
| UCAC4-426535749 | 155659.70 | -25 4125.5 | 45 |
| UCAC4-404418024 | 15570.85 | -20 2459.5 | 85 |
| UCAC4-404419435 | 15572.35 | -19 5042.0 | 74 |
| UCAC4-404410416 | 15573.66 | -23 287.1 | 25 |
| UCAC4-404415438 | 155711.39 | -21 2828.3 | 85 |
| UCAC4-426546698 | 155716.74 | -25 2919.3 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1010063466 | 155720.00 | -23 3850.0 | 59 |
| UCAC4-426541656 | 155725.75 | -23 5422.3 | 81 |
| UCAC4-1010064430 | 155734.31 | -23 2112.3 | 85 |
| UCAC4-1010069431 | 155745.75 | -21 4428.8 | 45 |
| UCAC4-404426496 | 155750.03 | -23 59.5 | 90 |
| UCAC4-404425922 | 155753.96 | -231741.7 | 81 |
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| UCAC4-426579903 | 155754.45 | -24 5042.4 | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-404438620 | 155758.92 | -18 1459.6 | 81 |
| UCAC4-426582260 | 15588.15 | -24553.0 | 43 |
| UCAC4-1264679821 | 15588.87 | -13 4453.8 | 71 |
| UCAC4-404425413 | 155812.71 | -23 2836.5 | 71 |
| UCAC4-404432237 | 155819.07 | -20 5424.1 | 81 |
| UCAC4-404441472 | 155820.56 | -18 3725.1 | 71 |
| UCAC4-404455253 | 155826.62 | -23 5020.6 | 39 |
| UCAC4-404450689 | 155829.63 | -22 1111.9 | 81 |
| UCAC4-404442427 | 155833.28 | -19 036.7 | 45 |
| UCAC4-1264690120 | 155847.72 | -175759.7 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1014080644 | 15592.08 | -184414.3 | 65 |
| UCAC4-27426533 | 155910.29 | -26 4650.0 | 38 |
| UCAC4-27424694 | 155910.85 | -27 2052.0 | 39 |
| UCAC4-1014080885 | 155911.02 | -18 5044.3 | 81 |
| UCAC4-27428773 | 155914.52 | -26 618.5 | 47 |
| UCAC4-1264711772 | 155921.22 | -14 5142.7 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1264732846 | 155936.26 | -12 2116.6 | 77 |
| UCAC4-27437351 | 155942.45 | -24 2940.5 | 38 |
| UCAC4-404463645 | 155951.27 | -20 5810.7 | 24 |
| UCAC4-27470462 | 155952.70 | -25 2629.2 | 81 |
| UCAC4-404477376 | 155959.95 | -22 2036.8 | 90 |
| UCAC4-404476771 | 1600.37 | -22 3259.5 | 85 |
| UCAC4-27473795 | 16013.30 | -24 1810.7 | 85 |
| UCAC4-404481169 | 16014.91 | -21 131.7 | 36 |
| UCAC4-404494714 | 16029.13 | -19 5253.8 | 59 |
| UCAC4-1264749634 | 16036.61 | -17 4337.4 | 57 |
| UCAC4-404500621 | 16040.56 | -22 032.3 | 81 |
| UCAC4-404502792 | 16041.35 | -22 4041.6 | 90 |
| UCAC4-404499023 | 16042.76 | -21 2738.0 | 67 |
| UCAC4-27503319 | 1612.79 | -27 491.4 | 90 |
| UCAC4-404511451 | 1615.19 | -22 2731.2 | 85 |
| UCAC4-38879233 | 1615.92 | -30 1637.2 | 49 |
| UCAC4-404514932 | 1618.02 | -21 1318.5 | 77 |
| UCAC4-404511804 | 16116.80 | -22 202.2 | 25 |


| UCAC4-4524501 | 16125.63 | -22 4040.3 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-4525525 | 16132.73 | -22 1937.8 | 24 |
| UCAC4-4529694 | 16147.44 | -20 4945.8 | 81 |
| UCAC4-1264782313 | 16148.00 | -16 3759.0 | 45 |
| UCAC4-27518857 | 16151.49 | -24 4525.0 | 74 |
| UCAC4-4546970 | 16156.47 | -21373.9 | 59 |
| UCAC4-4543024 | 16158.22 | -20 812.0 | 62 |
| UCAC4-4549054 | 1620.39 | -22 2123.9 | 77 |
| UCAC4-1264802922 | 1621.50 | -15 3644.0 | 67 |
| UCAC4-4538373 | 1622.64 | -18 1854.0 | 23 |
| UCAC4-4550645 | 1628.45 | -22 5459.1 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1264798836 | 1629.26 | -13 5556.7 | 32 |
| UCAC4-4550018 | 16210.46 | -22 4128.3 | 40 |
| UCAC4-4548058 | 16224.61 | -22 024.8 | 81 |
| UCAC4-4561055 | 16227.25 | -21 3329.0 | 23 |
| UCAC4-27573643 | 16231.96 | -28 1345.2 | 39 |
| UCAC4-27566188 | 16233.43 | -26 1019.1 | 85 |
| UCAC4-4556306 | 16244.78 | -23 1249.0 | 30 |
| UCAC4-4560593 | 16249.77 | -21 4353.6 | 24 |
| UCAC4-4568409 | 16250.13 | -18 514.1 | 53 |
| UCAC4-27559105 | 16251.22 | -24 157.5 | 67 |
| UCAC4-4564260 | 16253.96 | -20 2248.0 | 65 |
| UCAC4-38946162 | 16255.75 | -32 4522.3 | 42 |
| UCAC4-1264828916 | 16259.41 | -13 523.2 | 32 |
| UCAC4-27564826 | 1630.18 | -25 4610.9 | 50 |
| UCAC4-27567164 | 1631.77 | -26 2621.9 | 95 |
| UCAC4-4571559 | 1632.68 | -18 65.0 | 65 |
| UCAC4-4584884 | 1636.76 | -22 5215.8 | 24 |
| UCAC4-38945736 | 16311.81 | -32 3920.3 | 40 |
| UCAC4-1264849530 | 16326.28 | -13 5338.7 | 21 |
| UCAC4-4591451 | 16333.80 | -23 519.0 | 57 |
| UCAC4-4592427 | 16335.50 | -22 4556.2 | 50 |
| UCAC4-4599020 | 16354.98 | -20 3138.5 | 71 |
| UCAC4-27617578 | 16355.70 | -28 239.2 | 53 |
| UCAC4-4619594 | 1644.09 | -22 3652.3 | 59 |
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| UCAC4-27638513 | 1646.71 | -26 377.1 | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-4609404 | 1648.31 | -19 729.5 | 71 |
| UCAC4-322299460 | 16423.97 | -114631.0 | 35 |
| UCAC4-4638115 | 16447.75 | -19 3022.9 | 67 |
| UCAC4-1264894990 | 16451.62 | -16 731.5 | 30 |
| UCAC4-1264887692 | 16452.50 | -13 813.2 | 57 |
| UCAC4-39040605 | 16454.51 | -31510.3 | 24 |
| UCAC4-27649164 | 1651.81 | -24 1132.8 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1264893466 | 1652.64 | -15 3028.4 | 36 |
| UCAC4-4647509 | 16511.46 | -19 3252.2 | 59 |
| UCAC4-4653894 | 16512.93 | -21 3617.6 | 24 |
| UCAC4-27683816 | 16518.03 | -26 3725.5 | 49 |
| UCAC4-4644043 | 16521.59 | -18 2141.4 | 62 |
| UCAC4-322353364 | 16530.32 | -114913.5 | 24 |
| UCAC4-415794766 | 16531.54 | -19 4543.6 | 27 |
| UCAC4-27677763 | 16531.88 | -28 1634.4 | 34 |
| UCAC4-27680291 | 16535.30 | -27 3552.3 | 42 |
| UCAC4-415797428 | 16538.16 | -20 3947.0 | 67 |
| UCAC4-415800069 | 16539.25 | -21 347.3 | 21 |
| UCAC4-415791233 | 16540.75 | -1830 32.7 | 29 |
| UCAC4-39099220 | 16549.20 | -31 1521.5 | 50 |
| UCAC4-1264922004 | 1660.89 | -14 5320.5 | 34 |
| UCAC4-415812954 | 1661.72 | -22 2653.5 | 71 |
| UCAC4-27705406 | 1668.41 | -27 1836.0 | 39 |
| UCAC4-39109215 | 16616.62 | -30 4838.5 | 35 |
| UCAC4-415808470 | 16617.31 | -23 4923.7 | 36 |
| UCAC4-415825482 | 16623.54 | -18 1418.9 | 57 |
| UCAC4-415822163 | 16626.30 | -19 2460.0 | 65 |
| UCAC4-50931256 | 16631.69 | -20 3623.3 | 85 |
| UCAC4-50936058 | 16632.46 | -22 824.6 | 32 |
| UCAC4-50941266 | 16637.91 | -23 4332.6 | 49 |
| UCAC4-27726068 | 16638.03 | -27 2755.4 | 95 |
| UCAC4-50941237 | 16638.25 | -23 433.8 | 85 |
| UCAC4-50940712 | 16638.58 | -23 3333.7 | 32 |
| UCAC4-1264938997 | 16640.33 | -14 747.8 | 22 |
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| UCAC4-50927093 | 16643.86 | -19 85.6 | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-50925875 | 16647.94 | -184143.8 | 59 |
| UCAC4-50930206 | 16648.96 | -20 1439.1 | 55 |
| UCAC4-50939230 | 16650.30 | -23 641.7 | 50 |
| UCAC4-27740125 | 16654.39 | -24 1610.8 | 71 |
| UCAC4-27739597 | 16658.19 | -24 648.1 | 30 |
| UCAC4-415841216 | 1672.78 | -22 4948.1 | 90 |
| UCAC4-415834199 | 1673.55 | -20 3626.5 | 71 |
| UCAC4-39180354 | 16712.46 | -33 226.6 | 65 |
| UCAC4-27782618 | 16721.60 | -24 2558.5 | 21 |
| UCAC4-1264970082 | 16721.61 | -13 2045.4 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1264960881 | 16723.13 | -1712 42.0 | 50 |
| UCAC4-27782269 | 16726.25 | -24 327.9 | 85 |
| UCAC4-27777972 | 16729.43 | -25 4615.7 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1264968743 | 16732.21 | -13 567.0 | 43 |
| UCAC4-415861407 | 16739.74 | -1844 0.8 | 47 |
| UCAC4-415852329 | 16740.06 | -214842.7 | 77 |
| UCAC4-415857823 | 16744.02 | -20 015.3 | 30 |
| UCAC4-1264986286 | 16751.37 | -17 1823.2 | 85 |
| UCAC4-27796091 | 16756.38 | -26 5731.7 | 81 |
| UCAC4-27787293 | 16758.76 | -24 4131.9 | 95 |
| UCAC4-1264982187 | 1680.21 | -15 3853.1 | 24 |
| UCAC4-27795606 | 1681.39 | -265055.2 | 42 |
| UCAC4-415856526 | 1681.41 | -20 2741.7 | 81 |
| UCAC4-415867155 | 16810.81 | -19 447.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-415874167 | 16814.31 | -21 304.1 | 62 |
| UCAC4-415867341 | 16814.74 | -19 832.7 | 65 |
| UCAC4-415880871 | 16815.50 | -23 3019.0 | 38 |
| UCAC4-415872012 | 16815.60 | -20 482.2 | 90 |
| UCAC4-27824055 | 16820.58 | -26 442.5 | 59 |
| UCAC4-39230957 | 16829.08 | -30 300.9 | 35 |
| UCAC4-415864352 | 16831.38 | -18 241.5 | 50 |
| UCAC4-415897816 | 16834.36 | -19 1156.2 | 67 |
| UCAC4-27829493 | 16846.61 | -24 3029.2 | 71 |
| UCAC4-27824633 | 16846.83 | -25 5435.7 | 42 |
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| UCAC4-1265007792 | 16850.41 | -14 1531.6 | 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-39273829 | 16856.12 | -33 2453.9 | 31 |
| UCAC4-415893983 | 16856.73 | -20 3345.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-27848808 | 16856.96 | -28 3557.4 | 77 |
| UCAC4-415897962 | 1690.76 | -19 852.7 | 34 |
| UCAC4-1265007206 | 1694.02 | -14 031.6 | 22 |
| UCAC4-27833090 | 1694.58 | -24 184.9 | 95 |
| UCAC4-27853572 | 1696.85 | -29 4431.6 | 36 |
| UCAC4-39278900 | 16910.58 | -32 2250.2 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1265012644 | 16912.63 | -16 1929.0 | 55 |
| UCAC4-1265019864 | 16920.42 | -16 4640.2 | 28 |
| UCAC4-1265019806 | 16922.70 | -16 481.5 | 23 |
| UCAC4-415904385 | 16929.20 | -18 5253.7 | 65 |
| UCAC4-415911029 | 16930.31 | -21458.9 | 74 |
| UCAC4-27877966 | 16939.24 | -23 5340.8 | 67 |
| UCAC4-415927254 | 16939.70 | -22 046.6 | 81 |
| UCAC4-415928740 | 16940.31 | -21 3140.4 | 40 |
| UCAC4-415926287 | 16940.99 | -22 1759.5 | 74 |
| UCAC4-27875768 | 16945.22 | -24 3118.6 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1265018534 | 16946.88 | -17 1944.9 | 65 |
| UCAC4-39295193 | 16954.86 | -30 5858.2 | 74 |
| UCAC4-27866945 | 16955.19 | -26 5727.5 | 40 |
| UCAC4-415922108 | 16958.63 | -23 3455.9 | 81 |
| UCAC4-27891415 | 16103.12 | -27 2839.7 | 90 |
| UCAC4-415950434 | 16105.02 | -213231.9 | 81 |
| UCAC4-39346890 | 16105.40 | -31 2425.8 | 38 |
| UCAC4-415955987 | 161011.65 | -23 1324.0 | 81 |
| UCAC4-39341976 | 161011.70 | -32 2636.0 | 53 |
| UCAC4-415948957 | 161012.65 | -21444.5 | 59 |
| UCAC4-27889260 | 161018.80 | -26 5339.5 | 81 |
| UCAC4-27898077 | 161024.19 | -29 729.4 | 62 |
| UCAC4-27893245 | 161026.54 | -27 5629.4 | 25 |
| UCAC4-39333239 | 161026.99 | -34 29.7 | 21 |
| UCAC4-415943383 | 161031.95 | -19 136.1 | 81 |
| UCAC4-415968729 | 161042.03 | -21 132.1 | 57 |


| UCAC4-415976918 | 161047.34 | -18 1832.9 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-27920379 | 161057.92 | -25 1110.2 | 95 |
| UCAC4-415960223 | 16114.80 | -23 3316.6 | 62 |
| UCAC4-1014110439 | 16117.44 | -25 31.7 | 90 |
| UCAC4-415981857 | 16118.90 | -19 446.9 | 67 |
| UCAC4-417227445 | 161110.62 | -15 1736.6 | 31 |
| UCAC4-415979844 | 161120.58 | -18 2055.1 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1014105644 | 161126.03 | -26 3155.9 | 85 |
| UCAC4-415992278 | 161128.88 | -22 2124.7 | 22 |
| UCAC4-416011481 | 161137.42 | -20 1550.5 | 40 |
| UCAC4-416013160 | 161156.26 | -19 4322.9 | 85 |
| UCAC4-39419158 | 16126.68 | -30 1027.1 | 59 |
| UCAC4-416034611 | 16129.21 | -22 4750.4 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416033560 | 161212.48 | -22 3032.2 | 57 |
| UCAC4-27959343 | 161222.17 | -27 1252.5 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416037255 | 161227.17 | -23 3156.1 | 81 |
| UCAC4-27964993 | 161230.76 | -28 4130.0 | 67 |
| UCAC4-27960465 | 161232.30 | -27 3213.3 | 67 |
| UCAC4-416027053 | 161235.31 | -20 3434.0 | 74 |
| UCAC4-416053443 | 161241.24 | -19 4938.0 | 71 |
| UCAC4-416045413 | 161246.81 | -22 1331.7 | 67 |
| UCAC4-417295725 | 161251.65 | -15 3426.1 | 36 |
| UCAC4-27972156 | 161252.93 | -29 3610.9 | 43 |
| UCAC4-27988442 | 161256.48 | -25 1621.5 | 50 |
| UCAC4-27992306 | 16131.30 | -24 654.7 | 62 |
| UCAC4-27982569 | 16131.60 | -26 5755.4 | 81 |
| UCAC4-27989286 | 16132.34 | -25 146.0 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416042777 | 16132.72 | -22 5744.5 | 53 |
| UCAC4-417290199 | 161315.93 | -17 2733.5 | 67 |
| UCAC4-28013681 | 161318.44 | -29 2227.6 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416073331 | 161318.59 | -22 1249.0 | 62 |
| UCAC4-416067955 | 161319.24 | -20 3856.6 | 22 |
| UCAC4-39508090 | 161319.96 | -34 476.5 | 77 |
| UCAC4-416059647 | 161320.80 | -175752.2 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416076842 | 161329.29 | -23 117.6 | 49 |
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| UCAC4-28002829 | 161333.51 | -26 3750.0 | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-417313413 | 161335.48 | -14 2636.8 | 62 |
| UCAC4-416086406 | 161343.66 | -22 1459.5 | 77 |
| UCAC4-417323158 | 161343.83 | -1742 46.6 | 40 |
| UCAC4-39520886 | 161345.75 | -35 05.9 | 90 |
| UCAC4-28029778 | 161345.92 | -26 4129.5 | 32 |
| UCAC4-416099465 | 161351.54 | -18 133.6 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416085934 | 161355.48 | -22 2241.1 | 47 |
| UCAC4-416097724 | 161358.15 | -18 4829.0 | 77 |
| UCAC4-416090233 | 16140.36 | -21843.9 | 81 |
| UCAC4-417328779 | 161410.04 | -16 2610.1 | 33 |
| UCAC4-416118154 | 161411.08 | -23 536.2 | 59 |
| UCAC4-416106165 | 161412.18 | -19 3855.4 | 62 |
| UCAC4-416103234 | 161438.77 | -18 4050.6 | 34 |
| UCAC4-28044826 | 161438.85 | -25 250.1 | 36 |
| UCAC4-0 | 161441.04 | -32 1916.8 | 26 |
| UCAC4-28081268 | 161442.70 | -25 129.7 | 81 |
| UCAC4-28072937 | 161445.68 | -27 3243.1 | 90 |
| UCAC4-39587239 | 161446.28 | -34 2421.1 | 55 |
| UCAC4-416124883 | 161452.70 | -23 82.7 | 85 |
| UCAC4-28083331 | 161454.88 | -24 376.4 | 32 |
| UCAC4-39609028 | 161455.14 | -30 1453.4 | 90 |
| UCAC4-39609481 | 16150.14 | -30 813.5 | 42 |
| UCAC4-28084335 | 16157.53 | -24 2020.4 | 29 |
| UCAC4-28097453 | 161515.05 | -27 747.5 | 43 |
| UCAC4-39629324 | 161518.51 | -33 4811.9 | 85 |
| UCAC4-28099767 | 161524.21 | -27 451.0 | 29 |
| UCAC4-28091737 | 161532.13 | -25 3031.1 | 47 |
| UCAC4-28097463 | 161533.11 | -27 758.8 | 90 |
| UCAC4-28102229 | 161533.71 | -28 2213.9 | 47 |
| UCAC4-28091657 | 161535.86 | -25 291.0 | 67 |
| UCAC4-417397759 | 161549.27 | -17 749.8 | 32 |
| UCAC4-39658024 | 161556.97 | -32 4125.1 | 22 |
| UCAC4-416165104 | 161559.86 | -23 254.5 | 53 |
| UCAC4-160764955 | 16162.92 | -24 3054.8 | 85 |


| UCAC4-416170652 | 16169.44 | -215146.9 | 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-416198471 | 161611.23 | -22 3451.5 | 59 |
| UCAC4-160763801 | 161614.87 | -24 5127.9 | 77 |
| UCAC4-160759135 | 161617.20 | -26 910.2 | 81 |
| UCAC4-416202725 | 161617.25 | -23 4356.4 | 74 |
| UCAC4-416202468 | 161617.94 | -23 3947.6 | 47 |
| UCAC4-160770455 | 161630.22 | -24 423.8 | 30 |
| UCAC4-416194037 | 161633.66 | -21 1913.0 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416215880 | 161643.95 | -20 4419.0 | 90 |
| UCAC4-160767652 | 161644.08 | -23 5545.7 | 59 |
| UCAC4-160775197 | 161645.11 | -25 5957.7 | 55 |
| UCAC4-416212753 | 161646.20 | -21 3913.0 | 21 |
| UCAC4-39707052 | 161646.63 | -34 1414.4 | 59 |
| UCAC4-417414827 | 161648.35 | -17 199.5 | 74 |
| UCAC4-39719029 | 161649.68 | -31401.7 | 35 |
| UCAC4-160814997 | 161651.30 | -24 3327.7 | 67 |
| UCAC4-39717898 | 161655.12 | -315546.1 | 57 |
| UCAC4-416205612 | 161656.10 | -23 2925.3 | 77 |
| UCAC4-39701392 | 161658.69 | -35 2438.2 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416212646 | 16173.39 | -21412.5 | 81 |
| UCAC4-416207434 | 16173.74 | -22 5946.5 | 62 |
| UCAC4-416215034 | 16175.65 | -20 590.7 | 31 |
| UCAC4-417413285 | 16178.36 | -17507.1 | 49 |
| UCAC4-416216827 | 161710.25 | -20 262.0 | 77 |
| UCAC4-160812008 | 161713.50 | -25 2015.1 | 59 |
| UCAC4-990385747 | 161714.35 | -35 5312.2 | 40 |
| UCAC4-416230708 | 161715.96 | -19 474.1 | 74 |
| UCAC4-416235767 | 161722.98 | -21 2111.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-416241839 | 161731.39 | -23 336.1 | 42 |
| UCAC4-160864421 | 161754.81 | -24 4333.7 | 57 |
| UCAC4-416247201 | 16185.57 | -23 2514.4 | 90 |
| UCAC4-160866218 | 16187.57 | -24 1411.5 | 31 |
| UCAC4-416272798 | 161819.98 | -20 534.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-39790176 | 161829.19 | -30 3911.1 | 90 |
| UCAC4-39810589 | 161835.38 | -34 389.2 | 77 |
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| UCAC4-416268051 | 161842.10 | -18 3329.0 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-417490371 | 161849.24 | -15 3754.5 | 23 |
| UCAC4-160869894 | 161851.26 | -24 2955.4 | 62 |
| UCAC4-416299671 | 161854.95 | -19 5951.4 | 31 |
| UCAC4-417485220 | 161856.50 | -17 2142.2 | 22 |
| UCAC4-416303294 | 161911.98 | -18 4716.8 | 42 |
| UCAC4-160911080 | 161914.74 | -25 3231.3 | 55 |
| UCAC4-160893908 | 161925.84 | -29 394.7 | 55 |
| UCAC4-160921648 | 161931.39 | -25 1812.8 | 74 |
| UCAC4-416320504 | 161933.96 | -22 2829.4 | 67 |
| UCAC4-417512456 | 161938.70 | -16 1642.7 | 28 |
| UCAC4-416333148 | 161945.38 | -21 4757.8 | 81 |
| UCAC4-416334162 | 161945.97 | -21306.8 | 35 |
| UCAC4-64789671 | 161953.95 | -31545.8 | 65 |
| UCAC4-64842072 | 16202.00 | -30 036.4 | 21 |
| UCAC4-890534969 | 16202.48 | -25 5536.5 | 31 |
| UCAC4-64836355 | 16207.57 | -31 1736.8 | 74 |
| UCAC4-417518148 | 16208.57 | -17 4918.4 | 53 |
| UCAC4-416334717 | 162015.77 | -21 2030.8 | 90 |
| UCAC4-64817296 | 162024.97 | -35 054.7 | 90 |
| UCAC4-416355975 | 162027.24 | -21 266.9 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416355791 | 162036.41 | -21 2312.0 | 90 |
| UCAC4-417548964 | 162039.35 | -17 38.2 | 32 |
| UCAC4-977064687 | 162039.61 | -26 3428.4 | 81 |
| UCAC4-977072413 | 162044.69 | -24 3138.4 | 49 |
| UCAC4-417560856 | 162045.08 | -14 4958.0 | 65 |
| UCAC4-977055474 | 162045.79 | -28 4920.1 | 85 |
| UCAC4-416363739 | 162045.96 | -23 4820.9 | 62 |
| UCAC4-977055848 | 162048.87 | -28 447.7 | 39 |
| UCAC4-977062974 | 162050.65 | -27 015.3 | 24 |
| UCAC4-64850609 | 162057.05 | -31 3420.9 | 95 |
| UCAC4-977068460 | 16210.11 | -25 363.0 | 49 |
| UCAC4-64870962 | 16210.76 | -35 1248.2 | 65 |
| UCAC4-416380426 | 16212.88 | -18 5022.2 | 57 |
| UCAC4-417583762 | 162136.58 | -17224.7 | 62 |


| UCAC4-64892287 | 162137.06 | -33 1522.2 | 81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-1253635265 | 162138.51 | -26 5252.7 | 33 |
| UCAC4-416394937 | 162140.58 | -21 4244.0 | 35 |
| UCAC4-416396644 | 162141.27 | -22 125.6 | 90 |
| UCAC4-64933560 | 162142.37 | -34 3454.5 | 42 |
| UCAC4-416384128 | 162144.05 | -18 71.3 | 42 |
| UCAC4-1253635440 | 162152.98 | $-265529.7$ | 85 |
| UCAC4-1253626396 | 162157.69 | -24 2943.5 | 67 |
| UCAC4-402245803 | 162157.84 | -15 5722.1 | 55 |
| UCAC4-416413369 | 162159.95 | -20 150.2 | 22 |
| UCAC4-1253647078 | 16225.32 | -29 3646.3 | 27 |
| UCAC4-1253657235 | 162213.15 | -28 1858.6 | 74 |
| UCAC4-450722460 | 162236.01 | -21 191.1 | 90 |
| UCAC4-64966826 | 162236.57 | -31 2553.1 | 43 |
| UCAC4-1253665129 | 162240.70 | -26 3117.8 | 27 |
| UCAC4-450721096 | 162244.07 | -21 4222.2 | 81 |
| UCAC4-1253685931 | 162247.57 | -26 351.7 | 81 |
| UCAC4-64988634 | 162247.75 | -32 3054.1 | 49 |
| UCAC4-1253697829 | 162255.47 | -29 82.2 | 22 |
| UCAC4-1253683309 | 16230.63 | -26 186.7 | 40 |
| UCAC4-64997859 | 162312.54 | -34 630.6 | 34 |
| UCAC4-1253719948 | 162320.09 | -26 3241.5 | 53 |
| UCAC4-1253730884 | 162321.83 | -24 2458.0 | 45 |
| UCAC4-1253724192 | 162321.88 | -26 1716.1 | 55 |
| UCAC4-1253722838 | 162322.65 | -26 2622.2 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1253721336 | 162324.02 | -26 300.5 | 77 |
| UCAC4-1253719970 | 162326.74 | -26 3238.8 | 59 |
| UCAC4-1253720543 | 162326.94 | -26 3131.3 | 32 |
| UCAC4-1253720834 | 162327.42 | -26 3059.6 | 32 |
| UCAC4-1253719845 | 162328.47 | -26 3254.1 | 47 |
| UCAC4-65015128 | 162329.74 | -35 1430.6 | 34 |
| UCAC4-1253723520 | 162329.82 | -26 2325.3 | 40 |
| UCAC4-1253727753 | 162332.33 | -25 2348.6 | 55 |
| UCAC4-1253723306 | 162332.50 | -26 2434.1 | 39 |
| UCAC4-1253719531 | 162335.92 | -26 3334.5 | 85 |
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| UCAC4-1253719415 | 162337.13 | -26 3350.0 | 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-1253723033 | 162338.86 | -26 2542.7 | 43 |
| UCAC4-1253720266 | 162338.92 | -26 323.6 | 49 |
| UCAC4-1253718493 | 162340.92 | -26 3723.5 | 45 |
| UCAC4-450759715 | 162345.17 | -21 3041.0 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1253745375 | 162350.82 | -26 4432.3 | 49 |
| UCAC4-1253750884 | 162352.29 | -2759 13.6 | 59 |
| UCAC4-1253743696 | 162353.58 | -26 3415.1 | 24 |
| UCAC4-1253743816 | 162353.75 | -26 3442.6 | 55 |
| UCAC4-1253741377 | 162354.40 | -26 2553.8 | 39 |
| UCAC4-65068854 | 162354.84 | -33 1237.0 | 62 |
| UCAC4-1253749782 | 162357.64 | -27 4459.2 | 40 |
| UCAC4-1253740972 | 162357.71 | -26 2255.8 | 29 |
| UCAC4-1253739312 | 162357.91 | -26 229.9 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1253744072 | 162358.35 | -26 3539.0 | 38 |
| UCAC4-1253744926 | 16245.78 | -26 4017.0 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1266680515 | 162412.95 | -15 5451.5 | 40 |
| UCAC4-1253746016 | 162413.57 | -26 5331.4 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1253767335 | 162418.59 | -28 4254.1 | 65 |
| UCAC4-450775123 | 162419.21 | -19 1917.4 | 62 |
| UCAC4-1253773354 | 162426.01 | -27 2238.7 | 32 |
| UCAC4-65070414 | 162427.26 | -33 273.2 | 40 |
| UCAC4-65106704 | 162430.43 | -33 1942.4 | 40 |
| UCAC4-65109091 | 162435.97 | -32 5731.0 | 77 |
| UCAC4-1253776157 | 162437.58 | -26 4331.6 | 32 |
| UCAC4-65107000 | 162438.61 | -33 1656.3 | 90 |
| UCAC4-1253775961 | 162444.27 | -26 4618.2 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1266704414 | 162447.14 | -16 316.8 | 33 |
| UCAC4-65135652 | 16252.12 | -31 1233.3 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1253790052 | 16254.49 | -25 911.6 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1253805474 | 16254.91 | -28 3911.1 | 55 |
| UCAC4-160960929 | 162528.81 | -26 753.9 | 85 |
| UCAC4-160961975 | 162537.24 | -25 5244.4 | 59 |
| UCAC4-160960495 | 162538.48 | -26 1354.3 | 67 |
| UCAC4-160959969 | 162545.15 | -26 2046.3 | 67 |
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| UCAC4-160965373 | 162549.66 | -24 5132.0 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-160980130 | 162555.41 | -27 2124.3 | 85 |
| UCAC4-160989294 | 162559.68 | -29 1729.8 | 43 |
| UCAC4-160979892 | 16262.15 | -271814.2 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1266737987 | 16262.81 | -15 5954.4 | 53 |
| UCAC4-450851823 | 162615.04 | -19 744.0 | 36 |
| UCAC4-450863417 | 162619.98 | -22 332.5 | 81 |
| UCAC4-872105004 | 162623.43 | -27 390.5 | 59 |
| UCAC4-872103590 | 162627.37 | $-275650.7$ | 32 |
| UCAC4-379489602 | 162627.86 | -26 2515.1 | 77 |
| UCAC4-379484420 | 162634.28 | -27 3328.6 | 77 |
| UCAC4-450884945 | 162635.44 | -19 1953.4 | 77 |
| UCAC4-450880552 | 162640.58 | -20 3728.7 | 81 |
| UCAC4-450874125 | 162643.17 | -22 242.3 | 74 |
| UCAC4-450887348 | 162648.63 | -18 3831.1 | 45 |
| UCAC4-65282793 | 162657.01 | -30 3223.4 | 27 |
| UCAC4-1266775566 | 162658.65 | -17 179.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-65261034 | 16271.67 | -33 5919.8 | 26 |
| UCAC4-379498475 | 16272.03 | -23 5936.7 | 81 |
| UCAC4-379506654 | 162714.37 | -26 1758.8 | 77 |
| UCAC4-379516528 | 162727.66 | -28 2150.4 | 85 |
| UCAC4-65295012 | 162739.35 | -31 161.7 | 55 |
| UCAC4-450916347 | 162739.56 | -22 4523.1 | 71 |
| UCAC4-65301006 | 162749.26 | -32 1246.1 | 25 |
| UCAC4-450928508 | 162750.65 | -19 180.4 | 85 |
| UCAC4-379532352 | 162754.86 | -28 4339.3 | 85 |
| UCAC4-65364392 | 162755.01 | -30 4649.0 | 26 |
| UCAC4-65349355 | 162757.68 | -33 923.1 | 67 |
| UCAC4-379567994 | 162814.12 | -27 2643.9 | 27 |
| UCAC4-379579274 | 162814.59 | -29 3616.3 | 57 |
| UCAC4-379567712 | 162824.95 | -27 2318.4 | 26 |
| UCAC4-379569123 | 162829.29 | -27 4051.3 | 81 |
| UCAC4-379569412 | 162829.92 | -27 4433.2 | 77 |
| UCAC4-450968790 | 162830.00 | -20 925.5 | 28 |
| UCAC4-450971501 | 162837.94 | -19 1812.3 | 24 |
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| UCAC4-65395356 | 162847.20 | -33 5450.1 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-1266844288 | 162847.54 | -17 3911.2 | 49 |
| UCAC4-65386668 | 162853.05 | -32 3639.0 | 53 |
| UCAC4-450966879 | 162853.19 | -20 4452.5 | 81 |
| UCAC4-450992130 | 16291.46 | -22 1858.0 | 65 |
| UCAC4-379607698 | 16292.87 | -24 2749.5 | 53 |
| UCAC4-65442588 | 16295.85 | -3145 25.0 | 33 |
| UCAC4-379647783 | 162922.71 | -29 4948.6 | 57 |
| UCAC4-379612890 | 162933.97 | -24 5530.4 | 50 |
| UCAC4-379613429 | 162935.56 | -25 424.0 | 85 |
| UCAC4-451015931 | 162946.29 | -19 135.9 | 28 |
| UCAC4-451005854 | 162948.70 | -21 5211.9 | 62 |
| UCAC4-496677115 | 162949.91 | -27 2849.9 | 74 |
| UCAC4-65473515 | 162954.14 | -32 4325.4 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1266878792 | 162959.70 | -17212.8 | 28 |
| UCAC4-496677275 | 16302.76 | -27 270.5 | 85 |
| UCAC4-65526572 | 163010.60 | -32 1929.0 | 23 |
| UCAC4-451032665 | 163011.42 | -21 3149.9 | 57 |
| UCAC4-451025923 | 163014.26 | -19 3727.3 | 50 |
| UCAC4-65538877 | 163022.62 | -30 2715.5 | 81 |
| UCAC4-65541185 | 163031.21 | -30 449.0 | 30 |
| UCAC4-65549406 | 163050.16 | -30 5440.6 | 33 |
| UCAC4-60321896 | 16311.85 | -29 11.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-60328490 | 16312.71 | -27 4135.6 | 49 |
| UCAC4-60335563 | 16313.65 | -26 2131.1 | 81 |
| UCAC4-60332597 | 16318.37 | -26 516.3 | 67 |
| UCAC4-1266919188 | 16318.99 | -17118.8 | 33 |
| UCAC4-60331898 | 16319.05 | -26 5956.0 | 85 |
| UCAC4-6405240 | 163110.54 | -21 2025.1 | 28 |
| UCAC4-1266919268 | 163131.54 | -16 5953.1 | 62 |
| UCAC4-1030727426 | 163131.82 | -29 2017.8 | 59 |
| UCAC4-65618392 | 163142.44 | -31 5618.3 | 33 |
| UCAC4-6418739 | 163147.70 | -18 3557.4 | 29 |
| UCAC4-60362948 | 163153.46 | -26 3616.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1266948409 | 163156.59 | -1764.0 | 27 |


| UCAC4-60362592 | 163156.61 | -26 4040.8 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-60368404 | 16320.59 | -25 3028.8 | 74 |
| UCAC4-60368408 | 16321.62 | -25 3025.4 | 57 |
| UCAC4-60353729 | 16323.54 | -28 3017.8 | 42 |
| UCAC4-1266951906 | 16329.24 | -174936.9 | 65 |
| UCAC4-60393893 | 163217.15 | -28 5741.2 | 59 |
| UCAC4-65713858 | 163226.50 | -31732.3 | 24 |
| UCAC4-6465341 | 163253.17 | -20 2948.2 | 53 |
| UCAC4-60423852 | 16333.01 | -25 1419.0 | 90 |
| UCAC4-60424355 | 16333.83 | -25 510.4 | 57 |
| UCAC4-60446953 | 163321.41 | -28 1652.9 | 21 |
| UCAC4-65732978 | 163324.02 | -31 3752.6 | 59 |
| UCAC4-60436742 | 163326.93 | -26 1627.5 | 62 |
| UCAC4-65796958 | 163332.55 | -31 5317.5 | 65 |
| UCAC4-65806300 | 163340.57 | -30 2410.1 | 65 |
| UCAC4-60432869 | 163341.92 | -25 2334.1 | 47 |
| UCAC4-60431187 | 163342.99 | -24 5811.2 | 71 |
| UCAC4-65822419 | 16342.20 | -315548.9 | 39 |
| UCAC4-60472690 | 16345.85 | -26 5844.2 | 81 |
| UCAC4-65814556 | 163411.43 | -30 4141.8 | 25 |
| UCAC4-65811055 | 163425.40 | -30 72.0 | 55 |
| UCAC4-60508172 | 163426.85 | -28 3345.6 | 65 |
| UCAC4-65823400 | 163430.16 | -32 420.3 | 29 |
| UCAC4-60494541 | 163437.56 | -26 216.7 | 24 |
| UCAC4-60508355 | 163438.27 | -28 3550.5 | 90 |
| UCAC4-65886787 | 163443.17 | -31937.1 | 43 |
| UCAC4-60490375 | 163443.62 | -25 911.5 | 90 |
| UCAC4-60498225 | 163444.50 | -26 4445.4 | 35 |
| UCAC4-60494883 | 163446.29 | -26 632.4 | 74 |
| UCAC4-60494743 | 163449.20 | -26 449.6 | 59 |
| UCAC4-60491082 | 163453.14 | -25 1817.0 | 28 |
| UCAC4-31156464 | 163456.07 | -23 739.6 | 21 |
| UCAC4-31160801 | 16350.52 | -22 446.4 | 77 |
| UCAC4-60524468 | 163511.89 | -28 4552.1 | 74 |
| UCAC4-60565133 | 163531.57 | -27 17.5 | 30 |


| UCAC4-60568506 | 163532.87 | -27 372.2 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-1010171987 | 163542.11 | -314233.1 | 26 |
| UCAC4-60562539 | 163544.32 | -26 3320.9 | 29 |
| UCAC4-60572732 | 163553.78 | -28 1947.5 | 71 |
| UCAC4-60605232 | 163614.45 | -26 1812.7 | 95 |
| UCAC4-60608704 | 163625.90 | -25 3918.5 | 71 |
| UCAC4-31233512 | 163633.59 | -20 2319.4 | 45 |
| UCAC4-1267131208 | 163635.57 | -1759 10.9 | 47 |
| UCAC4-31269757 | 163639.34 | -18 313.4 | 27 |
| UCAC4-31253364 | 163644.17 | -22 4636.2 | 71 |
| UCAC4-60621830 | 163646.51 | -25 23.3 | 74 |
| UCAC4-60633324 | 163652.88 | -27 818.6 | 62 |
| UCAC4-60632702 | 163653.58 | -2725.2 | 24 |
| UCAC4-1010282625 | 163654.52 | -31 2058.1 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1010276644 | 163656.75 | -32 326.0 | 65 |
| UCAC4-31271639 | 163658.40 | -1759 42.2 | 42 |
| UCAC4-60644807 | 163658.71 | -29 441.7 | 21 |
| UCAC4-60666349 | 16374.23 | -27 3828.7 | 26 |
| UCAC4-31283471 | 163712.02 | -20 539.6 | 67 |
| UCAC4-60665686 | 163716.59 | -27 451.2 | 67 |
| UCAC4-60664004 | 163724.07 | -28 115.3 | 36 |
| UCAC4-60662565 | 163730.65 | -28 1526.3 | 27 |
| UCAC4-60715068 | 163736.74 | -29 1937.6 | 81 |
| UCAC4-60698038 | 163740.95 | -26 2454.9 | 31 |
| UCAC4-60715194 | 16381.05 | -29 2042.1 | 43 |
| UCAC4-60702259 | 16384.58 | -27 1024.6 | 71 |
| UCAC4-60727144 | 16386.03 | -28 5233.3 | 26 |
| UCAC4-1069198556 | 163831.25 | -30 3754.1 | 29 |
| UCAC4-60766768 | 163837.60 | -26 3811.1 | 49 |
| UCAC4-50993877 | 163837.91 | -23 4244.1 | 38 |
| UCAC4-60783722 | 163838.31 | -29 258.9 | 62 |
| UCAC4-1069191714 | 163839.81 | -31 3328.1 | 27 |
| UCAC4-1069190092 | 163841.41 | -31 4711.0 | 57 |
| UCAC4-60772291 | 163849.46 | -27 3529.4 | 45 |
| UCAC4-60778657 | 163852.08 | -28 3942.7 | 21 |


| UCAC4-51007853 | 163859.55 | -20 3419.4 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-60775479 | 16392.19 | -28 72.3 | 43 |
| UCAC4-50993220 | 16394.60 | -23 5052.4 | 40 |
| UCAC4-60783775 | 16395.86 | -29 2534.3 | 47 |
| UCAC4-51012321 | 16397.05 | -19 2922.4 | 35 |
| UCAC4-60820452 | 163911.05 | -24 4244.3 | 55 |
| UCAC4-394522212 | 163919.50 | -23 3937.0 | 21 |
| UCAC4-60823024 | 163927.24 | -23 5848.2 | 50 |
| UCAC4-394538562 | 163944.74 | -20 630.9 | 62 |
| UCAC4-394543480 | 163950.34 | -18 3835.5 | 67 |
| UCAC4-60845756 | 163951.91 | -28 04.2 | 36 |
| UCAC4-60828709 | 163954.87 | -25 247.9 | 40 |
| UCAC4-60846582 | 16404.24 | -28 83.6 | 81 |
| UCAC4-394524238 | 16405.02 | -23 5040.4 | 65 |
| UCAC4-60882135 | 164012.64 | -26 3542.3 | 65 |
| UCAC4-60871928 | 164028.48 | -28 1615.4 | 35 |
| UCAC4-51042966 | 164028.79 | -23 4130.7 | 57 |
| UCAC4-60892636 | 164032.86 | -24 4244.2 | 21 |
| UCAC4-60860878 | 164037.49 | -29 4848.3 | 42 |
| UCAC4-394565427 | 164042.51 | -23 858.3 | 50 |
| UCAC4-394552982 | 164043.42 | -19 5721.9 | 26 |
| UCAC4-60923159 | 16411.20 | -28 3726.3 | 43 |
| UCAC4-60922447 | 16416.22 | -28 3035.3 | 77 |
| UCAC4-60905459 | 164113.66 | -25 4612.7 | 21 |
| UCAC4-60968864 | 164116.21 | -24 3635.1 | 53 |
| UCAC4-1312470529 | 164130.94 | -22 1233.6 | 67 |
| UCAC4-1312468604 | 164138.59 | -22 4058.8 | 53 |
| UCAC4-60952520 | 164139.32 | -27 1720.9 | 34 |
| UCAC4-60976862 | 164148.17 | -24 5352.5 | 50 |
| UCAC4-61005775 | 164156.69 | -29 1339.0 | 39 |
| UCAC4-60995148 | 16423.89 | -27 4337.0 | 34 |
| UCAC4-60980585 | 16429.32 | -25 3044.3 | 77 |
| UCAC4-61008013 | 164212.29 | -29 3048.8 | 65 |
| UCAC4-61009020 | 164218.31 | -29 3832.7 | 55 |
| UCAC4-431430519 | 164219.39 | -30 386.1 | 36 |
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| UCAC4-61040047 | 164226.93 | -25 5947.5 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-61017843 | 164236.69 | -29 166.1 | 77 |
| UCAC4-431533684 | 164237.48 | -30 335.4 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1312511627 | 164238.22 | -23 4156.7 | 59 |
| UCAC4-1312516421 | 164239.83 | -22 4119.9 | 71 |
| UCAC4-61043353 | 164247.13 | -25 276.6 | 33 |
| UCAC4-1312555651 | 16433.92 | -23 812.4 | 55 |
| UCAC4-1312570374 | 164322.43 | -21 305.4 | 81 |
| UCAC4-1312565821 | 164322.67 | -22 3758.0 | 47 |
| UCAC4-431537698 | 164328.14 | -30 856.5 | 26 |
| UCAC4-1312572460 | 164329.85 | -20 598.8 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1312562565 | 164331.59 | -23 1911.6 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1067479151 | 164344.44 | -28 1711.4 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1067485730 | 164354.47 | -27 930.0 | 59 |
| UCAC4-61117281 | 164356.60 | -28 198.5 | 47 |
| UCAC4-1312605938 | 164358.52 | -23 23.4 | 24 |
| UCAC4-61116592 | 164414.15 | -28 1249.4 | 42 |
| UCAC4-431650948 | 164416.44 | -30 816.8 | 36 |
| UCAC4-61111469 | 164416.88 | -27 2746.0 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1312620941 | 164433.81 | -2136 37.6 | 81 |
| UCAC4-1312613185 | 164433.94 | -23 1919.4 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1312624429 | 164440.19 | -20 4425.5 | 32 |
| UCAC4-1050376287 | 164451.10 | -28 3358.4 | 57 |
| UCAC4-31304024 | 16456.03 | -22 3518.3 | 77 |
| UCAC4-1067505998 | 164510.03 | -29 1949.8 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1067529377 | 164526.14 | -25 316.7 | 55 |
| UCAC4-31350924 | 164530.82 | -23 3626.9 | 65 |
| UCAC4-1088618997 | 164537.29 | -26 2245.8 | 57 |
| UCAC4-31349904 | 164537.50 | -23 2531.7 | 59 |
| UCAC4-1088616042 | 164550.42 | -25 4946.3 | 36 |
| UCAC4-31358134 | 164551.75 | -22 5913.0 | 74 |
| UCAC4-1088636697 | 164557.12 | -29 1759.4 | 27 |
| UCAC4-1088636627 | 16462.73 | -29 1726.1 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1109377305 | 164615.91 | -23 5919.4 | 39 |
| UCAC4-1109366848 | 164616.08 | -26 724.3 | 55 |


| UCAC4-1109352409 | 164631.90 | -28 3334.4 | 49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-1109410724 | 164643.33 | -29 3442.6 | 33 |
| UCAC4-1109384401 | 164643.86 | -25 1634.2 | 31 |
| UCAC4-31460377 | 164720.79 | -22 323.0 | 30 |
| UCAC4-61159011 | 164722.12 | -28 3252.1 | 49 |
| UCAC4-61159332 | 164722.46 | $-283521.1$ | 81 |
| UCAC4-61146934 | 164730.27 | -26 4858.4 | 45 |
| UCAC4-31458640 | 164733.78 | -22 135.6 | 45 |
| UCAC4-632179564 | 164743.86 | -28 5526.2 | 40 |
| UCAC4-632207049 | 164744.95 | -24 5219.0 | 30 |
| UCAC4-632186727 | 164744.95 | -27578.9 | 30 |
| UCAC4-31478992 | 164750.96 | -22 051.3 | 55 |
| UCAC4-632179878 | 164753.01 | -28 5242.2 | 23 |
| UCAC4-31478666 | 16482.18 | -22 421.4 | 31 |
| UCAC4-632188320 | 16488.00 | -27 4354.8 | 33 |
| UCAC4-31488682 | 164818.28 | -20 359.0 | 28 |
| UCAC4-632232974 | 164820.15 | -27 1056.4 | 77 |
| UCAC4-632231489 | 164823.31 | -26 588.2 | 71 |
| UCAC4-394579824 | 164855.51 | -2152 26.9 | 30 |
| UCAC4-394584731 | 164912.86 | -20 4723.9 | 42 |
| UCAC4-632329167 | 164918.88 | -28 1849.6 | 22 |
| UCAC4-632309371 | 164931.33 | -25 371.0 | 57 |
| UCAC4-1285924293 | 164941.08 | -21473.4 | 42 |
| UCAC4-1285919339 | 164944.71 | -20 407.6 | 28 |
| UCAC4-632299944 | 164945.50 | -24 930.2 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1285925647 | 164946.25 | -22 139.3 | 22 |
| UCAC4-1285936574 | 164959.96 | -23 469.9 | 31 |
| UCAC4-1285942938 | 16506.29 | -22 290.5 | 59 |
| UCAC4-632371704 | 165011.01 | -26 731.9 | 27 |
| UCAC4-7759468 | 165023.86 | -28 2444.9 | 59 |
| UCAC4-7763298 | 165025.29 | -2754 5.2 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1285977846 | 165027.78 | -21 2228.8 | 30 |
| UCAC4-1285985144 | 165032.09 | -22 4858.9 | 57 |
| UCAC4-1285974602 | 165038.01 | -20 395.8 | 27 |
| UCAC4-1286001121 | 16513.93 | -21 5634.4 | 43 |
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| UCAC4-7811018 | 165111.72 | -27 717.1 | 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-7807901 | 165112.00 | -26 4132.1 | 42 |
| UCAC4-1286042508 | 165140.40 | -23 335.5 | 67 |
| UCAC4-7907618 | 165157.69 | -28 329.0 | 23 |
| UCAC4-1286060062 | 165158.71 | -21 2159.2 | 42 |
| UCAC4-7884193 | 16529.66 | -24 4719.3 | 24 |
| UCAC4-7889019 | 165215.72 | -25 3231.1 | 43 |
| UCAC4-1286049480 | 165218.43 | -23 3142.5 | 26 |
| UCAC4-7898587 | 165225.63 | -26 5422.6 | 71 |
| UCAC4-1286091740 | 165228.09 | -21 4953.7 | 62 |
| UCAC4-1286087065 | 165248.75 | -20 509.0 | 47 |
| UCAC4-7941887 | 165254.48 | -27 5611.8 | 27 |
| UCAC4-70237527 | 165312.52 | -23 02.1 | 62 |
| UCAC4-70226950 | 165319.90 | -21 630.0 | 36 |
| UCAC4-70236356 | 165320.17 | -22 4640.4 | 21 |
| UCAC4-7971405 | 165324.60 | -23 5456.6 | 42 |
| UCAC4-7986788 | 165327.45 | -26 1015.6 | 67 |
| UCAC4-70257785 | 165339.11 | -21 2919.1 | 29 |
| UCAC4-8059580 | 165343.78 | -25 129.5 | 45 |
| UCAC4-8049195 | 165347.77 | -26 273.6 | 57 |
| UCAC4-70253496 | 165355.58 | -22 1527.3 | 71 |
| UCAC4-70296185 | 16549.64 | -22 247.7 | 24 |
| UCAC4-70304087 | 165410.09 | -23 2225.7 | 65 |
| UCAC4-70292313 | 165410.10 | -21 1949.0 | 74 |
| UCAC4-8079829 | 165420.89 | -25 3823.3 | 81 |
| UCAC4-70303653 | 165423.03 | -23 1756.9 | 36 |
| UCAC4-8084421 | 165429.52 | -26 1450.7 | 28 |
| UCAC4-70322208 | 165433.28 | -21 3919.8 | 31 |
| UCAC4-70323877 | 165443.00 | -21 2131.8 | 55 |
| UCAC4-70311922 | 165443.11 | -23 2250.6 | 57 |
| UCAC4-70314220 | 165450.87 | -23 016.9 | 31 |
| UCAC4-8146464 | 165453.15 | -26 4521.5 | 21 |
| UCAC4-70366768 | 16554.20 | -22 3245.0 | 27 |
| UCAC4-8180859 | 16555.43 | -25 4329.8 | 42 |
| UCAC4-70362104 | 16556.57 | -2149 44.4 | 43 |


| UCAC4-70358750 | 165513.87 | -21 142.7 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-8169894 | 165525.20 | -24 107.3 | 21 |
| UCAC4-8176543 | 165527.14 | -25 833.3 | 45 |
| UCAC4-8252433 | 165543.31 | -26 430.8 | 25 |
| UCAC4-8256792 | 165552.55 | -25 3124.7 | 23 |
| UCAC4-70383563 | 165557.11 | -22 4739.0 | 29 |
| UCAC4-70436651 | 165559.91 | -22 4941.5 | 67 |
| UCAC4-8255191 | 16561.23 | -25 4331.3 | 65 |
| UCAC4-70435598 | 16563.39 | -22 3922.2 | 55 |
| UCAC4-70431998 | 16566.82 | -22 354.8 | 22 |
| UCAC4-70436399 | 165624.43 | -22 4715.4 | 33 |
| UCAC4-394621257 | 165630.29 | -22 3447.8 | 25 |
| UCAC4-394628814 | 165644.96 | -23 5117.8 | 62 |
| UCAC4-8361071 | 165649.98 | -25 3954.5 | 50 |
| UCAC4-394619013 | 165658.75 | -22 1128.8 | 27 |
| UCAC4-8370918 | 16576.11 | -24 2218.4 | 62 |
| UCAC4-394632767 | 165717.43 | -23 3341.3 | 50 |
| UCAC4-8379649 | 165718.51 | -24 3338.2 | 53 |
| UCAC4-8375608 | 165719.40 | -23 5938.5 | 39 |
| UCAC4-8385738 | 165720.61 | -25 2154.4 | 50 |
| UCAC4-8379786 | 165728.53 | -24 3452.7 | 49 |
| UCAC4-8396314 | 165742.87 | -26 3834.0 | 24 |
| UCAC4-394687793 | 165743.34 | -22 956.2 | 39 |
| UCAC4-8468780 | 165747.80 | -25 3953.2 | 43 |
| UCAC4-8463953 | 165758.03 | -26 1449.6 | 62 |
| UCAC4-8477383 | 165810.76 | -24 3524.4 | 47 |
| UCAC4-394709020 | 165814.19 | -22 4028.6 | 29 |
| UCAC4-8485725 | 165821.59 | -24 1254.0 | 67 |
| UCAC4-8487762 | 165847.66 | -24 2856.3 | 33 |
| UCAC4-8579678 | 165849.03 | -25 5113.2 | 71 |
| UCAC4-8594204 | 16592.20 | -24 330.7 | 27 |
| UCAC4-8576581 | 16593.42 | -26 1217.3 | 57 |
| UCAC4-394787998 | 16594.65 | -22 541.4 | 26 |
| UCAC4-394779990 | 16597.69 | -23 1820.6 | 59 |
| UCAC4-8593102 | 165912.34 | -24 1223.7 | 57 |
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| UCAC4-8603511 | 165923.78 | -24 4958.3 | 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-394776334 | 165932.87 | -23 4812.0 | 74 |
| UCAC4-8605290 | 165950.37 | -25 246.5 | 49 |
| UCAC4-394847259 | 165956.79 | -23 152.1 | 45 |
| UCAC4-394849261 | 1704.15 | -23 3112.3 | 65 |
| UCAC4-394856745 | 17012.75 | -23 3011.7 | 38 |
| UCAC4-8718696 | 17028.30 | -24 5022.7 | 32 |
| UCAC4-8724981 | 17031.14 | -25 3438.9 | 74 |
| UCAC4-8713899 | 17036.90 | -24 1514.4 | 67 |
| UCAC4-394921988 | 17044.17 | -22 2240.0 | 23 |
| UCAC4-8823589 | 1712.19 | -24 1831.1 | 43 |
| UCAC4-394944805 | 17126.03 | -22 3238.5 | 32 |
| UCAC4-8832577 | 17135.25 | -24 297.8 | 43 |
| UCAC4-8839102 | 17143.58 | -25 1442.8 | 21 |
| UCAC4-395015825 | 17150.43 | -23 5610.1 | 33 |
| UCAC4-395014576 | 17154.98 | -23 4657.8 | 29 |
| UCAC4-8945056 | 1726.77 | -23 577.8 | 77 |
| UCAC4-395025193 | 1728.33 | -22 5350.0 | 53 |
| UCAC4-8939300 | 17227.35 | -24 389.8 | 38 |
| UCAC4-8948057 | 17242.12 | -24 64.8 | 57 |
| UCAC4-8947758 | 17250.79 | -24 353.3 | 21 |
| UCAC4-395095072 | 17257.35 | -23 1519.8 | 40 |
| UCAC4-395108922 | 1739.59 | -23 518.5 | 29 |
| UCAC4-395108511 | 17310.46 | -23 823.5 | 85 |
| UCAC4-395109630 | 17319.61 | -22 5955.6 | 62 |
| UCAC4-9060880 | 17325.19 | -24 2158.1 | 39 |
| UCAC4-395108411 | 17338.57 | -23 93.7 | 21 |
| UCAC4-9067715 | 17343.38 | -24 857.6 | 34 |
| UCAC4-395177665 | 17351.45 | -22 4157.7 | 40 |
| UCAC4-395183252 | 1748.34 | -23 245.9 | 53 |
| UCAC4-395186345 | 1748.37 | -23 4623.5 | 24 |
| UCAC4-395194431 | 17420.55 | -23 1746.4 | 49 |
| UCAC4-395191778 | 17431.65 | -23 3631.9 | 32 |
| UCAC4-395196978 | 17434.07 | -22 5831.1 | 23 |
| UCAC4-41458632 | 17443.48 | -23513.6 | 65 |


| UCAC4-41458558 | 1752.91 | -235135.4 | 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UCAC4-41460654 | 17511.11 | -23376.1 | 23 |
| UCAC4-41543514 | 17513.65 | -234015.7 | 22 |
| UCAC4-9301508 | 17516.15 | -241913.1 | 45 |
| UCAC4-41553094 | 17543.62 | -231536.0 | 35 |
| UCAC4-41548977 | 17557.93 | -234352.7 | 53 |
| UCAC4-41641112 | 17645.26 | -234117.9 | 31 |
| UCAC4-41640424 | 17651.59 | -234623.4 | 31 |
| UCAC4-41725900 | 17716.33 | -232917.3 | 35 |
| UCAC4-41726268 | 17724.73 | -233142.6 | 74 |
| UCAC4-41726284 | 17725.95 | -233151.5 | 40 |

## WiFeS Low-Mass Star Observations

This appendix provides the full tables of observations for the G,K and M-type candidate Upper-Scorpius members observed during our ANU 2.3 m telescope WiFeS campaign, including June 2013, April 2014 and June 2014. The full explanation of the target selection, data reduction and results of the observations can be found in Chapter 3.

Table C.1: Summary of WiFeS observations of candidate Upper-Scorpius members; the V magnitude provided is either taken from APASS, where available, or interpolated from J and K according to the Kepler K2 instructions.

| R.A. <br> $(\mathrm{J} 2000.0)$ | Decl. <br> $(\mathrm{J} 2000.0)$ | MJD | V <br> $(\mathrm{mag})$ | J <br> $(\mathrm{mag})$ | K <br> $(\mathrm{mag})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 153906.96 | -264632.1 | 56462 | 12.5 | 9.5 | 8.7 |
| 153742.74 | -252615.8 | 56462 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 9.7 |
| 153532.30 | -253714.1 | 56462 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 8.4 |
| Continued on next page |  |  |  |  |  |


| 154131.21 | -25 2036.3 | 56462 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 7.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160200.39 | -22 2123.9 | 56462 | 12.9 | 9.8 | 8.8 |
| 160208.45 | -22 5459.1 | 56462 | 13.6 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| 160042.76 | -21 2738.0 | 56462 | 12.8 | 9.8 | 8.9 |
| 160040.56 | -22 0032.2 | 56462 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 8.4 |
| 155812.70 | -23 2836.4 | 56462 | 10.2 | 8.6 | 8.0 |
| 155959.95 | -22 2036.8 | 56462 | 12.9 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
| 160014.91 | -21 0131.7 | 56462 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 9.0 |
| 160013.30 | -24 1810.7 | 56462 | 13.6 | 10.5 | 9.5 |
| 155910.29 | -26 4650.0 | 56462 | 12.3 | 10.3 | 9.6 |
| 154921.00 | -2600 06.3 | 56462 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 7.9 |
| 154832.74 | -28 4415.6 | 56462 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 8.3 |
| 155347.13 | -25 3343.0 | 56462 | 11.5 | 9.4 | 8.6 |
| 155306.83 | -22 4717.4 | 56462 | 13.5 | 9.7 | 8.7 |
| 155502.14 | -21 4943.5 | 56462 | 12.4 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
| 155734.31 | -23 2112.3 | 56462 | 12.8 | 9.9 | 9.0 |
| 155716.74 | -25 2919.3 | 56462 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 8.9 |
| 155655.46 | -22 5840.4 | 56462 | 13.5 | 10.4 | 9.4 |
| 155606.96 | -28 5241.4 | 56462 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 7.4 |
| 160405.13 | -27 3523.0 | 56462 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 |
| 160930.31 | -21 0458.9 | 56462 | 12.8 | 9.8 | 8.9 |
| 160520.11 | -22 5604.7 | 56462 | 12.3 | 10.2 | 9.4 |
| 160521.57 | -18 2141.2 | 56462 | 12.2 | 9.3 | 8.1 |
| 160538.16 | -20 3947.0 | 56462 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 8.8 |
| 160643.86 | -19 0805.5 | 56462 | 13.1 | 10.1 | 9.2 |
| 160647.94 | -184143.8 | 56462 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 9.0 |
| 160801.41 | -20 2741.6 | 56462 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 56462 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 9.2 |
| 160740.06 | -21 4842.7 | 56462 | 13.5 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 161222.17 | -27 1252.5 | 56462 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 9.5 |
| 161206.68 | -30 1027.1 | 56462 | 13.3 | 10.4 | 9.3 |
| 161301.60 | -26 5755.4 | 56462 | 13.0 | 10.9 | 10.3 |
| 161438.77 | -18 4050.6 | 56462 | 12.9 | 10.4 | 9.6 |
| 161533.11 | -27 0758.8 | 56462 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 9.8 |
| 161535.86 | -25 2901.0 | 56462 | 12.4 | 9.7 | 8.7 |
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| 161559.86 | -23 2504.5 | 56462 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 8.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161651.30 | -24 3327.7 | 56462 | 9.7 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| 161644.08 | -23 5545.7 | 56462 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 7.7 |
| 161731.38 | -23 0336.0 | 56462 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 8.0 |
| 161754.81 | -24 4333.7 | 56462 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
| 161819.98 | -20 0534.9 | 56462 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 7.9 |
| 162157.69 | -24 2943.5 | 56462 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 7.6 |
| 153248.81 | -23 0812.3 | 56756 | 13.7 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 153317.26 | -23 2534.1 | 56756 | 12.1 | 9.5 | 8.6 |
| 153749.29 | -20 2918.3 | 56756 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 8.6 |
| 154027.32 | -19 5743.7 | 56756 | 10.4 | 8.2 | 7.4 |
| 153929.69 | -23 1119.9 | 56756 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 8.1 |
| 154226.21 | -22 4746.0 | 56756 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
| 154509.71 | -25 1243.0 | 56756 | 13.8 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 154710.64 | -1736 24.3 | 56756 | 13.9 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| 154627.26 | -25 1846.8 | 56756 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 7.9 |
| 154743.31 | -18 1915.4 | 56756 | 13.8 | 10.7 | 9.8 |
| 154925.09 | -28 4352.8 | 56756 | 13.9 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 155003.25 | -23 4202.2 | 56756 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 9.9 |
| 155403.58 | -29 2015.5 | 56756 | 12.5 | 9.6 | 8.7 |
| 155049.08 | -16 3448.3 | 56756 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 9.1 |
| 155150.27 | -22 3818.8 | 56756 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 8.2 |
| 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 56756 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 9.2 |
| 155647.69 | -19 5007.6 | 56756 | 12.8 | 9.9 | 8.9 |
| 155745.75 | -21 4428.8 | 56756 | 13.6 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 160132.73 | -22 1937.8 | 56756 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 8.2 |
| 160231.96 | -28 1345.2 | 56756 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 7.7 |
| 160224.61 | -22 0024.8 | 56756 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 9.4 |
| 160452.50 | -13 0813.2 | 56756 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 8.6 |
| 161602.92 | -24 3054.8 | 56756 | 13.7 | 10.1 | 9.0 |
| 161617.20 | -26 0910.2 | 56756 | 13.1 | 9.8 | 8.7 |
| 161646.63 | -34 1414.4 | 56756 | 11.3 | 9.1 | 8.4 |
| 161722.98 | -21 2111.9 | 56756 | 13.4 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| 161914.74 | -25 3231.3 | 56756 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 8.7 |
| 161931.39 | -25 1812.8 | 56756 | 13.2 | 9.9 | 8.9 |


| 161945.38 | -21 4757.8 | 56756 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 9.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162027.24 | -21 2606.9 | 56756 | 13.1 | 10.1 | 9.2 |
| 162045.08 | -14 4958.0 | 56756 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| 162045.79 | -28 4920.1 | 56756 | 13.7 | 10.1 | 9.1 |
| 161002.30 | -24 3418.6 | 56826 | 13.8 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 162118.30 | -19 4518.4 | 56826 | 13.9 | 11.2 | 10.3 |
| 162251.58 | -2755 37.2 | 56826 | 13.9 | 11.1 | 10.2 |
| 162359.02 | -27 3603.8 | 56826 | 13.1 | 10.3 | 9.4 |
| 155541.41 | -20 4315.1 | 56826 | 13.9 | 10.5 | 9.4 |
| 162337.92 | -24 3630.3 | 56826 | 14.1 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 155806.95 | -26 2346.6 | 56826 | 14.0 | 11.1 | 10.2 |
| 161716.50 | -23 2757.1 | 56826 | 14.4 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 162411.77 | -19 5558.3 | 56826 | 14.6 | 11.0 | 9.9 |
| 161527.51 | -26 2728.1 | 56826 | 15.5 | 12.2 | 11.2 |
| 161729.95 | -24 5103.0 | 56826 | 14.7 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| 162053.01 | -20 1920.2 | 56826 | 14.6 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| 162254.79 | -21 3809.2 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 162148.53 | -25 1726.6 | 56826 | 14.6 | 11.1 | 10.0 |
| 162032.46 | -22 5745.3 | 56826 | 14.8 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| 155700.85 | -20 2459.5 | 56826 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 |
| 160729.43 | -25 4615.7 | 56826 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 160949.55 | -24 4446.8 | 56826 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 10.1 |
| 162205.88 | -21 2155.7 | 56826 | 14.0 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| 162733.21 | -28 2109.7 | 56826 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 10.5 |
| 155703.68 | -23 0448.4 | 56826 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 155723.92 | -20 5145.4 | 56826 | 14.1 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
| 160338.30 | -18 5407.7 | 56826 | 14.1 | 10.6 | 9.5 |
| 160235.88 | -23 2017.1 | 56826 | 14.1 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 155620.60 | -23 3610.0 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 160000.37 | -22 3259.5 | 56826 | 14.2 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
| 161449.89 | -21 3932.1 | 56826 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 161902.15 | -21 3809.8 | 56826 | 14.1 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 161403.80 | -24 5308.8 | 56826 | 14.1 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| 160840.25 | -27 3339.6 | 56826 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| 160935.75 | -21 3805.7 | 56826 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
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| 155505.13 | -20 2607.7 | 56826 | 14.2 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155624.92 | -25 4120.3 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.4 | 10.4 |
| 155918.73 | -26 4814.0 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| 155508.53 | -23 1851.1 | 56826 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 160931.09 | -20 4146.0 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 161948.37 | -22 1251.9 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 162243.55 | -18 5235.9 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| 162206.58 | -21 2708.9 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 162357.24 | -26 2024.5 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 162523.28 | -27 2731.5 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| 162654.09 | -27 3507.7 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| 161916.09 | -29 1512.6 | 56826 | 14.1 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 163458.05 | -22 5720.8 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 162155.94 | -270503.4 | 56826 | 14.2 | 11.6 | 10.8 |
| 160113.99 | -25 1628.2 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.4 | 10.4 |
| 161948.80 | -22 2447.2 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.7 | 10.8 |
| 161356.63 | -24 5756.7 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 10.2 |
| 161117.45 | -24 4120.3 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.4 | 10.4 |
| 162634.95 | -25 1140.9 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| 160108.97 | -26 4510.5 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| 162129.53 | -25 2943.1 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 161536.43 | -26 2209.1 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 161014.45 | -19 5137.7 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 161309.79 | -20 4459.1 | 56826 | 14.3 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 161321.91 | -21 3613.6 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| 160012.17 | -21 5703.3 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 155539.28 | -20 5307.2 | 56826 | 14.4 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| 161332.79 | -20 4441.4 | 56826 | 14.4 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 161344.90 | -24 3414.4 | 56826 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 161726.15 | -24 5059.3 | 56826 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 161947.11 | -22 0311.3 | 56826 | 14.4 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| 155742.47 | -25 5135.5 | 56826 | 14.4 | 11.7 | 10.8 |
| 155724.55 | -20 3838.2 | 56826 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| 163105.80 | $-272546.0$ | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| 163156.69 | -28 4612.7 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.4 | 10.5 |


| 162956.63 | -26 5918.2 | 56826 | 14.3 | 11.4 | 10.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162713.23 | -20 0425.7 | 56826 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 11.1 |
| 162115.84 | -22 4004.6 | 56826 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
| 162318.69 | -26 2343.3 | 56824 | 12.4 | 8.2 | 7.0 |
| 162322.93 | -26 2216.1 | 56824 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 7.9 |
| 162449.82 | -26 2345.9 | 56824 | 11.8 | 8.3 | 7.3 |
| 162535.72 | -26 0933.8 | 56824 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 6.8 |
| 162557.91 | -26 0037.4 | 56824 | 12.9 | 9.6 | 8.5 |
| 162557.91 | -26 0037.4 | 56824 | 12.9 | 9.6 | 8.5 |
| 162852.01 | -26 1155.4 | 56824 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 8.2 |
| 161236.05 | -27 2303.2 | 56824 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 7.2 |
| 161246.71 | -27 3328.7 | 56824 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 7.1 |
| 161525.71 | -28 2753.1 | 56824 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 6.9 |
| 161832.97 | -27 5729.2 | 56824 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 7.0 |
| 161609.07 | -2756 23.1 | 56824 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 7.9 |
| 161328.04 | -27 2413.4 | 56824 | 8.6 | 7.7 | 7.5 |
| 161347.82 | -27 4734.0 | 56824 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 8.0 |
| 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 56824 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 9.2 |
| 163422.40 | -2154 30.9 | 56824 | 13.6 | 10.2 | 9.1 |
| 162516.90 | -23 2203.1 | 56824 | 14.3 | 10.2 | 9.0 |
| 161104.80 | -23 3316.6 | 56824 | 13.3 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 160804.11 | -26 4044.9 | 56824 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 9.1 |
| 160856.96 | -28 3557.4 | 56824 | 13.4 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 155952.70 | -25 2629.2 | 56824 | 13.5 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 161519.49 | -25 4012.0 | 56824 | 13.2 | 10.1 | 9.1 |
| 161407.34 | -22 1732.1 | 56824 | 13.3 | 10.1 | 9.1 |
| 161442.70 | -25 1209.7 | 56824 | 14.5 | 10.5 | 9.3 |
| 162419.21 | -19 1917.4 | 56824 | 14.0 | 10.4 | 9.4 |
| 162409.43 | -21 3407.6 | 56824 | 15.0 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| 160346.95 | -22 4524.7 | 56824 | 13.2 | 10.1 | 9.1 |
| 155944.26 | -20 2923.3 | 56824 | 15.3 | 11.5 | 10.4 |
| 163358.76 | -27 4216.7 | 56824 | 14.1 | 10.4 | 9.3 |
| 161205.05 | -20 4340.5 | 56824 | 13.5 | 10.1 | 9.1 |
| 161235.31 | -20 3434.0 | 56824 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| 161126.03 | -26 3155.9 | 56824 | 13.7 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
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| 160939.70 | -22 0046.6 | 56824 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163001.36 | -15 4311.1 | 56824 | 13.5 | 10.4 | 9.5 |
| 162823.57 | -27 2241.2 | 56824 | 14.2 | 10.4 | 9.3 |
| 160301.77 | -26 2621.9 | 56824 | 17.2 | 13.3 | 12.2 |
| 161402.27 | -18 5243.9 | 56824 | 14.5 | 10.2 | 9.0 |
| 161500.60 | -29 1934.9 | 56824 | 13.2 | 10.4 | 9.4 |
| 161512.40 | -23 1845.3 | 56824 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 9.7 |
| 160931.65 | -22 2922.4 | 56824 | 13.3 | 10.1 | 9.2 |
| 162208.58 | -29 1506.3 | 56824 | 13.3 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 163029.35 | -16 0152.9 | 56824 | 13.3 | 10.7 | 9.9 |
| 162535.04 | -23 3255.0 | 56824 | 14.2 | 10.1 | 8.9 |
| 163417.86 | -28 5937.4 | 56824 | 13.9 | 10.3 | 9.2 |
| 162935.56 | -25 0424.0 | 56824 | 13.7 | 10.4 | 9.3 |
| 161028.23 | -2756 39.5 | 56824 | 13.1 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| 162322.07 | -21 2549.0 | 56825 | 14.1 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
| 162619.98 | -22 3302.5 | 56825 | 14.1 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
| 162727.66 | -28 2150.4 | 56825 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| 162549.26 | -25 5437.2 | 56825 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 9.5 |
| 162528.81 | -26 0753.8 | 56825 | 14.3 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 162220.42 | -28 3716.4 | 56825 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
| 162502.37 | -23 2144.8 | 56825 | 14.6 | 10.5 | 9.3 |
| 160049.73 | -23 3843.2 | 56825 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 9.7 |
| 160122.34 | -19 3722.3 | 56825 | 13.9 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 162021.64 | -20 0534.8 | 56825 | 15.4 | 12.0 | 11.0 |
| 160856.29 | -214848.9 | 56825 | 13.5 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 160406.71 | -26 3707.1 | 56825 | 13.9 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| 163254.36 | -19 2946.8 | 56825 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
| 160258.45 | -25 4529.8 | 56825 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 10.2 |
| 162154.67 | -20 4309.1 | 56825 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 9.2 |
| 163001.50 | -28 1529.5 | 56825 | 14.5 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| 162415.52 | -25 4434.5 | 56825 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 9.6 |
| 161934.33 | -20 1350.1 | 56825 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| 161730.32 | -24 3839.0 | 56825 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
| 162050.96 | -22 5339.9 | 56825 | 14.5 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| 161511.05 | -23 2242.6 | 56825 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
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| 161441.25 | -25 5605.2 | 56825 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160751.37 | -1718 23.2 | 56825 | 13.9 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| 155612.17 | -23 5407.6 | 56825 | 13.9 | 11.1 | 10.2 |
| 161841.90 | -1832 39.9 | 56825 | 13.9 | 11.0 | 10.1 |
| 155938.07 | -26 0323.3 | 56825 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 10.3 |
| 161633.46 | -25 5236.8 | 56825 | 14.1 | 10.7 | 9.6 |
| 161452.70 | -23 0802.7 | 56825 | 13.6 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 163334.97 | -18 3254.0 | 56825 | 14.1 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 161842.10 | -18 3329.0 | 56825 | 13.7 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 163438.27 | -28 3550.5 | 56825 | 13.8 | 10.7 | 9.8 |
| 162357.91 | -26 0229.9 | 56825 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| 162304.74 | -2759 25.3 | 56825 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| 162244.07 | -21 4222.2 | 56825 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 155625.11 | -20 1615.8 | 56825 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 9.9 |
| 163521.67 | -15 3819.9 | 56825 | 14.2 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
| 161410.11 | -22 1723.6 | 56825 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
| 162337.53 | -25 3534.1 | 56825 | 14.3 | 10.6 | 9.5 |
| 162220.93 | -27 4709.5 | 56825 | 13.3 | 10.4 | 9.5 |
| 161755.02 | -271130.4 | 56825 | 13.5 | 10.8 | 9.9 |
| 161412.59 | -24 5428.8 | 56825 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 9.9 |
| 162628.04 | -25 2647.8 | 56825 | 14.5 | 10.3 | 9.1 |
| 161336.45 | -23 2627.0 | 56825 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 9.3 |
| 162036.41 | -21 2312.0 | 56825 | 13.8 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| 161213.69 | -243136.9 | 56825 | 14.2 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 161026.25 | -22 0910.5 | 56825 | 13.6 | 10.1 | 9.0 |
| 161547.78 | -25 0329.6 | 56825 | 13.7 | 11.1 | 10.3 |
| 162845.15 | -27 1219.2 | 56825 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| 160929.70 | -22 0058.0 | 56825 | 13.9 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 161105.67 | -2144 03.3 | 56825 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 9.2 |
| 163542.10 | -23 1003.9 | 56825 | 14.6 | 11.0 | 9.9 |
| 163523.83 | -22 2954.8 | 56825 | 14.8 | 10.7 | 9.6 |
| 161427.55 | -27 0916.7 | 56825 | 14.3 | 10.6 | 9.5 |
| 162345.33 | -29 0804.5 | 56827 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 6.1 |
| 162243.78 | -29 1137.8 | 56827 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 8.0 |
| 162155.07 | -21 5204.3 | 56827 | 14.4 | 11.4 | 10.4 |
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| 162341.97 | -29 0222.9 | 56827 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 7.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162352.29 | -27 5913.6 | 56827 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 7.8 |
| 162418.59 | -28 4254.1 | 56827 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 8.1 |
| 162418.60 | -28 5447.5 | 56827 | 11.2 | 9.1 | 8.3 |
| 162623.43 | -27 3900.5 | 56827 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 8.1 |
| 163039.34 | -27 1510.2 | 56827 | 10.4 | 8.3 | 7.5 |
| 163155.54 | -29 0214.3 | 56827 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 8.0 |
| 160052.72 | -25 2342.6 | 56827 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 163007.45 | -1734 35.7 | 56827 | 14.4 | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| 162421.32 | -25 0131.4 | 56827 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 6.2 |
| 160126.64 | -25 1154.5 | 56827 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| 160843.66 | -25 2236.7 | 56827 | 8.7 | 7.6 | 7.3 |
| 162332.34 | -25 2348.5 | 56827 | 11.3 | 8.6 | 7.7 |
| 162402.89 | -25 2453.9 | 56827 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 6.7 |
| 161650.62 | -25 5146.6 | 56827 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 7.1 |
| 162347.16 | -26 1615.7 | 56827 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 8.0 |
| 160337.77 | -18 4508.3 | 56827 | 14.5 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| 162115.84 | -22 4004.6 | 56827 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 9.8 |
| 161208.14 | -25 4757.9 | 56827 | 14.4 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 162632.77 | -26 2259.0 | 56827 | 14.4 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| 160325.99 | -26 2732.0 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.7 | 10.8 |
| 161338.40 | -24 4331.0 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 161659.84 | -21 5427.3 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 10.6 |
| 160334.93 | -22 3154.6 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| 155818.85 | -19 1544.9 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| 161952.87 | -22 0258.5 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.9 | 11.1 |
| 161233.53 | -25 4328.1 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| 162208.94 | -2140 37.2 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 10.6 |
| 155908.65 | -26 0054.5 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.7 | 10.8 |
| 162510.73 | -26 0955.2 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| 162310.14 | -23 0514.1 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 160007.05 | -23 4048.7 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 10.6 |
| 162709.51 | -26 1854.9 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.7 | 10.8 |
| 161609.47 | -22 4343.8 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| 161306.28 | -26 0610.8 | 56827 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 10.9 |


| 161621.91 | -28 0950.4 | 56827 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 7.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161358.11 | -28 1509.5 | 56827 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| 160913.64 | -29 1815.5 | 56827 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| 162609.31 | -24 3412.1 | 56827 | 12.3 | 7.7 | 6.5 |
| 162710.27 | -24 1912.7 | 56827 | 25.6 | 8.7 | 6.7 |
| 162832.66 | -24 2244.9 | 56827 | 21.9 | 8.7 | 6.9 |
| 160109.25 | -19 0759.1 | 56829 | 13.5 | 10.0 | 8.9 |
| 155529.81 | -25 4450.0 | 56829 | 13.5 | 10.2 | 9.1 |
| 155633.98 | -25 3008.4 | 56829 | 13.7 | 11.0 | 10.1 |
| 155858.21 | -23 0435.2 | 56829 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 9.9 |
| 155625.26 | -26 2828.5 | 56829 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 |
| 160049.89 | -19 2800.4 | 56829 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 9.7 |
| 160418.93 | -24 3039.3 | 56829 | 13.8 | 10.0 | 8.9 |
| 161005.02 | -213231.9 | 56829 | 13.9 | 10.1 | 8.9 |
| 160920.63 | -22 2205.7 | 56829 | 13.7 | 10.5 | 9.5 |
| 161601.52 | -1728 08.0 | 56829 | 13.6 | 11.7 | 11.1 |
| 161618.94 | -25 4228.7 | 56829 | 13.7 | 9.8 | 8.7 |
| 162619.64 | -2137 20.8 | 56829 | 13.7 | 10.4 | 9.4 |
| 162324.54 | -17 1727.1 | 56829 | 13.5 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 161247.66 | -16 0918.2 | 56829 | 13.8 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| 162757.94 | -25 2418.7 | 56829 | 13.8 | 11.0 | 10.1 |
| 163506.26 | -20 2528.3 | 56829 | 13.8 | 10.6 | 9.6 |
| 163002.76 | -27 2700.5 | 56829 | 13.5 | 10.7 | 9.8 |
| 162555.41 | -27 2124.3 | 56829 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| 162641.21 | -22 0009.5 | 56829 | 13.9 | 10.4 | 9.3 |
| 163338.82 | -2150 26.3 | 56829 | 13.9 | 10.0 | 8.9 |
| 163132.61 | -27 1946.1 | 56829 | 13.9 | 10.5 | 9.5 |
| 162141.27 | -22 1205.6 | 56829 | 13.9 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 161320.54 | -22 2915.9 | 56829 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 161239.94 | -25 3954.1 | 56829 | 14.0 | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| 162024.98 | -2150 24.1 | 56829 | 14.0 | 10.9 | 9.9 |
| 155828.56 | -23 3419.1 | 56829 | 14.5 | 11.4 | 10.4 |
| 155550.98 | -25 1939.4 | 56829 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| 163511.02 | -17 1208.9 | 56829 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 163115.42 | -26 5715.1 | 56829 | 14.5 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
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| 160816.93 | -25 4247.3 | 56829 | 14.5 | 11.9 | 11.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160339.22 | -18 5129.4 | 56829 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 160159.88 | -18 4345.7 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 160043.10 | -24 3050.3 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.7 | 10.8 |
| 161132.87 | -25 1720.5 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| 161433.64 | -20 0429.9 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| 160351.75 | -21 4015.5 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.5 | 10.6 |
| 163435.14 | -26 5803.0 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| 162006.16 | -22 1238.5 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| 161600.81 | -22 1419.3 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.9 | 11.0 |
| 155642.45 | -20 3934.0 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 161727.69 | -24 2102.6 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 161948.86 | -21 4036.0 | 56829 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| 162712.74 | -25 0401.8 | 56829 | 14.7 | 10.6 | 9.4 |
| 162740.91 | -26 1056.7 | 56829 | 14.7 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| 161721.62 | -23 2500.4 | 56829 | 14.7 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| 162317.42 | -21 5906.8 | 56829 | 14.7 | 11.2 | 10.2 |
| 161713.81 | -22 5158.4 | 56829 | 14.7 | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| 160314.91 | -22 3445.5 | 56829 | 14.7 | 11.7 | 10.7 |
| 155806.40 | -23 4041.8 | 56829 | 14.7 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| 161250.83 | -18 3659.5 | 56829 | 14.7 | 10.7 | 9.6 |
| 160820.79 | -21 3123.5 | 56830 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| 155901.93 | -26 1633.0 | 56830 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 11.3 |
| 161647.95 | -24 4028.2 | 56830 | 14.7 | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| 155836.20 | -19 4613.6 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.7 | 10.7 |
| 155815.71 | -20 2136.9 | 56830 | 14.8 | 12.0 | 11.1 |
| 155634.26 | -20 0333.3 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| 155544.48 | -22 0642.7 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.7 | 10.7 |
| 160134.47 | -20 3801.6 | 56830 | 14.9 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| 160129.03 | -25 0906.9 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| 160714.03 | -17 0242.5 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| 160223.57 | -22 5933.3 | 56830 | 14.9 | 12.0 | 11.0 |
| 161452.45 | -25 1352.3 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.5 | 10.5 |
| 161116.87 | -26 3933.1 | 56830 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 11.4 |
| 160907.78 | -27 3422.1 | 56830 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 11.4 |


| 161003.12 | -27 2839.7 | 56830 | 14.8 | 12.1 | 11.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160952.88 | -24 4153.6 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.9 | 10.9 |
| 161608.56 | -20 4151.4 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.7 | 10.7 |
| 161217.24 | -28 3908.2 | 56830 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| 163143.62 | -28 4635.2 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.9 | 11.0 |
| 162006.86 | -22 4732.1 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.8 | 10.8 |
| 162619.98 | -22 3302.5 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.5 | 10.4 |
| 161113.95 | -20 1918.8 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.4 | 10.4 |
| 161623.53 | -28 0324.1 | 56830 | 14.7 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| 162532.74 | -26 1138.6 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.9 | 11.0 |
| 162649.58 | -27 3206.9 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.0 | 9.8 |
| 163235.87 | -16 1257.8 | 56830 | 14.8 | 10.7 | 9.6 |
| 162619.96 | -22 5809.8 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.6 | 10.5 |
| 161039.78 | -20 3709.4 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.1 | 9.9 |
| 161547.33 | -19 1118.5 | 56830 | 14.9 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
| 161338.34 | -215851.9 | 56830 | 14.9 | 12.0 | 11.0 |
| 161506.21 | -25 0046.0 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| 162355.09 | -23 3039.7 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| 163342.69 | -22 2439.6 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| 162846.05 | -271157.5 | 56830 | 14.9 | 11.5 | 10.4 |
| 161532.20 | -20 1023.7 | 56830 | 14.8 | 10.2 | 8.9 |
| 163335.04 | -27 1544.8 | 56830 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 11.0 |
| 163008.79 | -24 3229.4 | 56830 | 15.0 | 10.9 | 9.7 |
| 161943.10 | -22 1617.6 | 56830 | 15.0 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| 162807.38 | -20 1748.0 | 56830 | 15.0 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| 161706.06 | -22 2541.5 | 56830 | 15.0 | 12.2 | 11.2 |
| 162159.76 | -27 0636.6 | 56830 | 15.0 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| 162548.09 | -21 5419.5 | 56830 | 15.0 | 12.1 | 11.2 |
| 160214.89 | -24 3832.6 | 56830 | 15.0 | 12.1 | 11.2 |
| 160354.05 | -25 0939.4 | 56830 | 15.0 | 12.3 | 11.4 |
| 160244.48 | -25 4332.3 | 56830 | 15.0 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| 162129.62 | -21 2903.8 | 56830 | 14.9 | 12.1 | 11.2 |
| 161310.09 | -24 3524.8 | 56830 | 14.8 | 11.9 | 11.0 |
| 160439.66 | -26 0308.4 | 56830 | 14.7 | 12.2 | 11.4 |
| 160900.52 | -27 4519.4 | 56830 | 14.8 | 12.1 | 11.2 |

Continued on next page

| 162706.69 | -260731.1 | 56831 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 8.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 162824.95 | -272318.4 | 56831 | 10.5 | 8.6 | 8.0 |
| 161243.73 | -260017.3 | 56831 | 11.0 | 8.8 | 8.1 |
| 163719.34 | -284404.5 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 10.7 |
| 161843.89 | -281026.1 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.8 | 11.1 |
| 162722.90 | -194648.5 | 56831 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 11.3 |
| 162343.51 | -262537.5 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 10.8 |
| 164226.59 | -282735.2 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 |
| 165124.97 | -185718.5 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.8 | 11.1 |
| 163320.41 | -153414.2 | 56831 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 11.3 |
| 163351.15 | -181446.9 | 56831 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 11.3 |
| 162426.67 | -272010.2 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 11.2 |
| 163545.74 | -271116.6 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 |
| 164320.76 | -283440.5 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.4 | 10.5 |
| 162443.85 | -281501.5 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 10.1 |
| 162408.98 | -262240.4 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 11.1 |
| 163748.40 | -281026.3 | 56831 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 11.2 |
| 164043.44 | -273918.4 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 11.2 |
| 164819.08 | -245750.6 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.3 |
| 162816.09 | -201304.7 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.8 | 11.1 |
| 163701.77 | -155701.9 | 56831 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 11.2 |
| 164906.65 | -240613.0 | 56831 | 14.1 | 11.6 | 10.8 |
| 163139.52 | -284218.1 | 56831 | 14.1 | 11.0 | 10.1 |
| 163620.68 | -281217.9 | 56831 | 14.0 | 10.6 | 9.5 |

## SUSI Long-Baseline Interferometry

## Visibility Curves

In this appendix we display the calibrated visibility curves for the binary systems in our bong-baseline multiplicity survey of Sco-Cen B-type stars, which is presented in Chapter 4.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The study Rizzuto et al. (2011) was based on the honours project work of the author of this thesis.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ I have taken the liberty of applying the terminology used for the simple formulation of Bayes' Theorem to describe the ratios in the hypothesis testing formulation. Despite potential confusion, the analogy holds true.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1} U$ is the component of the Galactic velocity pointing out of the galactic centre, $W$ is the component pointing perpendicular to the Galactic Plane, and $V$ is the component in the direction of Galactic rotation.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ This detection at a very small separation of 2 mas and low contrast is consistent with 4 -Lup being a near equal mass spectroscopic binary, as in the literature.
    ${ }^{2}$ The low visibility seen is this observation is consistent with $\gamma$-Lup being a short period spectroscopic binary.

