Speaking to their audiences: a sociohistorical linguistic look at interactional language and women's novels, France and England, 1670-1770

Laura Gale Ruch

Bachelor of Arts, French (honors, cum laude), Arizona State University Master of Arts, French, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Master of Arts, Applied Linguistics, University of Technology/Sydney

Department of Sociology
Division of Society, Culture, Media and Philosophy

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

| Table of Cont | <u>rents</u> |
|----------------------|---|
| Chapter 1—Ir | ntroduction: Examining Language that 'Speaks to' Audiences in a Collection of |
| Women's No | vels1 |
| 1.1 | An Overview of the Present Study |
| 1.2 | The Present Study: Theoretical Perspectives and Background Information |
| 1.3 | The Present Study: The 'Informant' Novels and Five Categories of Language |
| | that 'Speak to' Audiences |
| 1.4 | The Present Study: Conclusions and Other Paths to Map |
| 1.5 | A Conversational Model in Print: Interactional Language as an Additional |
| | Examination Tool |
| Chapter 2—Ir | nitial Theoretical Framework: Interactional Sociolinguistics, Sociohistorical |
| Linguistics an | d Other Organizing Principles11 |
| 2.1 | Interactional Sociolinguistics |
| 2.2 | Sociolinguistics, Historical Linguistics, Sociohistorical Linguistics |
| 2.3 | Fundamental Assumptions |
| 2.4 | Issues of Sources and Samples |
| 2.5 | Introducing the Matrix of Communicative Context |
| 2.6 | Some Additional General Assumptions |
| 2.7 | Interactional Communication in the Novels as Pseudo-Conversation |
| | 2.7a Three Audiences |
| | 2.7b Traits of Conversation and the Novels |
| | 2.7c Genre in the Linguistics Sense |
| 2.8 | Summarizing the Mini-Theory |
| Chapter 3—N | Neta-Linguistic Environment: Language in the Period33 |
| 3.1 | Codifying Knowledge: Language Standardization |
| | 3.1a The Role of Dictionaries |
| 3.2 | Conversation and Women: Appropriate for, Appropriated by |
| 3.3 | Public and Private Spheres as Spheres for Women and their Language |
| 3.4 | Correspondence and Correspondents |
| | 3.4a Properties of Letters |
| Chapter 4—Ir | nterim Developments: Growing the Theory and Pointing toward |
| Conclusions | 57 |
| 4.1 | Characterizing the Private in Interactional Language |
| 4.2 | Register, Rapport and Relationships, and the Language of the Novels |
| | 4.2a Aspects of Common Ground |
| | 4.2b Knowledge Types as Common Ground |
| 4.3 | A Look at Signalling Mechanisms |
| 4.4 | Directions of Things to Come |

| Chapter 5— | Core Co | mponents: The Novels and The Language Categories77 |
|------------|----------|---|
| 5.1 | Practi | cal Issues Regarding the Texts |
| 5.2 | The F | rench 'Informants': Seven Epistolary French Novels |
| | 5.2a | Mémoires de la vie de Henriette-Sylvie de Molière, Marie-Catherine |
| | | des Jardins de Villedieu |
| | 5.2b | Relation du Voyage d'Espagne, Marie-Catherine d'Aulnoy |
| | 5.2c | Lettres de deux dames au sujet de l'abbé Bucquoy, Anne Marguerite |
| | | du Noyer |
| | 5.2d | Lettres d'une Péruvienne, Françoise de Graffigny |
| | 5.2e | Lettres de Madame du Montier à sa fille, la Marquise de ***, Jeanne- |
| | | Marie le Prince de Beaumont |
| | 5.2f | Lettres de Miss Fanni Butlerd à Milord Charles Alfred, Marie-Jeanne |
| | | Riccoboni |
| | 5.2g | Lettres du Marquis de Roselle, Anne-Louise Elie de Beaumont |
| 5.3 | The E | nglish 'Informants': Seven Substantially Epistolary English Novels |
| | 5.3a | Love Letters between a Nobleman and his Sister, Aphra Behn |
| | 5.3b | Letters writen by Mrs Manley, Delarivier Manley |
| | 5.3c | Familiar Letters betwixt a Gentleman and a Lady, Mary Davys |
| | 5.3d | Anti-Pamela, or feign'd innocence detected, Eliza Haywood |
| | 5.3e | Life of Harriot Stuart written by herself, Charlotte Lennox |
| | 5.3f | History of Ophelia, Sarah Fielding |
| | 5.3g | The Delicate Distress, a novel in letters by Frances, Elizabeth Griffith |
| 5.4 | A Few | Points of Textual Comparison |
| 5.5 | Data: | The Five Language Categories that 'Speak to' Audiences |
| | 5.5a | Category 1: Addressing Audiences with T/V Personal Pronouns |
| | 5.5b | Category 2: Omission of Openings and Closings in Letters |
| | 5.5c | Category 3: Activating Common Ground |
| | 5.5d | Category 4: Signalling Storytelling |
| | 5.5e | Category 5: Invitations to Engage |
| Chamtau C | Camanlas | and Findings Doub 1. Addressing Audionose with T/V Devector |
| - | - | and Findings, Part 1: Addressing Audiences with T/V Personal |
| 6.1 | | 93 Contextualization of T/V Pronouns in the Mini-Theory |
| 6.2 | | les: Tu/Vous (T/V) Pronoun Use in the French Novels |
| 6.3 | • | les: English T/V Pronoun Use in the Novels |
| 6.4 | • | ngs: The Novels in Terms of T/V Pronouns |
| 0.4 | 6.4a | |
| | 6.4b | Characteristics: 'T' Pronouns and Solidarity Trends: Connotations of Increasing French Usage and the Evolving |
| | 0.40 | Sensibility of English Style |
| 6.5 | Effoct | s of Choosing 'T' or 'V': Relationships and Audience Positioning |
| 0.5 | LIIECL | 5 of choosing it of various and Addictive rositioning |

| Lett | ers | |
|------|-----------|---|
| | 7.1 | Samples: Highlighting What Isn't There |
| | | 7.1a Samples: Recognizing Developed Openings and Closings |
| | 7.2 | Samples and Findings: The Perspective Offered by Inclusion Rates |
| | | 7.2a Samples and Findings: Openings—Rates, Trends and Comparisons |
| | | 7.2b Samples and Findings: Closings—Rates, Trends and Comparisons |
| | 7.3 | Effects of Absent Generic Sections: Relationships Promoted and the Emergin Issue of Fiction |
| Cha | pter 8—9 | Samples and Findings, Part 3: Activating Common Ground145 |
| | 8.1 | Negotiating Common Ground in Written Interactional Language |
| | 8.2 | Samples and Findings: Overtly Tagging Relationships |
| | 8.3 | Samples and Findings: The Dialogue Effect |
| | 8.4 | Written Common Ground: A Matter of Information Management |
| Cha | pter 9— | Samples, Part 4: Signalling Storytelling167 |
| | 9.1 | Samples: Retaining the Floor |
| | 9.2 | Samples: Relinquishing the Floor |
| | 9.3 | Samples: Cues of Co-Construction |
| | 9.4 | Storytelling Signals and Positioning the Audiences |
| Cha | pter 10- | -Samples, Part 5: Invitations to Engage187 |
| | 10.1 | Samples: Questions as Invitations to Engage |
| | 10.2 | Samples: Commands as Invitations to Engage |
| | 10.3 | Samples: Assurances as Invitations to Engage |
| | 10.4 | Samples: Presuppositions of Engagement |
| | 10.5 | Samples: Longer Passages Inviting Engagement |
| | | 10.5a The Special Case of Anti-Pamela: Preaching to the Audience |
| | 10.6 | Characterizing the Impact of Invitations to Engage |
| Cha | pter 11— | -Conclusions: the Novels, 'Speaking to' Language, Women and Other 'Big |
| Pict | ure' Cons | siderations229 |
| | 11.1 | Summarizing and Characterizing the 'Speaking to' Language of the Novels |
| | 11.2 | Language that 'Speaks to' Audiences and Bakhtin's Theories |
| | 11.3 | Private and Public Space, Language, Women and their Novels |
| | 11.4 | Additional Terrain to Map |
| | 11.5 | Final Points |
| Woı | ks Cited | 241 |
| | | ary Sources |
| | Secor | ndary Sources |

Abstract

Using principles mainly from Interactional Sociolinguistics and Sociohistorical Linguistics, this study has examined fourteen epistolary novels by French and English women, from 1670 to 1770, for language that 'speaks to' audiences in an interactional way. A primary objective was to investigate whether women's novels specifically foster relationships via language use. Five categories of such interactional language emerged: Addressing Audiences with T/V Personal Pronouns, Omission of Openings and Closings in the Letters, Activating Common Ground, Signalling Storytelling, and Invitations to Engage. While the first two categories suggest distinctions between usage in the French and English works, the last three shift the focus to the question of audience position. This shift highlights that the 'participants' in these 'conversations' are engaged not only in the narrator-to-audience and character-tocharacter relationships identified by Halliday as occurring in fiction, but also in a blended audience position that may allow the external reading audience to 'adopt the mantle' of letter recipient or to otherwise share the letter recipient's 'relationship' with the stories. Not all of the novels offer this third relationship. Some of the novels firmly position the external reading audience as 'outsider', not only to the stories but also to the relationships depicted in them. This finding reflects an apparent development in the genre of epistolary novel, one that codifies distinctions between this genre and that of simply writing letters that are not entirely 'real'. In turn, these findings led not only to links with Bakhtin's theory of the multilanguaged novel but also Habermas' theory regarding the private and public spheres in the period. In fact, this approach to the interactional language of novels may allow pathways to operationalize further research in those directions, and to consider whether there are differences between the relationships fostered via language in novels by women and men.

Statement of Candidate

I certify that the work in this thesis entitled, 'Speaking to their audiences: a sociohistorical linguistic look at interactional language and women's novels, France and England 1670-1770', has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree to any other university or institution other than Macquarie University.

I also certify that the thesis is an original piece of research and it has been written by me. Any help and assistance that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself have been appropriately acknowledged.

In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

| Laura Gale Ruch (40792390) | |
|----------------------------|--|
| | |
| Date | |

Acknowledgements

A project such as this is not produced alone. Support comes from a range of sources. Most tangible, perhaps, was the funding afforded by the partial Australian Postgraduate Award I received. People hae also provided crucial support, however.

To this end, I especially thank my supervisory team: John Lechte, for advice and guidance, for keeping me on track, and for helping me see this project to its completion; Marea Mitchell, for being the consistent voice of support throughout the project, and for offering exactly the right words at the right time; Judith Lattas, for helping me launch the project and for helping me 'learn the ropes'. The project would have not survived without your combined efforts. Your support has been invaluable.

I thank as well my husband, Bill, my boys—Adam, David, Nathan and Sean—and my daughter-in-law, Krystal, who recognize my special bond with language and who have supported me in this exploration of it.

I also thank my parents, John and Claudia, for teaching me to love learning and for always leaving room to 'wonder why'. Thanks, too, to my sisters, who have all provided necessary encouragement.

Finally, I thank Laurent Festor for sharing reflections on language and writing. *Merci, cher frère*.