

**Investigating casual conversation: A systemic functional
linguistic and social network model of analysing social reality**

**Paula McAndrew,
Llb, M.A. App. Ling.**

A thesis in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of PhD Linguistics in the
Division of Linguistics and Psychology, Macquarie University.
29 November, 2001

Copyright in relation to this Thesis

Under the Copyright Act 1968 (several provision of which are referred to below), this material must be used only under the normal conditions of scholarly fair dealing for the purposes of research, criticism or review. In particular no results or conclusions should be extracted from it, nor should it be copied or closely paraphrased in whole or in part without the written consent of the author. Proper written acknowledgement should be made for any assistance obtained from this material.

Under Section 35 (2) of the Copyright Act 1968 'the author of a literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work is the owner of any copyright subsisting in the work'. By virtue of Section 32 (1) copyright 'subsists in an original literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work that is unpublished' and of which the author was an Australian citizen, an Australian protected person or a person resident in Australia.

The Act, by Section 36 (1) provides : 'Subject to this Act, the copyright in a literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright and without the licence of the owner of the copyright, does in Australia, or authorises the doing in Australia of, any act comprised in the copyright'.

Section 31 (1) (a) (i) provides that copyright includes the exclusive right to 'reproduce the work in a material form'. Thus, copyright is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright, reproduces or authorises the reproduction of a work, or of more than a reasonable part of the work, in a material form, unless the reproduction is a 'fair dealing' with the work 'for the purpose of research or study' as further defined in Sections 40 and 41 of the Act.

Section 51 (2) provides that "Where a manuscript, or a copy, of material of other similar literary work that has not been published is kept in a library of a university or other similar institution or in an archives, the copyright in the material or other work is not infringed by the making of a copy of the material or other work by or on behalf of the officer in charge of the library or archives if the copy is supplied to a person who satisfies an authorized officer of the library or archives that he requires the copy for the purpose of research or study'.

*** Thesis' includes 'treatise', 'dissertation' and other similar productions.**

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Casual conversation as a site for construing our social universe
- 1.2 Interpreting language from a systemic functional perspective
- 1.3 Social networks as a model of relational ties
- 1.4 A social network/ SFL partnership: A model of social life
- 1.5 Social network and SFL as a model to look at change
- 1.6 Conclusion

2 Language from a systemic functional perspective

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Historical overview
- 2.3 Saussure and the sign
 - 2.3.1 Unfixed nature of the sign
 - 2.3.2 Language as a system of signs
 - 2.3.3 Arbitrary nature of the sign
 - 2.3.4 Value
 - 2.3.5 Paradigmatic and syntagmatic relations
 - 2.3.6 Interim summary
- 2.4 Systemic functional linguistics as a tool of analysis
 - 2.4.1 Language as a semiotic: A social perspective on language
- 2.5 The functional principles of language
- 2.6 Text
- 2.7 Stratification
 - 2.7.1 Context of situation
 - 2.7.1.1 Field, tenor and mode
 - 2.7.1.2 A closer look at tenor
 - 2.7.2 Context of culture
 - 2.7.3 The context of culture and context of situation relationship.
 - 2.7.4 Language
 - 2.7.5 Return to the importance of the arbitrary nature of the sign
- 2.8 Language functionally diversified: the metafunctions
- 2.9 Instantiation
 - 2.9.1 System and system networks
- 2.10 Potential, instance and instantiation
- 2.11 Composition
- 2.12 Towards register
 - 2.12.1 Contextual configuration
- 2.13 Register
 - 2.13.1 Register, potential and change
 - 2.13.2 Register and genre
 - 2.13.3 Register and social networks
 - 2.13.4 Social network as register
- 2.14 Summary

3 Social networks: A review of literature relevant to the Scotland Island study

- 3.1 Social network structure
 - 3.1.1 Interactional criteria
 - 3.1.2 Structural criteria
- 3.2 Social networks outside linguistics
- 3.3 Social networks and linguistics
- 3.4 Women in social network studies
- 3.5 Social networks and non-working class, non-urban studies
- 3.6 Social networks and Systemic Functional Linguistics
- 3.7 Social network and speech community
- 3.8 Social network and class
- 3.9 Conclusion

4 Methodology

- 4.1 Overview of methodological framework
- 4.2 The island community under observation
 - 4.2.1 Island solidarity
- 4.3 Collecting data on Pagewood Island
 - 4.3.1 Research data collection: linguistic
 - 4.3.2 Spoken or written data?
 - 4.3.3 Why the need for spontaneous, natural speech?
 - 4.3.4 Spontaneous natural speech and the observer effect
- 4.4 Research data collection: social network
- 4.5 Data analysis
 - 4.5.1 Data analysis: linguistic
 - 4.5.2 Data transcription
 - 4.5.3 SFL analysis
- 4.6 Data analysis: measures of network ties and integration
 - 4.6.1 Pagewood Island Solidarity Index
 - 4.6.2 Other social factors
- 4.7. Interpretation of analysis: relating the two models
- 4.8 Other methodological considerations
 - 4.8.1 Quantitative or qualitative research methods?
 - 4.8.2 Ethnographic insights
- 4.9 Summary

5 Analysing relational ties: A social network perspective

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Pagewood Island data - the participants
- 5.3 Network analysis - Phase 1: Playgroup
- 5.4 Revisiting the network: A second analysis
 - 5.4.1 Network analysis – phase 2: The Park
- 5.5 Conclusion

6 A systemic functional approach to analysing social reality

- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 Grammatical patterning: Text 1: Playgroup
 - 6.2.1 Mood choice
- 6.3 Appraisal analysis: Text 1: Playgroup
- 6.4 Semantic patterning: Text 1: Playgroup
- 6.5 Revisiting the network: A second analysis
- 6.6 Grammatical patterning: Text 2: The Park
- 6.7 Appraisal analysis: Text 2: The Park
- 6.8 Semantic patterning: Text 2: The Park

7 Discussion and conclusion

- 7.1 Social network findings: A summary
- 7.2 Linguistic investigation findings: A summary
- 7.3 The reciprocal nature of language and the social order
- 7.4 Limitations of this research
- 7.5 Implications of this study
 - 7.5.1. The construction of social reality
 - 7.5.2. Educational implications
 - 7.5.3. English as a foreign language
- 7.6 Areas for possible further investigation
- 7.7 Conclusion

References

List of figures

| | |
|-------------|---|
| Figure 2.1 | Sound pattern and concept (signal and signification) relationship |
| Figure 2.2 | Linguistic value |
| Figure 2.3 | Paradigmatic (associative) relations |
| Figure 2.4 | Content and Expression |
| Figure 2.5 | Overall dimensions of meaning for text location |
| Figure 2.6 | Semiotic organisation in the stratified system – the relationship of realization. |
| Figure 2.7 | A (partial) network for English MOOD |
| Figure 2.8 | Text-context relation of realization |
| Figure 2.9 | Context, metafunction and grammar |
| Figure 2.10 | Contextual configurations and register |
| Figure 2.11 | Register and situation type along the dimension of longterm potentiality |
| Figure 2.12 | Register variation within general potential |
| Figure 2.13 | Register as separate dimensions of variation or as stratified model |
| Figure 2.14 | Social network's location in semiotic space |
| Figure 3.1 | Network of interconnected roles from ego's point of view |
| Figure 3.2 | High-density personal network structure |
| Figure 3.3 | Low-density personal network structure |
| Figure 3.4 | Ego and the zones clustered around him |
| Figure 4.1 | Weighting of the solidarity criteria |
| Figure 5.1 | Number and types of social ties for phase 1: Playgroup |
| Figure 5.2 | Group membership pattern for participants in phase 1: Playgroup |
| Figure 5.3 | Number and types of social ties for phase 2: The Park |
| Figure 5.4 | Group membership pattern for participants in phase2: The Park |
| Figure 6.1 | Appraisal resources in English |
| Figure 6.2 | Speech function network |

List of tables

| | |
|------------|---|
| Table 5.1 | Social network coding sheet for phase 1: Playgroup |
| Table 5.2 | Social network coding sheet for phase 2: The Park |
| Table 6.1 | Mood summary sheet for Text 1: Playgroup |
| Table 6.2 | Mood summary sheet for adult-adult interaction in Text 1: Playgroup |
| Table 6.3 | Mood summary sheet for adult-child interaction in Text 1: Playgroup |
| Table 6.4 | Modality summary sheet for Text 1: Playgroup |
| Table 6.5 | Categories of Appraisal items |
| Table 6.6 | Appraisal summary sheet for Text 1: Playgroup |
| Table 6.7 | Speech function summary sheet for Text 1: Playgroup |
| Table 6.8 | Mood summary sheet for Text 2: The Park |
| Table 6.9 | Mood summary sheet for adult-adult interaction in Text 2: The Park |
| Table 6.10 | Mood summary sheet for adult-child interaction in Text 2: The Park |
| Table 6.11 | Modality summary sheet for Text 2: The Park |
| Table 6.12 | Appraisal summary sheet for Text 2: The Park |
| Table 6.13 | Speech function summary sheet for Text 2: The Park |

Investigating casual encounters: A systemic functional linguistic and social network model of analysis

Language and society – or, as we prefer to think of it, language and social man – is a unified conception, and needs to be understood and investigated as a whole. Neither of these exists without the other: there can be no social man without language, and no language without social man. (Halliday, 1989:12)

Abstract

This research is concerned with the study of language and the social order. Working within the systemic functional theory of language, and utilising the concept of a social network to model the social order, the primary aim is to put on display the relationship between the linguistic system and social order, between language and culture. Systemic functional grammar (Halliday, 1995; Halliday and Hasan, 1985/9; Halliday and Matthiessen, 1997; Eggins and Slade 1997), with its emphasis on language as a social semiotic, is used to analyse the language used by a group of four women engaged in casual conversation in a small Australian island community. Here the analysis reveals how the women negotiate their social reality when speaking to each other. It shows how their social relations are shaped within a text (Hasan, 1996), and explores the notion that, despite the seemingly trivial, unconscious nature of casual interactions, power and solidarity are continually being negotiated by the participants (Halliday, 1994; Eggins and Slade, 1997). More specifically, this research examines the notion that through lexico-grammatical and semantic selections participants are able to negotiate dominant positions in interaction. Social Network analysis has been used to examine the relationship between the individual and the group. It offers a quantifiable analytical tool for describing the character of an individual's everyday social relationships (Milroy, 1987). A social network analysis is used in the present study to map the social relationships in the tight-knit network, or speech fellowship, of these women (creating a map of the context of situation in SFL terminology). Change in the social relationships and language choices is modeled by revisiting the participants 15 months later in a contextually similar environment and re-analysing the network and linguistic options. Systemic functional linguistics is then used to highlight the interdependency of language and social order. Through systematic accounts of language and the context in which it is embedded this reciprocal nature is displayed and language and social order can be seen, not as two distinct entities, but rather as one phenomena seen from two different perspectives (Halliday, 1978; Mathiessen, 1993).

DECLARATION

I certify that this work is the result of my own research and that the work has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other university of institution

Signed:P.M. Andrew....

Date:1 Dec 2001.....

Acknowledgments

I have had support from many people in the completion of this thesis. Special thanks go to Dr David Butt for agreeing to be my research supervisor and for his continuing interest, inspiration and intellectual support.

I also express thanks to the many people who took part in this research. To the participants and to the many other islanders who gave up their time, showed interest and offered valuable insight into the nature of island relationships. Without such input this work would not have been possible.

In many ways the greatest debt I owe is to my family. My children Jade and Sian who have had to deal with a preoccupied and frequently absent mother. And finally to John McAndrew I am indebted for the support and encouragement to continue and the postponing of his own studies to allow me to complete this work before the birth of our third child.