One size no longer fits all: the application of Andreasen's six social marketing benchmarks in Australian antismoking programs.

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of requirements for a

Doctor of Philosophy

by

Julian de Meyrick B Com (UNSW), MBA (Cranfield)

Business Department
Division of Economic and Financial Studies
Macquarie University

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

| I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully |
| acknowledged within the text. |

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This project complies with Ethics Committee requirements.

| Signature of Candidate | |
|------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |

Dedication and Acknowledgements

I dedicate this thesis to my wife, Wendy. She had provided the encouragement, support and help that made the whole project possible. I would not have persevered and completed it without her unwavering support.

As is always the case, this thesis is the result of the generous efforts of many people. David Collins started me on this track. His enthusiasm and the globally significant work that he has done in this area continues to inspire me and account for the fact that, many years down the track, I am still passionately committed to the fight against tobacco smoking.

My supervisors, initially David Walters until January 2003 and then Farhat Yusuf provided support and advice throughout the process. This thesis would never have been completed without their help.

Don McNeil patiently led me through logistic regression, the statistical technique at the heart of this thesis. Others who provided help with the statistics include Julian Lesley, Gillian Heller and Stephen Brown in the Statistics Department and Alan Taylor in Psychology. They all very generously gave of their time and their expertise to help me grapple with the statistical problems I encountered. The staff at the ABS, especially Donna Goodman helped me access the data without which there would be no thesis.

The support team in the Division of Economic and Financial Studies at Macquarie University, especially Wendy Noble who helped me manage the project and whose help and encouragement were the crucial factors the enabled me to complete the thesis.

The remaining members of my support network are Andrew and all my family who lived through the process with me. Thank you to you all.

One size no longer fits all: the application of Andreasen's six social marketing benchmarks in Australian antismoking programs.

Abstract

This thesis investigates changes in smoking behaviour in the Australian community during a period when the community was exposed to increasingly graphic messages aimed at lowering smoking prevalence in the community. Tobacco smoking is one of the greatest causes of avoidable morbidity and premature mortality in most countries, including Australia. The damage it inflicts is enormous and warrants the application of the most effective social marketing programs (together with complementary legislative and economic programs) to counteract it.

Andreasen identified six benchmarks that identify a social marketing program. Examination of the current strategy indicates that these benchmarks have not been consistently met. In particular, analysis of data from four large scale surveys conducted across Australia in the last fifteen years indicates the existence of different segments in the target audience. It also indicates that changes in smoking behaviour in different segments have been significantly different during the period covered by the surveys.

It is recommended that the developers of antismoking programs reconsider their strategy along the lines recommended by Andreasen:

- Behavior change is the benchmark used to design and evaluate interventions:
 Establish objectives not just for smoking cessation but also for declines in smoking initiation for specific target segments.
- 2. Projects consistently use audience research: Research is needed to monitor changes in each segment, to test alternatives to the medical, fear-appeal strategy.
- 3. There is careful segmentation of target audiences. Several demographic and behavioural segmentation bases are suggested in this thesis.
- 4. The central element of any influence strategy is creating attractive and motivational exchanges: Benefits of not smoking that are relevant and persuasive must be communicated to each segment.
- 5. The strategy attempts to use all four Ps of the traditional marketing mix: A greater understanding is needed of the benefits of not smoking, the costs of not smoking as perceived by the audience.
- 6. Careful attention is paid to the competition faced by the desired behavior: Recognised risk factors associated with initiating and continuing to smoke must be addressed in antismoking programs.

Table of Contents

| Number | Section | Page |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Objective | 1 |
| 1.2 | Background | 1 |
| 1.3 | Social marketing | 2 |
| 1.4 | Using audience research | 4 |
| 1.5 | Careful segmentation of target audiences | 5 |
| 1.6 | Creation of attractive and motivational exchanges | 5 5 |
| 1.7 | Marketing's 4Ps | 7 |
| 1.8 | Paying attention to competition | 9 |
| 1.9 | Hypotheses | 10 |
| 1.10 | Data and methodology | 11 |
| 1.11 | Data analysis, stage 1. Demographic and socioeconomic correlates with tobacco smoking. | 12 |
| 1.12 | Data analysis, stage 2. Logistic regression. | 12 |
| 1.13 | Data analysis, stage 3. Multiple logistic regression. | 14 |
| 1.14 | Findings | 14 |
| 1.15 | Segmentation | 16 |
| 1.16 | Conclusion and recommendations | 17 |
| 2 | Literature Review | 20 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 20 |
| 2.2 | Background to Andreasen's benchmarks | 21 |
| 2.3 | Behaviour change | 23 |
| 2.3.1 | Alternatives to social marketing | 26 |
| 2.3.2 | Health promotion and health education | 29 |
| 2.3.3 | Models of behaviour change | 30 |
| 2.3.4 | The Stages of Change or Transtheoretical Model | 33 |
| 2.3.5 | The Health Belief Model | 35 |
| 2.3.6 | Other models of behaviour change | 37 |
| 2.3.7 | Summary of models of behaviour change | 39 |
| 2.4 | Projects consistently use audience research | 39 |
| 2.5 | Careful segmentation of target audiences | 40 |
| 2.6 | The creation of attractive and motivational exchanges | 44 |
| 2.6.1 | Exchange | 44 |
| 2.6.2 | Customer perceived value | 46 |
| 2.6.3 | Attraction and motivation | 47 |
| 2.7 | Use of all four Ps of the marketing mix | 52 |
| 2.7.1 | Product Life Cycle | 54 |
| 2.7.2 | Diffusion and adoption of innovations | 57 |
| 2.7.3 | Other marketing mix elements | 59 |
| 2.8 | Communication | 60 |
| 2 8 1 | Models of persuasive communication | 64 |

| 2.8.2 | Cognitive effect models | 66 |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 2.8.3 | Affective effect models | 68 |
| 2.8.4 | Fear appeals | 70 |
| 2.8.5 | Integrative models | 75 |
| 2.9 | Attention paid to the competition faced by the desired behaviour | 76 |
| 2.10 | Tobacco smoking | 79 |
| 2.10.1 | The damage caused by tobacco smoking | 80 |
| 2.10.2 | Smoking prevalence | 84 |
| 2.10.3 | Self-reported smoking behaviour | 86 |
| 2.10.4 | Segments within the smoking population | 90 |
| 2.10.5 | Smoking initiation | 96 |
| 2.10.6 | Risk factors for smoking initiation | 98 |
| 2.10.7 | Other risk factors | 100 |
| 2.10.8 | Nicotine addiction | 102 |
| 2.10.9 | Implications for social marketers | 105 |
| 2.11 | Social marketing applications | 107 |
| 3 | Data and Methodology | 112 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 112 |
| 3.2 | Hypotheses | 113 |
| 3.3 | Data used in this analysis | 113 |
| 3.3.1 | The National Health Survey | 114 |
| 3.3.2 | Smoking status records | 116 |
| 3.3.3 | Changes in the reporting of country of birth and other variables | 117 |
| 3.3.4 | Validation of the sample | 118 |
| 3.3.5 | Comparison of non-responses with the remainder of the sample | 120 |
| 3.3.5.1 | Occupation not stated | 122 |
| 3.4 | Demographic and social correlates of smoking status | 125 |
| 3.5 | Odds | 127 |
| 3.6 | Regression analysis | 129 |
| 3.7 | Logistic regression | 132 |
| 3.8 | Data preparation | 133 |
| 3.9 | Binomial logistic regression | 134 |
| 3.10 | Multinomial Logistic Regression | 135 |
| 4 | Demographic and socioeconomic correlates of | 143 |
| | smoking status | |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 143 |
| 4.1 | Overall trends in smoking prevalence | 143 |
| 4.2 | The effect of gender | 144 |
| 4.3 | Cohort analysis | 150 |
| 4.4.1 | The effect of gender among cohorts | 158 |
| 4.4.1 | Summary of cohort analysis | 169 |
| 4.4.2 | The effect of other variables apart from cohort | 170 |
| 4.6 | Summary | 175 |
| 7.0 | Summary | 1/3 |

| 5 | Logistic Regression models of smoking status | 176 |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 176 |
| 5.2 | Binary logistic regression models | 177 |
| 5.2.1 | Current smokers compared with all others | 179 |
| 5.2.2 | Ex-smokers compared with all others | 181 |
| 5.2.3 | Never-smoked compared with all others | 183 |
| 5.2.4 | Summary of simple binary logistic models | 185 |
| 5.3 | Binary logistic regression models with interactions | 185 |
| 5.3.1 | Current smokers compared with all others | 187 |
| 5.3.2 | Ex-smokers compared with all others | 188 |
| 5.3.3 | Never-smoked compared with all others | 189 |
| 5.3.4 | Indications of model fit | 190 |
| 5.4 | Multinomial logistic regression models | 191 |
| 5.4.1 | Current smokers compared with never-smokers | 193 |
| 5.4.2 | Ex-smokers compared with current smokers | 195 |
| 5.5 | Multinomial logistic regression models with interactions | 197 |
| 5.5.1 | Summary | 200 |
| 6 | Discussion and conclusions | 202 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 202 |
| 6.2 | Behaviour change is the benchmark | 204 |
| 6.2.1 | Smoking prevalence | 204 |
| 6.2.2 | Smoking cessation | 206 |
| 6.2.3 | Never smoked | 207 |
| 6.3 | The use of audience research | 209 |
| 6.4 | Careful segmentation of the target audience | 210 |
| 6.4.1 | Smoking status | 211 |
| 6.4.2 | Gender | 212 |
| 6.4.3 | Age group | 212 |
| 6.4.4 | Country of birth | 213 |
| 6.4.5 | Occupation group | 214 |
| 6.4.6. | Income group | 214 |
| 6.4.7 | Summary of segmentation issues | 215 |
| 6.5 | Creation of attractive and motivational exchanges | 216 |
| 6.5.1 | Attractive exchanges | 216 |
| 6.5.2 | Motivational exchanges | 218 |
| 6.5.3 | Summary of exchange issues | 220 |
| 6.6 | Attempts to use all four Ps of the traditional marketing mix | 220 |
| 6.6.1 | Product | 222 |
| 6.6.2 | Price | 223 |
| 6.6.3 | Place | 224 |
| 6.6.4 | Communication | 224 |
| 6.6.5 | Summary of marketing mix elements | 225 |
| 6.7 | Careful attention is paid to competition faced by the desired behaviour. | 225 |
| 6.8 | Conclusion | 226 |
| | References | 229 |