# FROM CLIMATE CHANGE TO DEFORESTATION: A GENRE OF POPULARISED SCIENCE

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ 

#### CHONLADA LAOHAWIRIYANON

B.A. (English), M.A. (App. Ling), M.App. Ling

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy to the School of English, Linguistics, and Media of Macquarie University

> Sydney January, 1998

₹S

C9

To my husband and my daughters

,

•

.

ς.

## DECLARATION

2

I hereby declare that this thesis represents my own work, and that it has not previously been submitted to this university or to any other institution for a degree, diploma, or other qualifications.

honlada d.

,

Chonlada Laohawiriyanon

#### Abstract

The topics of climate change, population growth, and deforestation, as discussed in publications such as *New Scientist*, *Discover*, *Time*, and *Our Planet*, exemplify contemporary writing on science for the general community. As such, it is assumed that they are presented in an objective, scientific, informative way. Furthermore, these topics illustrate what it means to write complex issues in a popular manner. Consequently, they provide an opportunity for examining at least one area of popular science as a generic phenomenon.

Through an investigation of thirty texts (ten on each of the three topics mentioned), the consistencies and distinctive features of writing on these environmental issues are investigated, in particular using discourse tools drawn from Systemic Functional linguistics. The foremost tools are the proposals concerning GSP (Generic Structure Potential) put forward by Hasan, which provide an outline of the syntagmatic unfolding of a text ("logogenetic perspective") and the four stratal perspective that is illustrated in the work by Halliday and Hasan, in particular as such work relates wording to culture. By assessing the degree to which the thirty texts constitute a genre, and the degree to which they exhibit their own internal variations, it is also possible to clarify Halliday's notion of the 'cline of instantiation' between, at one end, the 'potential/system' and, at the other end, the instance of 'text as process'.

The investigation reveals that the assumption of an informative, objective style in popular science journal articles actually obscures a deeper underlying activism about the future, but an activism strongly based on only Western perceptions of environmental crisis.

#### Acknowledgements

In the completion of this thesis, I have received a great deal of support and assistance from many people. My deepest gratitude goes to Dr. David G. Butt, my supervisor, for his encouragement, energy, time, and for his invaluable advice at all stages of writing of my thesis. In addition, my discussions with Professor Ruquiya Hasan and Dr. Geoffrey Williams were always stimulating and inspiring and I wish to thank them for their advice.

I am also grateful to AUSAID (EMSS) for sponsoring my study in Australia. I wish to extend my thanks to the Department of Foreign Languages, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand for granting me study leave to enable me to complete my doctorate. I am indebted also to my friends and colleagues in the Department for taking on extra work loads in my absence.

I received help, support and encouragement from many people whose friendship enabled me to complete my thesis. In particular, I wish to express my very special thanks to Ross Pearson, Dr. Tjokorda Bagus Oka, Dr. Joan Webb, and Makoto Hosoya.

Above all my warm and affectionate appreciation goes to my husband and my daughters and to my extended family for their constant support and understanding during completion of my thesis.

## Contents

#### Volume 1 🦳 🕐

## **Chapter One**

## Introduction

1.1 Rationale for studying popular scientific discourse	1
1.2 Relevant works on scientific discourse analysis	7
1.3 the emergence of popular scientific discourse	9
1.4 Scientific discourse: Popular or non popular	12
1.5 Purposes of specialist journal articles	16
1.6 Purposes of popular scientific discourse	18
1.7 Differentiating specialist and popular scientific discourse	19
1.8 Purpose of the study	29

## **Chapter Two**

## Theoretical Background

2.1	2.1 Introduction	
2.2	2.2 Generic Structure Potential (GSP)	
2.3 Register variation		38
	2.3.1 Alternative approaches	43
2.4	The advantages of using multiple elements in the textual characterisation	48
	2.4.1 Limitations in 'Obligatoriness and Optionality'	51

## **Chapter Three**

The structure of popular scientific writing on 'Climate Change'		
3.1 Introduction	56	
3.2 Text selection criteria	57	
3.3 Synopsis of the sample texts	58	
3.4 GSP elements of texts on climate change	62	

3.5 Semantic elements within TUNING: definition and realisation	
3.5.1 TITLE (TTL)	68
3.5.2 GLIMPSE (GLM)	70
3.5.3 SETTING (SET) and PROBLEM (PRB)	77
3.5.4 TECHNICAL LEAD-IN (TLI)	85
3.6 Semantic elements within FOCUSSING: definition and realisation	87
3.6.1 SPECIFIC CLAIM (SPC)	87
3.6.2 GENERAL CLAIM (GCL)	91
3.6.3 BRIDGING (BRG)	94
3.6.4 EVALUATION (EVN)	105
3.6.5 ONGOING PROJECT (ONP)	123
3.6.6 SOLUTION (SLN)	125
3.6.7 CONCERNS (CNS) and PREDICTION (PRE)	125
3.7 Semantic elements within CLOSING: definition and realisation	129
3.7.1 CONCERNS (CNS)	130
3.7.2 PREDICTION (PRE)	132
3.7.3 SUMMARY (SUM)	134
3.7.4 CONCLUSION (CON)	138
3.7.5 CALL FOR COLLABORATION (COL) and	
RECOMMENDATION (REC)	140
3.8 Distinctions between semantic elements based on degree of 'delicacy'	
Chapter Four	
Findings of analysis of texts on population growth and deforestation	
4.1 Introduction	152
4.2 Population growth	154
4.2.1 Texts on population growth and their source	154
4.2.2 Synopsis of the sample texts	154

4.3 Generic structure1584.4 Semantic elements within TUNING160

4.5 Semantic elements within FOCUSSING	
4.5.1 SUGGESTION (SGN)	163
4.6 Semantic elements within CLOSING	
4.7 Deforestation	166
4.7.1 Texts and their source	166
4.7.2 Synopsis of the texts	167
4.8 Generic structure	171
4.9 Semantic elements within TUNING	173
4.10 Semantic elements within FOCUSSING	174
4.11 Semantic elements within CLOSING	174
4.12 The consequences of semantic variations for GSP characterisation	176
4.13 Negative view of the future as crucial meaning	194
4.14 The construction of futurism in an environmental context	201
4.14.1 Contestable 'point of view'	203
4.14.2 Non-contestable 'point of view'	206
4.15 The construction of futurism in the climate change texts	214
4.16 The construction of futurism in the population growth texts	217
4.17 The construction of futurism in the deforestation texts	218
Chapter Five	
Interaction between verbal and visuals representations	
5.1 Introduction	221
5.2 Verbals and visuals	222
5.3 Interaction between verbal and visual modes	224
5.3.1 Types of visuals employed in the texts on climate change, population	
growth, and deforestation	225
5.3.2 Comparison of visual representation in the texts on climate change,	
population growth, and deforestation	230
5.3.1 Quadrant A visuals: climate change	233

`

237

5.3.2 Quadrant A visuals: population growth

5.3.3 Quadrant A visuals: deforestation	242
5.3.4 Quadrant B visuals: climate change	245
5.3.5 Quadrant B visuals: population growth	257
5.3.6 Quadrant B visuals: deforestation	259
5.3.7 Quadrant C visuals: climate change	261
5.3.8 Quadrant C visuals: population growth	266
5.3.9 Quadrant C visuals: deforestation	274
5.4 Discussion	278
5.5 Visuals and their negative portrayal of the future	279

Chapter Six	
Conclusion	289
Bibliography	299

# Volume 2

# Appendices

Appendix 1: Copies of analysed texts showing their semantic elements.	1
Appendix 2: Articles on the current debate on climate change.	145
Appendix 3: Ergative analysis of GLIMPSE in 'Parasol', 'Icy', and 'Heat'.	148
Appendix 4: Ergative analysis of BRIDGING in 'Parasol', 'Icy', and 'Heat'.	149
Appendix 5: Transitive participants conflated with Medium in BRIDGING.	171
Appendix 6: Different categories of the role of Medium.	185
Appendix 7: Affectual meaning and 'irrealis' components in the three sets of.	
texts.	198
Appendix 8: Affectual motif in visuals and captions in the three sets of texts.	207
Appendix 9: 'Realis' and 'irrealis' meaning in the three sets of texts.	212