

**FRAMING THE DISCOURSE: CO-
CONSTRUCTION AND PEER INTERACTION
IN THE FOREIGN LANGUAGE CURRICULUM.**

Nicholas. S. Marshall.

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy.

Department of Linguistics

Macquarie University, Sydney

July 2002.

M072751

THESIS

PE

1068

.J3

.M37

copy 1

ABSTRACT

The study is an account of the conceptualisation, design, implementation and evaluation of an innovative curriculum in an EFL (English as a Foreign Language) proficiency program in Japan. The study takes the form of an interpretative case study and monitors classroom interaction of learners who are 'false beginners' (British tradition) or 'interactive novices' (US tradition) in a process syllabus, where learners must 'co-construct', or negotiate together, the planning, management, presentation and evaluation of substantial elements of the curriculum, in peer groups. A central element is the theorising of discourse in terms of a system of social relations and symbolic power; as a mode of social practice, and focuses on contrasting subject positions of learners and their investment in classroom discourse in different EFL settings. In this way, the crucial issue is the translation of power and control into principles of communication, which become their carriers or relays (Bernstein 1996: 93).

The context of the study is the *transition* of young Japanese learners from the foreign language pedagogic practices of high school to university. It therefore includes a critical review of the sociocultural context of foreign language pedagogy in Japanese high schools, and the way that the pedagogic subject tends to be constructed: restricted to responsive roles, often in the learners' first language. The innovative curriculum described here (hereafter the Kanda Curriculum) is a response to this situation and aims to transform learners' institutional experience of foreign language pedagogy by shifting the locus of discursive control ('framing') away from the teacher to the learners, involving a very different 'speech exchange system' (Sacks *et al.* 1974) and hence more active/signifying and less responsive roles by learners. A pivotal assumption is the Foucaultian idea (1969) that a change in the order of

discourse is not a trivial change, it amounts to a transformation in social practice and hence consciousness of the individual.

The curricular goal is to provide opportunities for learners to engage in sustained collaborative problem-solving in the target language, which stands in contrast with the more usual implicit goal of learners' 'acquiring' a second or foreign language. The curriculum is aimed at a prospective identity for learners; one which is built around the idea of the acquisition of 'communication': actively signifying in the target language across a range of situations and activities.

The research component of the study empirically explores *how* different activities in the curriculum differentially afford opportunities for participation by learners; in other words, how the 'contexts' of talk and activity mutually (re)configure each other, and empirical links between activity and discourse types are demonstrated and discussed. In this way, the thesis explores the potential for communicative practices in foreign language education, and is especially relevant to relatively monolingual societies where the target language is seldom used in the wider community.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	GOALS OF THE STUDY	11
1.3	OUTLINE OF THESIS	17
1.4	DIALOGICAL PERSPECTIVES ON HUMAN COMMUNICATION	22
1.4.1	LANGUAGE AS COGNITION	26
1.4.2	LANGUAGE AS ACTION	31
1.4.3	LANGUAGE IN CONTEXT, LANGUAGE AS CONTEXT	38
1.5	CODA	46
2	THE LEARNER AS DISCURSIVE PRACTITIONER	49
2.1	COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE AND CLASSROOM CULTURES	50
2.2	POWER AND DISCURSIVE INTERACTION	56
2.3	FRAMING THE DISCOURSE	63
2.4	AGENCY AND INVESTMENT IN INTERACTION	71
2.5	COMMUNICATIVE ACTION	74
2.6	AUTONOMY IN LANGUAGE LEARNING	80

2.7	STRUCTURING THE FIELD OF ACTION	84
2.7.1	THE THREE PART EXCHANGE	86
2.7.2	TASK BASED LEARNING AND GROUPWORK	91
2.7.3	TRANSFORMING THE PEDAGOGIC PRACTICE	97
2.8	CODA	100
3	THE CURRICULUM	103
3.1	THE PROCESS SYLLABUS	108
3.2	TASKS AND ACTIVITIES	116
3.3	AN ECOLOGY OF PRACTICE	121
3.4	THE SYLLABUS CYCLE	125
3.5	ROLES OF TEACHERS, ROLES OF LEARNERS	138
3.6	SPATIAL ORGANISATION OF LEARNING AND GROUPING OF LEARNERS	141
3.7	CODA	144
4	THE LEARNERS	149
4.1	LEARNING ENGLISH IN JAPAN PRIOR TO UNIVERSITY: A SOCIOCULTURAL PERSPECTIVE	152
4.2	LEARNING ENGLISH IN JAPAN PRIOR TO UNIVERSITY: A SOCIOHISTORICAL CONTEXT	162

4.3	THE FOCUS LEARNERS: BIOGRAPHIES	176
4.4	CODA	189
5	RESEARCHING THE CURRICULUM	193
5.1	BACKGROUND: COMPETING PARADIGMS IN APPLIED LINGUISTIC RESEARCH	195
5.2	THE CONSTRUCTIVIST / INTERPRETIVE PARADIGM	203
5.3	THE ETHNOGRAPHIC APPROACH	206
5.4	METHODOLOGY	209
5.4.1	TEXT: LINGUISTIC AND DISCURSIVE DESCRIPTION	211
5.4.2	PROCESS: ETHNOMETHODOLOGICAL AND HERMENEUTIC INTERPRETATION	224
5.4.3	PRACTICE: SOCIOLOGICAL AND ETHNOGRAPHICALLY GROUNDED ACCOUNTING	230
5.5	THE RESEARCH PROCESS	233
5.5.1	THE STUDY AS CASE RECORD	233
5.5.2	THE HISTORY OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT	235
5.5.3	THE TRANSCRIPTION CONVENTION	239
5.6	CODA	243

6	INTERACTION IN THE CURRICULUM	249
6.1	CONTENT STAGE: INTRODUCING A THEME OF WORK	258
6.1.1	DIANA DEAD IN PARIS, GROUP 1	260
6.1.2	LIVING IN TOKYO, GROUP 1	292
6.1.3	DIANA DEAD IN PARIS, GROUP 2	307
6.1.4	LIVING IN TOKYO, GROUP 2	324
	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF SECTION 6.1	332
6.2	PROCESS STAGE: PREPARING AND ORGANISING PROJECT WORK	337
6.2.1	YUMIKO, GROUP 1	339
6.2.2	DOG WITH MANKIND FACE, GROUP 2	366
6.2.3	SO YOU WANNA GO AUSTRALIA? GROUP 2	383
	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF SECTION 6.2	396
6.3	PUBLIC PERFORMANCE: PRESENTING WORK TO THE CLASS	404
6.3.1	ROLE-PLAY: WEATHER FORECAST	406
6.4	SELF-ASSESSMENT	412
6.4.1	WEATHER FORECAST, GROUP 1	413
6.4.2	YUMIKO, GROUP 1	426
6.4.3	SO YOU WANNA GO AUSTRALIA? GROUP 2	430
	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF SECTION 6.4	433

6.5	CODA	437
7	CONCLUSION	441
7.1	EVALUATING THE CURRICULUM	441
7.1.1	SUMMARY OF INTERACTION	441
7.1.2	LEARNER PERSPECTIVES	448
7.1.3	TEACHER PERSPECTIVES	459
7.2	CONCLUDING REMARKS	462
7.2.1	LIMITATIONS OF DATA	462
7.2.2	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	463
7.2.3	SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	466
	APPENDICES	469
	REFERENCES	477