THE OCCURRENCE OF SHIFTS AND THE QUESTION OF EQUIVALENCE IN TRANSLATION

Ву

Rochayah Machali, BA (IKIP Malang, Indon.), MA (Macq.)

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the School of English & Linguistics Macquarie University Sydney

March 1990

Copyright in relation to this Thesis

Under the Copyright Act 1968 (several provision of which are referred to below), this material must be used only under the normal conditions of scholarly fair dealing for the purposes of research, criticism or review. In particular no results or conclusions should be extracted from it, nor should it be copied or closely parahrased in whole or in part without the written consent of the author. Proper written acknowledgement should be made for any assistance obtained from this material.

Under Section 35 (2) of the Copyright Act 1968 'the author of a literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work is the owner of any copyright subsisting in the work'. By virtue of Section 32 (1) copyright 'subsists in an original literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work that is unpublished' land of which the author was an Australian citizen, an Australian protected person or a person resident in Australia.

The Act, by Section 36 (1) provides: 'Subject to this Act, the copyright in a literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright and without the licence of the owner of the copyright, does in Australia, or authorises the doing in Australia of, any act comprised in the copyright'.

Section 31 (1) (a) (i) provides that copyright includes the exclusive right to 'reproduce the work in a material form'. Thus, copyright is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright, reproduces or authorises the reproduction of a work, or of more than a reasonable part of the work, in a material form, unless the reproduction is a 'fair dealing' with the work 'for the purpose of research or study' as further defined in Sections 40 and 41 of the Act.

Section 51 (2) provides that "Where a manuscript, or a copy, of material of other similar literary work that has not been published is kept in a library of a university or other similar institution or in an archives, the copyright in the material or other work is not infringed by the making of a copy of the material or other work by or on behalf of the officer in charge of the library or archives if the copy is supplied to a person who satisfies an authorized officer of the library or archives that he requires the copy for the purpose of research or study'.

^{*} Thesis' includes 'treatise', 'dissertation' and other similar productions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
CERTIFICATE ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ABSTRACT ABBREVIATIONS LIST OF FIGURES			iv v vi vii
PART ONE	:	RESEARCH PRELIMINARIES	
CHAPTER I	:	The Occurrence of Shifts and The Question of Equivalence in Translation 1.1. Background 1.2. The Problem: Translation Shifts and the Question of Equivalence 1.3. Issues Arising	1 5 13
CHAPTER II	:	Research Methodology 2.1. The Rationale for the Study 2.2. Research Hypotheses 2.3. The Data 2.4. Subjects of the Study 2.5. Divisions of Chapters	15 15 19 23 27 28
CHAPTER III	:	Theoretical Orientation to the Analysis of Translation and Texts 3.1. Introduction 3.2. On Translation 3.3. On Text Analysis 3.4. On Microstructural Analysis	30 30 35 42
CHAPTER IV	:	The Profile of Major Discourse Types in Bahasa Indonesia 4.1. Introductory Remarks 4.2. On Text Type, Exposure, and Readership in Indonesia 4.3. The Lexicogrammar of Indonesian Major Discourse Types 4.4. Concluding Remarks	53 53 57 61 71
PART TWO	:	CHAPTERS OF ANALYSIS	
CHAPTER V	:	The Translation of Procedural Discourses 5.1. The Procedural Discourse Revisited 5.2. Procedural Discourse with Direct Commands 5.3. Procedural Discourse with Indirect Commands 5.5. Concluding Remarks	73 73 74 88 95
CHAPTER VI	:	The Translation of Hortatory Discourses 6.1. The Hortatory Discourse Revisited 6.2. The Indirect Hortatory Discourse 6.3. The Direct Hortatory Discourse 6.4. Recapitulation of Salient Findings	98 98 101 116 123

CHAPTER VII	:	The Translation of Expository Discourses 7.1. The Expository Discourse Revisited 7.2. The Direct Expository Discourse 7.3. The Indirect Expository Discourse 7.4. Recapitulation of Salient Findings	126 126 127 139 151
CHAPTER VIII	:	The Translation of Narrative Discourses 8.1. The Narrative Discourse Revisited 8.2. The Direct Narrative 8.3. The Indirect Narrative 8.4. Concluding Remarks	154 154 156 164 171
PART THREE	:	CHAPTERS OF DISCUSSION	•
CHAPTER IX	:	Synthesis of Translation Shifts 9.1. Introductory Remarks 9.2. Obligatory and Optional Shifts Revisited 9.3. Examining Shift-Sensitive Components Across Text Types 9.4. Directions of Textual Shifts 9.5. Categorizing Bases of Translation Shifts	178 186
CHAPTER X		Translation Types and Translation Equivalence at the Textual Level 10.1. Introductory Remarks 10.2. The Kinds of Adaptation in Translation Revisited 10.3. Translation Types 10.4. Translation Equivalence in Normal Translation 10.5. The Question of Equivalence in Adaptive Translation 10.6. Types and Conditions of Translation Equivalence 10.7. Concluding Remarks	193 193 194 195
PART FOUR	:	CONCLUDING CHAPTER	
CHAPTER XI	:	Conclusion and Implications 11.1. Introductory Remarks 11.2. Discussion of the Hypotheses 11.3. Implications of the Findings for Translation Theory and Translator Training 11.4. A Program for Translator Training	207 207 211 212
GLOSSARY OF TERM	s		216
BIBLIOGRAPHY			219
ADDENNICEC			222

CERTIFICATE

I certify that the content of this thesis has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other university or institution.

Rochayah Machali

ACKNOVLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Mrs. P. Peters for her invaluable help and guidance during the undertaking of this study. My sincere gratitude also goes to A/Professor C.L. Yallop and to Prof. C.N. Candlin for the ideas they gave me during the early stage of the study. Thanks are also due to IDP for the financial assistance, and to my superiors at the University of Brawijaya for the study leave and for assistance in many ways.

I also gratefully acknowledge the help with provision of feedback for the study from the Indonesian Department of Sydney University, particularly from Mr. J. Sumarjono and Dr. G. Quinn. The gratitude also goes to Lee Riley who helped with editing in many ways. Thanks are also due to a colleague, a dedicated student representative, Matthew Daramola, who organized annual colloquiums, from which the study has benefited a further feedback.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to my colleagues in Indonesia, and to friends both at home and in Sydney, who have assisted throughout. Last but not least, my gratefulness goes to my husband, Misbach, and my son, Andi, for their deep understanding and continuous moral support, and to my parents for their endless prayers.

Without these people's help, this study would never have been completed.

Sydney, March 1990

Rochayah Machali

ABSTRACT

The study focuses on translation shifts, on their occurrence and their consequences, and especially how they relate to the question of equivalence in translation. For this purpose, eight Indonesian source language texts (SLTs) and eighty English translations (TLTs) were analysed, in terms of their notional and prominent text features, rhetorical purpose, cohesion, topic-comment structures, and topical progression. The results of the analysis show how translators' behaviour and reactions to the SLTs vary, as indicated by divergencies in their translations. The variations indicate the kinds of shift fostered in the translation: obligatory and/or optional.

Another fruit of the study is the identification of a number of shiftsensitive items in Indonesian grammar, such as /DI-/, /NG-/, /-LAH/. The textual effects of the shifts vary from the localized of interpersonal tenor to global shifts affecting text type and sub-type, and even to shifts of referential meaning. Although the shifts of text type and of sub-type show a tendency towards directness and neutrality, the shifts raise the question of whether or not the resulting TLTs can be considered justified translations and as translation equivalences. The answer the need to postulate a more flexible and wider view of equivalence, whilst setting up limits to acceptance of shifts which cause mistranslations, referential meaning. This view provides distinguishing translation from adaptation and from mistranslation, a distinction which has hitherto been taken for granted in translation and in the training of translators.

Appendices containing the TL texts (the SL texts are presented in each chapter of analysis) are presented at the back of the thesis. There is also a glossary of terms used in the study.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BI = Bahasa Indonesia

SL = Source Language

SLT = Source Language Text

TE = Translation Equivalence

TL = Target Language

TLT = Target Language Text

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	•		Page
Figure	3.1.	Notional Text Types	36
Figure	4.1.	Some of the Kinds of Books and Materials Published in Indonesia	55
Table	5.1.	Recapitulation of Shifts in SLT A	87
Table	5.2.	Clausal Realizations Across the Ten TL Texts	95
Figure	6.1.	The Degree of English Modals	99
Table	6.2.	Variation in the Translation of the Sentences Containing Injunctions	114
Figure	9.1.	Major Shifts in the Procedural Discourses	180
Figure	9.2.	Major Shifts in the Hortatory Discourses	182
Figure	9.3.	Major Shifts in the Expository Discourses	184
Figure	9.4.	Major Shifts in the Narrative Discourses	185
Figure	9.5.	Directions of the Textual Shifts	187
Figure	10.1.	The Taxonomy of Translation Types	196
Figure	10.2.	Obligatory Generic Assignment in Translation Types	197
Figure	10.3.	Referential Rendering in Adaptive Translation Type C	201
Figure	10.4.	Types of Translation Equivalence	203
Figure	11.1.	A Model of Controlling Textual Aspects in Translation	213