

Female Engagement in Domains of Social Power in Ancient Egypt's Dynasties 1–6: An interdisciplinary approach to women's titles

Thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

Susan. A. Kelly

BAncHist, Macquarie University

M Res – Egyptology, Macquarie University

Volume One:

Department of Ancient History

Faculty of Arts

Macquarie University, Sydney

2021

Statement of Originality

This work has not previously been submitted for a degree or diploma in any university. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the thesis itself.

Signed: Susan Kelly

Dated: 1st October 2020

Acknowledgements

The following thesis could not have come to fruition without the help of so many individuals. There is no possible way that I can individually thank everyone who has offered their insight, challenged my perceptions and offered encouragement. For all who have played a part in my university journey, past and present, I genuinely thank you.

To my team of remarkable supervisors: Dr Alex Woods, Assoc. Professor Lea Beness, and Dr Anna-Karina Hermkens your distinct contributions were invaluable. I am honoured to have had the benefits of the wisdom and guidance of such a team of talented and powerful women. I appreciate your valuable time and energy that you expended on the many edits and rewrites, not only for the thesis but also for international conference presentations and the two articles. Collectively you have taught me the value of every single word. Assoc. Professor Yann Tristant, thank you so much for taking over the reins at the eleventh hour. You were the perfect candidate for the role, as you had remained up to speed with my work while not being a part of the official supervisory team. Thank you for the constant challenges on my content and to the way I think and interpret the world.

To my unofficial support team, Dr Gae Callender, and Dr. Kim McCorquodale, both of you have rescued me from the brink on so many occasions. For your continued care, support, exchange of ideas and resources, for believing in me when I no longer believed in myself, for picking me up and dusting me off and encouraging me to continue I will be eternally grateful. Also, Dr Olivier Rochecouste who remained a constant level of support, a voice of reason and a great friend. Finally, I would never have sustained my Ph.D candidature, which was impacted by the personal tragedies of the loss of my beloved mother and my sister's tragic diagnosis, had it not been for the unconditional love and support from my children, Alicia, Solomon and Erik.

While many have played vital roles in the production of this work, any or all errors are the sole responsibility of the author.

Dedication

*For all my female relatives,
especially my nana, my mum and my sister.*

Abstract

Despite an exponential increase in women and gender studies in Egyptology over the last three decades, women's engagement in the socio-political-economic structure of the early Egyptian state remains underrepresented in modern scholarship. Historically, the State has often been investigated via hierarchical models of the male elite's autobiographical material, with prominence given to the study of official titles that act as barometers for the status and rank of the personnel. The dominant focus on male-centric state administration service has ignored women's participation in the State. This bias has resulted in an inadequate representation of women in both research and academic literature. The present research aims to address this imbalance by investigating records of female engagement in the early Egyptian state. This analysis was conducted on biographical details obtained primarily from women's titles from a cross-section of the society, from Dynasty 1 to 6 (c. 3000–2181 BCE). Using an interdisciplinary research methodology that combines textual evaluation and statistical analyses of 777 women's inscriptions, this dissertation engages with sociological theory to provide new interpretative models. The textual evaluation involved here deviates from a traditional title study in that female *serekhs*, cartouche and 129 titles are utilised to gauge the extent of women's engagement and social power in the State. Underpinning this theoretical approach is a refashioning of Michael Mann's theory of social power that defines resources as the medium through which power is exercised. In this research, social power is considered as the varying degrees of influence, prestige, rights and authority that is obtained through individual action and access to or control over symbolic, economic or productive resources of the State. Thus, by examining and classifying the women's titles based on the action and central area of responsibility, this study evaluates the different ways in which women had access to social power in the political, economic and ideological domains in early Egypt. The dissertation offers new perspectives and models validated by quantifiable data that demonstrates to what extent women had varying degrees of social power in the ancient Egyptian state. The current research also contributes an unparalleled database of 1400 women's details from the first six dynasties that can be utilised in future historiographic, comparative and gender studies to augment our knowledge about the early Egyptian state.

Table of Contents

Statement of Originality	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Dedication	iv
Abstract.....	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures.....	x
Chapter 1: Introduction	2
Chapter 2: Approach and Methodology	8
2.1 Overall Aim and Scope	8
2.1.1 Current Stratified Modelling of the Ancient Egyptian State	14
2.1.2 A New Model of the Socioeconomic Structure of the State.....	16
2.2 Methodology: Women’s Engagement in Domains of Social Power.....	19
2.3 Spectrum of Methodological Issues	22
2.3.1 Surviving Evidence	23
2.3.2 Textual Evidence	24
2.3.3 Scholarly Publications	25
2.3.4 Ancient and Modern Impartiality	25
2.3.5 Dating Approach	26
2.4 Summary	27
Chapter 3: Review of the Literature and the Development of the Sub-discipline	29
3.1 Historical Background.....	30
3.1.1 Exposing Gender Bias	31
3.1.2 Ancient Bias	33
3.1.3 Gender Bias in Egyptology	35
3.2 Finding Women and Identifying Their Participation	41
3.2.1 Royal Women	43
3.2.2 Non-royal Women	44
3.3 Gendered Approaches and Frameworks.....	48
3.4 Summary	51
Chapter 4: The Economic Domain	54
4.1 The Titles.....	55
4.1.1 Domestic Industry	60
4.1.2 Personal Care Industry	65
4.1.3 Textile Industry	71

4.1.4 Administrative Industry	73
4.1.5 Secular and Sacerdotal Industry.....	79
4.1.5.1 Mortuary Industry.....	81
4.1.5.2 Performance Industry.....	82
4.2 The Economic Domain and the New Model of the Socioeconomic Structure of the State.....	83
4.3 Summary	84
Chapter 5: Ideological Domain	86
5.1 The Titles	87
5.1.1 The Cult of the Living King.....	88
5.1.1.1 Royal Daughters	90
5.1.1.2 Kingship Cult.....	91
5.1.1.3 Participants of the Early Kingship Cult	92
5.1.1.4 Cultic Service for Horus Den	95
5.1.1.5 Inconsistent Translation	96
5.1.1.6 Old Kingdom Queens' Titles	98
5.1.2 Mortuary Cult.....	99
5.1.2.1 Funerary Priesthood	101
5.1.2.2 Royal Mortuary Priesthood.....	102
5.1.2.3 Private Mortuary Priesthood	103
5.1.2.4 Mortuary Cult Roles	104
5.1.3 Sacerdotal	107
5.1.3.1 Cult of Hathor.....	107
5.1.3.2 Cult of Neith	112
5.1.3.3 Other Cults and Rituals	116
5.1.3.4 <i>hm[t]-ntr</i> of the Gods.....	117
5.1.3.5 <i>s3.t</i> of the Gods	118
5.1.3.6 Cult-related Titles.....	119
5.1.3.7 Female Engagement in the Cult of Min.....	121
5.2 The Ideological Domain and the New Model of the Socioeconomic Structure of the State.....	122
5.3 Summary	124
Chapter 6: The Political Domain	126
6.1 Inscriptional Indicators of Political Rule	129
6.1.1 Female Sovereignty.....	130
6.1.2 Neithhotep.....	131
6.1.3 Meretneith	133
6.1.4 Neitikrety	138
6.1.5 The Khentkaus Queens	141
6.2 Female Political Agency	143
6.2.1 <i>t3yty z3b t3ty</i>	144
6.2.2 <i>h3tyt-'</i>	146

6.3 The Political Domain and the New Model of the Socioeconomic Structure of the State	146
6.4 Summary	148
Chapter 7: Referent Social Power Relationships	151
7.1 Affiliated Social Power	153
7.2 Associated Social Power	154
7.2.1 <i>rh(.t)-nsw.t</i>	155
7.2.1.1 <i>Rh nsw.t – Framework</i>	156
7.2.2 <i>hkr.t-nsw.t</i> and <i>hkr.t-nsw.t w'tt</i>	160
7.2.3 <i>šps.t nsw.t</i>	165
7.2.4 <i>mitrt</i>	166
7.3 Summary	168
Chapter 8: Conclusions	171
8.1 Results	171
8.2 The Economic Domain	173
8.3 The Ideological Domain	174
8.4 The Political Domain	175
8.5 Referent Social Power	176
8.6 The Operational Model of Female Engagement in Domains of Social Power in Ancient Egypt's Dynasties 1–6	178
8.7 Future Studies	180
Bibliography	182

List of Tables

Table 4.1 Women's Titles in the Economic Domain, Dynasties 1–6.....	58
Table 4.2 Women in personal care service by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6....	66
Table 4.3 Women in the textile industry by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6	71
Table 5.1 Titles of the Cult of the Living King, Dynasties 1–6.....	89
Table 5.2 Titles of Royal Daughters in the Current Corpus	91
Table 5.3 The Title <i>m33t Hr Stḥ</i> and Associated Titles	93
Table 5.4 Old Kingdom Kingship Cult Titles.....	97
Table 5.5 Mortuary Cult Titles	100
Table 5.6 Women's Mortuary Priest Titles	102
Table 5.7 Supplementary Roles in the Mortuary Cult.....	105
Table 5.8 Temporal and spatial distribution of Female <i>Hathor</i> cult titles by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6	109
Table 5.9 Female Priesthood of <i>Hathor</i> Titles	111
Table 5.10 Female Priesthood of <i>Neith</i> Titles	115
Table 5.11 Other Cult and Ritual Titles.....	117
Table 5.12 Women's Titles as <i>ḥm[t]-nṯr</i> of the Gods.....	118
Table 5.13 Titles of the Daughter of the Gods	118
Table 5.14 Titles of Women's Role Associated with Cult Practices.....	119
Table 7.1 Female <i>rḥ nsw.t</i> title holders in Dynasty 4 to the beginning of Dynasty 5	157
Table 7.2 Female <i>rḥ nsw.t</i> title holders in Dynasty 5	158
Table 7.3 Female <i>rḥ nsw.t</i> title holders in Dynasty 6	159
Table 7.4 Temporal and spatial distribution of female <i>rḥ(.t)-nsw.t</i> by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6	160

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Sample page of the database.....	13
Figure 2.2 Social pyramid of Early Dynastic Memphis (After Köhler 2017: 384, Fig. 2).....	15
Figure 2.3 Pyramid model of organization of the Egyptian Government (After Cruz-Uribe 1994: 54, Fig. 3.1).....	16
Figure 2.4 A visual translation of the Sun Hymn STG. Nr 37 for the basis of the model.....	18
Figure 2.5 Example of the Working Model with Early Dynastic Data.....	19
Figure 2.6 The socioeconomic operational model overlaid with the domain model.....	22
Figure 4.1 Organisational Chart of Women's Titles in the Economic Domain, Dynasties 1–6.....	57
Figure 4.2 Women in the economic domain by dynasty and cemetery Area, Dynasties 1–6.....	59
Figure 4.3 Organisational chart of domestic services, Dynasties 1–6	60
Figure 4.4 Women in domestic service by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6	60
Figure 4.5 'Pulling the Papyrus' (After El-Khouli & Kanawati 1990: Pl. 36)	64
Figure 4.6 Personal care service organisational chart, Dynasties 1–6	66
Figure 4.7 False Door of Peseshet (After Hassan 1932: 83, Fig. 143)	68
Figure 4.8 Personal Photograph of <i>Nfr-ḥtp=s</i> Taken at GSE 1919/LG 53.....	69
Figure 4.9 Fischer's illustration of <i>Nfr-ḥtp=s</i> False Door (Fischer 2000: 28, Fig. 24)	70
Figure 4.10 Organisational chart of the textile industry, Dynasties 1-6	71
Figure 4.11 Organisational Chart of administration service, Dynasties 1-6	74
Figure 4.12 Women in administrative service by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6.....	74
Figure 4.13 <i>[Mn]-ḥkt</i> – Stela EM99-21 (After Köhler & Jones 2009: Pl. 23)	78
Figure 4.14 Organisational chart of sacerdotal and secular practices, Dynasties 1–6	80
Figure 4.15 Women in the secular and sacerdotal industry by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6.....	80
Figure 4.16 The economic domain in the new Socioeconomic Model of Ancient Egypt, Dynasties 1–6.....	83
Figure 5.1 Organisational chart of the ideological domain, Dynasties 1–6	87
Figure 5.2 Organisational Chart of Kingship Cult Titles	88
Figure 5.3 Temporal and spatial distribution of women with kingship cult titles, Dynasties 1–6.....	90

Figure 5.4 Stela 95 – <i>Nḥ.t-Nt</i> (After Martin 2011: 83).....	94
Figure 5.5 <i>Mn-k3</i> (After Callender 2011: 47, Fig. 28, from Roth 2001: 527, Abb. 19).....	95
Figure 5.6 Organisational Chart of Mortuary Cult Titles	100
Figure 5.7 Temporal and Spatial Disbursement of Mortuary Titles, Dynasties 1–6.....	101
Figure 5.8 Female priesthood private mortuary cult, Dynasties 1–6.....	104
Figure 5.9 Section of the top register in Qar’s tomb (G 7101), Court C North (After Simpson 1976: Fig. 24)	106
Figure 5.10 Organisational Chart of the Cult of <i>Hathor</i>	108
Figure 5.11 Organisational Chart of the Cult of <i>Neith</i>	113
Figure 5.12 Temporal and spatial distribution of Female <i>Neith</i> cult titles by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 4–6	114
Figure 5.13 Organisational chart of Other Ritual and Cult practices, Dynasties 1–6	116
Figure 5.14 Temporal and spatial distribution of Other Cult and Ritual titles by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 1–6	117
Figure 5.15 Scene from the Tomb of <i>Mrrw-k3-j</i> (After Kinney 2012: 257, Fig. 4).	121
Figure 5.16 The Ideological Domain in the New Socioeconomic Model of Ancient Egypt	123
Figure 6.1 Organisational Chart of Women’s Inscriptions in the Political Domain, Dynasties 1–6	128
Figure 6.2 Collection of different religious symbols atop a variety of <i>serekhs</i> (After Callender 2011: 13, Fig. 3).....	131
Figure 6.3 <i>Neithhotep</i> ’s <i>serekh</i> from a seal impression recovered from the Naqada tomb, courtesy of the Garstang Museum E. 1335	132
Figure 6.4 Drawing of <i>Neithhotep</i> ’s <i>serekh</i> (After Callender 2011: 10).....	133
Figure 6.5 Pre- and Protodynastic inscriptions of Wadi Ameyra (After Tallet 2015: 89, Doc. 309)	133
Figure 6.6 Seal impression of <i>Horus Djer</i> and <i>Meretneith</i> (After Emery 1954: 168, Fig. 226).....	134
Figure 6.7 Original necropolis seal impressions reconstructed (After Kaiser 1987: 116, Abb. 2).....	136
Figure 6.8 Reconstruction of the second version of the seal impression (After Dreyer 1987: 36, Abb. 3).....	137
Figure 6.9 Fragment 43 of the Turin Canon (After Callender 2011: 313, Fig. 113).....	139
Figure 6.10 Verner’s representation of <i>Khentkaus</i> I on the southern door jamb of the red granite gate in the Queen’s tomb (After Verner 2001: 174, Fig. 85b)	143
Figure 6.11 Nebet’s stela – CG1578 (After Fischer 2000: 36, Fig. 27)	145

Figure 6.12 The Political Domain in the New Socioeconomic Model of Ancient Egypt.....	147
Figure 7.1 Organisational Chart of Women's Referent Social Power Title Dynasties 1–6..	152
Figure 7.2 <i>hkr.t-nsw.t</i> title attestations by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 4–6	163
Figure 7.3 <i>hkr.t-nsw.t w'tt</i> title attestations by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 4–6.	164
Figure 7.4 <i>sps.t nsw.t</i> title attestations by cemetery area, Dynasty 6	166
Figure 7.5 <i>mitrt</i> title attestations by dynasty and cemetery area, Dynasties 3–6.....	167
Figure 8.1 Female Engagement in Social Power Domains in Dynasties 1–6.....	179